Q1. In general, would you say the U.S. economy is																								
		Ger	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Ind/ Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	Exurba n	Phil/South	Shor e	No Bach degree	degree or higher	White	Afr Amer	Other	Lib/ Prog	Mod	Conser v	<\$65K	\$65K- \$100K	\$100K+
Getting much weaker	42%	37%	47%	11%	69%	38%	43%	42%	46%	42%	43%	46%	37%	37%	49%	41%	51%	41%	74%	47%	9%	42%	44%	42%
Getting somewhat weaker	18%	17%	19%	7%	22%	24%	19%	18%	18%	20%	20%	19%	14%	18%	19%	16%	29%	16%	17%	25%	11%	19%	15%	21%
Staying the same	11%	13%	9%	22%	2%	12%	9%	12%	13%	10%	8%	9%	14%	11%	10%	11%	4%	17%	3%	9%	20%	11%	9%	10%
Getting somewhat stronger	17%	19%	15%	38%	5%	15%	15%	19%	8%	18%	17%	17%	20%	19%	14%	20%	4%	14%	3%	13%	36%	13%	20%	18%
Getting much stronger	9%	11%	7%	20%	2%	7%	9%	8%	5%	9%	8%	7%	12%	10%	7%	9%	5%	9%	2%	4%	21%	10%	8%	7%
Don't know	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	9%	0%	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%	2%	6%	3%	1%	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q2. In general, would you say that New Jersey's eco	onomy is																							L
		Ger	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n			cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical	View		Incom	
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	1
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff				Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K		\$100K+
Getting much weaker	23%	20%	26%	17%	25%	27%	25%	22%	26%	23%	28%	24%	19%	24%	22%	23%	26%	24%	25%	30%	13%	26%	24%	22%
Getting somewhat weaker	33%	34%	32%	22%		32%	34%	32%	32%	36%	34%	30%	31%	28%	39%	34%	33%	23%	40%	33%	28%	29%	33%	38%
Staying the same	28%	33%	24%	36%	22%	29%	26%	30%	27%	27%	23%	32%	32%	30%	26%	28%	28%	31%	24%	24% 26% 35% 29% 25%				
Getting somewhat stronger	6%	5%	7%	14%	3%	3%	6%	7%	2%	8%	6%	5%	8%	7%	5%	7%	2%	8%	4%	4%	9%	6% 3%		
Getting much stronger	4%	3%	4%	7%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	4%	5%						
Don't know	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	4%	6%	10%	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	7%	8%	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	4%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q3. How would you describe your personal financia	I situation? W	l /ould ye	u say	you ar	re																			
		Ger	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep		Unaff				Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K		\$100K+
Getting ahead	10%	14%	7%	16%		11%	10%	11%	8%	12%	7%	10%	12%	9%	11%	11%	13%	6%	4%	12%	15%	6%	13%	14%
Staying where you are	46%	47%	44%	48%		47%	40%	52%	40%	46%	55%	38%	49%	39%	54%	49%	30%	42%	45%	43%	51%	30%	45%	60%
Struggling to keep up	30%	26%	34%	30%	-	27%	33%	28%	32%	28%	28%	37%	28%	36%	24%	30%	36%	33%	32%	30%	27%	44%	31%	19%
Falling behind	13%	12%	14%	6%	17%	15%	18%	8%	20%	12%	9%	15%	11%	15%	10%	10%	21%	18%	18%	14%	8%	20%	11%	7%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%					0%	0%	0%	0%						
Q4. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the job	b the State Le	gislatu	l reisdo	<u> </u>										I										
		Ger	nder	Part	y Affili		A	ge			Regio	n			cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical	-		Incom	e
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Ind/ Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	Exurba n	Phil/South	Shor e	No Bach degree	degree or higher	White	Afr Amer	Other	Lib/ Prog	Mod	Conser v	<\$65K	\$65K- \$100K	\$100K+
Approve	30%	31%	29%	11%		24%	29%	30%	33%	26%	30%	33%	30%	28%	32%	30%	35%	21%	55%	24%	15%	25%	31%	32%
Disapprove	46%	47%	44%	70%	25%	52%	48%	43%	35%	46%	47%	44%	51%	50%	40%	47%	37%	46%	21%	44%	70%	45%	46%	45%
Don't know/Refused	25%	22%	27%	18%	28%	24%	23%	27%	32%	27%	23%	23%	20%	23%	28%	23%	28%	33%	23%	32%	15%	30%	23%	23%
						-																		+

		Ge	nder	Part	v Affili	ation	A	qe			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	citv	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
			1		1	Ind/					Exurba	T T	Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr	,	Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	Ī
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem		50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb		Phil/South	e	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K		\$100K
Approve	44%	42%	46%	12%	68%	40%	43%	45%	48%	45%	43%	47%	38%	39%	50%	42%	60%	34%	76%	41%	19%	40%	46%	47%
Disapprove	44%	50%	39%	80%	20%	47%	46%	43%	36%	43%	43%	45%	54%	48%	39%	47%	27%	48%	15%	41%	76%	44%	45%	45%
Don't know/Refused	12%	8%	15%	8%	12%	13%	11%	13%	17%	12%	14%	8%	9%	12%	11%	10%	13%	19%	9%	17%	5%	17%	10%	7%
Q6A. Now I have some questions about the gubernator	al election								tion fo	r New Je			held o											
		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
						1					<b>F</b>		0	N	Bach								***	
	Tatal		_	<b>D</b>	Dama	Ind/	50.04			Cubuub	Exurba	DhillOsuth	Shor	No Bach	degree or	White	Afr	Other	Lib/	Mod	Conser	- CEV	\$65K-	\$100K
	Total	M	F	Rep	Dem		50-64			Suburb	<u>n</u>	Phil/South	e	degree	more		Amer		Prog		V			
Very likely	73%	72%	74%	82%	82%	53%	69%	77%	66%	71%	73%	80%	73%	75%	71%	75%	75%	62%	78%	64%	80%	74%	67%	76%
Fairly likely	10%	12%	9%	9%	9%	13%	12%	8%	14%	12%	8%	7%	9%	10%	10%	9%	11%	15%	8%	12%	10%	10%	13%	9%
Just somewhat likely	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	3%	7%	6%	6%	5%	8%	9%	4%	7%	4%	5%	7%	4%
Not that likely	5%	5%	4%	1%	3%	12%	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	7%	5%	3%	7%	5%	8%	2%	5%	5%	5%
Or will you not vote	5%	6%	3%	2%	0%	13%	4%	5%	3%	3%	8%	5%	5%	4%	6%	6%	0%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%
Don't know	2%	1%	3%	0%	1%	5%	3%	1%	6%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q6B. With which political party are you affiliated?				Deat	A (('))	- 41					Devia			<b></b>						- 1141 1 3			1	
	_	Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n T		Edu	cation Bach	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	view		Incom	e
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach			Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dom	Unaff	50 64	65+	Urbon	Suburb		Phil/South		degree	degree or	White		Other	Prog	Mod	v	- CEV		\$100K
Denukling	28%	29%	27%	Kep	Dem	Unan	26%	30%	17%	29%	n 32%	27%	<b>e</b> 31%	30%	more 26%	33%	Amer 3%	31%	3%	20%	64%	28%	33%	26%
Republican		35%	47%				-		47%	29% 44%	32%	43%	31%		42%	33%	3% 63%	31%	3% 77%	39%	13%	28% 47%	33%	39%
Democrat	41%						41%	41%						40%										
Independent or Unaffiliated	28%	34%	23%				31%	25%	32%	27%	35%	25%	26%	26%	31%	28%	28%	27%	19%	39%	23%	22%	28%	34%
Something else	1%	1%	1%				1%	0% 3%	0% 5%	0%	0%	0% 4%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Don't know/Refused							1%	1 30%	5%	0%	1%		1%	3%	1%	1%	5%	0%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%
h	2%	2%	2%				170	070	0,0	0.70	170	470									1			
06B1 (If Independent/Unaffiliated/Something else) Do				loser tr			170	070	0.00	0.00	.,,,	470												
Q6B1. (If Independent/Unaffiliated/Something else) Do		ler you	rself c			ation			070	0.00				Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
Q6B1. (If Independent/Unaffiliated/Something else) Do		ler you			o: y Affili	ation		ge	0,0		Regio			Edu	cation Bach	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical '	View		Incom	e
Q6B1. (If Independent/Unaffiliated/Something else) Do		ler you	rself c			ation					Regio				Bach	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical				e
Q6B1. (If Independent/Unaffiliated/Something else) Do		ler you	rself c		y Affili	Ind/	A	ge		Suburb	Regio Exurba	n 	Shor	No Bach		Rac			Lib/	olitical Mod	View Conser v	<\$65K	\$65K-	e \$100K
	ou consid	der you Ge	rself c nder	Part	y Affili	Ind/	A	ge	Urban	Suburb	Regio Exurba n	n Phil/South	Shor e	No Bach degree	Bach degree or more	White	Afr Amer	Other	Lib/ Prog	Mod	Conser v		\$65K- \$100K	\$100K
The Republican Party	ou consid	der you Ge M 38%	rself c nder F	Part	y Affili	Ind/	<b>A</b> <b>50-64</b> 29%	ge 65+ 31%	Urban 23%	Suburb 34%	Regio Exurba n 22%	n Phil/South	Shor e 48%	No Bach degree 34%	Bach degree or more 24%	White 36%	Afr Amer 7%	Other 29%	Lib/ Prog 3%	<b>Mod</b> 21%	Conser v 67%	26%	\$65K- \$100K 23%	\$100K· 33%
The Republican Party The Democratic Party	Total	M           38%           29%	rself c nder F 19% 47%	Part	y Affili	Ind/	<b>50-64</b> 29% 36%	<b>ge</b> <b>65+</b> 31% 39%	Urban 23% 39%	Suburb 34% 33%	Region Exurba n 22% 34%	n Phil/South 15% 57%	Shor e 48% 28%	No Bach degree 34% 36%	Bach degree or more 24% 39%	White 36% 33%	Afr Amer 7% 65%	<b>Other</b> 29% 33%	Lib/ Prog 3% 65%	<b>Mod</b> 21% 41%	Conser v 67% 12%	26% 41%	\$65K- \$100K 23% 25%	\$100K 33% 43%
The Republican Party	Total	der you Ge M 38%	rself c nder F 19%	Part	y Affili	Ind/	<b>A</b> <b>50-64</b> 29%	ge 65+ 31%	Urban 23%	Suburb 34%	Regio Exurba n 22%	n Phil/South	Shor e 48%	No Bach degree 34%	Bach degree or more 24%	White 36%	Afr Amer 7%	Other 29%	Lib/ Prog 3%	<b>Mod</b> 21%	Conser v 67%	26%	\$65K- \$100K 23%	\$100K· 33%

,	Democratic primary elect				y Affili										cation	Dee	o/Ethin	altr.		olitical	View	-	Incorr	•
		Gei	nder	Part	y Amili	Ind/	A	ge		1	Regior Exurba	1	Shor	No Bach		Rac	e/Ethni Afr		Lib/	olitical	Conser		Incom \$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem		50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	Exurba n	Phil/South		degree	degree or higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v v	<\$65K	+	\$100K
Ras Baraka	11%	12%	10%	<u> </u>			16%	6%	26%	15%	4%	1%	7%	13%	8%	3%	27%	17%	12%	9%		12%	11%	10%
Steven Fulop	9%	10%	8%				6%	12%	11%	11%	11%	4%	6%	6%	12%	11%	3%	9%	8%	10%		7%	8%	12%
Mikie Sherrill	25%	20%	28%				22%	28%	18%	19%	44%	26%	27%	19%	32%	32%	9%	18%	32%	20%		18%	33%	30%
Josh Gottheimer	9%	10%	8%				8%	9%	11%	12%	6%	3%	10%	7%	11%	11%	5%	3%	10%	9%		9%	10%	9%
Steve Sweeney	5%	5%	6%				5%	6%	0%	2%	0%	21%	2%	8%	3%	5%	11%	0%	4%	6%		8%	0%	5%
Sean Spiller	7%	8%	7%				11%	4%	8%	7%	4%	11%	6%	7%	8%	8%	8%	6%	11%	5%		9%	10%	5%
Don't know	32%	33%	32%				32%	33%	21%	33%	29%	35%	39%	38%	26%	29%	32%	48%	23%	40%		35%	28%	29%
Refused	2%	2%	1%				1%	3%	5%	1%	2%	0%	3%	3%	1%	1%	4%	0%	1%	1%		3%	0%	0%
Q7. (If Republican or lean Republican) If	the Republican primary e	lectior	for G	overno	r were	held to	day, w	hich c	of the fo	llowing	candidat	es would yo	ou vote	for?							•			
		Gei	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	า		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	М	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	1.1	\$100K
Jack Ciattarelli	47%	48%	44%				47%	47%	47%	49%	53%	42%	43%	46%	48%	47%				44%	50%	47%	39%	51%
Jon Bramnick	4%	4%	4%				3%	5%	0%	4%	6%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%				3%	5%	1%	6%	6%
Bill Spadea	10%	10%	11%				12%	9%	10%	12%	13%	8%	8%	11%	10%	13%				9%	12%	11%	7%	11%
Justin Barbera	2%	2%	2%				2%	3%	0%	2%	0%	8%	2%	3%	2%	3%				1%	3%	3%	2%	1%
Mario Kranjac	1%	1%	2%				0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%				0%	2%	0%	2%	2%
Don't know	32%	34%	31%				32%	32%	35%	28%	28%	34%	38%	31%	34%	32%				38%	27%	34%	41%	26%
Refused	3%	1%	6%				3%	3%	9%	5%	0%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%				5%	2%	3%	3%	3%
I'm going to mention some issues that v	oters might feel are impor	tant in	helnin	na than	a maka	thairs	intina i																	
	<b>J</b>		noipii	ig uten	Tillake		oung o	aecisio	on for th	ne next g	jovernor	of New Jers	sey. Fo	r you, how	important are	the can	didates	positio	ons on	each o	f the follo	wing.		
Q8. Jobs and the economy									on for tr	ne next g			sey. Foi			•						wing.		
Q8. Jobs and the economy			nder		y Affili	ation		ge		ne next g	Regio			Edu	cation	•	e/Ethni		P	each of	View	wing.	Incom	
Q8. Jobs and the economy		Gei	nder	Part	y Affili	ation Ind/	A	ge			Regior Exurba	ו ו	Shor	Edu No Bach	cation degree or	Rac	e/Ethni Afr	city	P Lib/	olitical	View Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	Gei M	nder F	Part Rep	y Affili Dem	ation Ind/ Unaff	A 50-64	ge 65+	Urban	Suburb	Regior Exurba n	ו Phil/South	Shor e	Edu No Bach degree	cation degree or higher	Rac White	e/Ethni Afr Amer	city Other	P Lib/ Prog	olitical Mod	View Conser v	<\$65K	\$65K- \$100K	\$100K-
Very important	Total 78%	Gei M 74%	nder F 80%	Part Rep 78%	y Affili Dem 81%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71%	<b>50-64</b>	ge 65+ 75%	Urban 77%	Suburb	Region Exurba n 81%	n Phil/South 74%	Shor e 78%	Edu No Bach degree 79%	cation degree or higher 75%	Rac White 74%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89%	city Other 82%	P Lib/ Prog 76%	olitical Mod 77%	View Conser v 77%	<\$65K 81%	<b>\$65K-</b> <b>\$100K</b> 78%	<b>\$100K</b> -74%
Very important Somewhat important	<b>Total</b> 78% 19%	Ger M 74% 21%	nder F 80% 17%	Part Rep 78% 18%	y Affili Dem 81% 17%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16%	<b>ge</b> 65+ 75% 22%	Urban 77% 13%	Suburb 78% 20%	Region Exurba n 81% 14%	Phil/South 74% 25%	Shor e 78% 19%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17%	cation degree or higher 75% 22%	Rac White 74% 22%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8%	city Other 82% 14%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21%	olitical Mod 77% 20%	View Conser v 77% 18%	<\$65K 81% 13%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21%	<b>\$100K-</b> 74%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important	Total 78% 19% 1%	Ger M 74% 21% 2%	nder F 80% 17% 0%	Part Rep 78% 18% 1%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1%	<b>ge</b> <b>65+</b> 75% 22% 1%	Urban 77% 13% 1%	Suburb 78% 20% 1%	Region Exurba n 81% 14% 2%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1%	Shor e 78% 19% 1%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1%	cation degree or higher 75% 22% 2%	Rac White 74% 22% 2%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0%	city Other 82% 14% 0%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0%	<b>\$100K</b> 74% 24% 1%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important	<b>Total</b> 78% 19% 1%	Ger M 74% 21% 2% 2%	nder F 80% 17% 0% 0%	Part Rep 78% 18% 1%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 1%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1%	Region Exurba n 81% 14% 2% 3%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0%	Shor e 78% 19% 1% 2%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1%	cation degree or higher 75% 22% 2% 0%	Rac           White           74%           22%           2%           1%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0%	city Other 82% 14% 0% 0%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1%	<b>\$100K</b> -74% 24% 1% 1%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know	Total 78% 19% 1% 1%	Ger M 74% 21% 2% 2% 1%	F           80%           17%           0%           0%           2%	Part           Rep           78%           18%           1%           1%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2% 2%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 1% 2%	<b>65+</b> 75% 22% 1% 1% 1%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0%	Region Exurba n 81% 14% 2% 3% 0%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0%	Shor           e           78%           19%           1%           2%           0%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2%	cation           degree or higher           75%           22%           2%           0%           0%	Rac           White           74%           22%           2%           1%           0%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0% 4%	city Other 82% 14% 0% 0% 4%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1% 1%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0%	<b>\$100K</b> -74% 24% 1% 1% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important	<b>Total</b> 78% 19% 1%	Ger M 74% 21% 2% 2%	nder F 80% 17% 0% 0%	Part Rep 78% 18% 1%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 1%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1%	Region Exurba n 81% 14% 2% 3%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0%	Shor e 78% 19% 1% 2%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1%	cation degree or higher 75% 22% 2% 0%	Rac           White           74%           22%           2%           1%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0%	city Other 82% 14% 0% 0%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1%	<b>\$100K</b> -74% 24% 1% 1%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know Refused	Total           78%           19%           1%           1%           0%	Ger M 74% 21% 2% 2% 1%	F           80%           17%           0%           0%           2%	Part           Rep           78%           18%           1%           1%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2% 2%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 1% 2%	<b>65+</b> 75% 22% 1% 1% 1%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0%	Region Exurba n 81% 14% 2% 3% 0%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0%	Shor           e           78%           19%           1%           2%           0%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2%	cation           degree or higher           75%           22%           2%           0%           0%	Rac           White           74%           22%           2%           1%           0%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0% 4%	city Other 82% 14% 0% 0% 4%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1% 1%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0%	<b>\$100K</b> -74% 24% 1% 1% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know	Total           78%           19%           1%           1%           0%	Gen M 74% 21% 2% 2% 1% 0%	F           80%           17%           0%           2%           0%	Part           Rep           78%           18%           1%           1%           0%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1% 0%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2% 2% 0%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 1% 2% 0%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1% 1% 0%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0%	Region           Exurba           n           81%           14%           2%           3%           0%           0%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0%	Shor           e           78%           19%           1%           2%           0%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2% 0%	cation           degree or           higher           75%           22%           2%           0%           0%           0%           0%	Rac White 74% 22% 2% 1% 0% 0%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0% 4% 0%	city           Other           82%           14%           0%           4%           0%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1% 0%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2% 0%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1% 1% 0%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0%	\$100K- 74% 24% 1% 1% 0% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know Refused	Total           78%           19%           1%           1%           0%	Gen M 74% 21% 2% 2% 1% 0%	F           80%           17%           0%           0%           2%	Part           Rep           78%           18%           1%           1%           0%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2% 2% 0% 0%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 1% 2% 0%	<b>65+</b> 75% 22% 1% 1% 1%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0%	Region           Exurba           n           81%           14%           2%           3%           0%           0%           Region	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0%	Shor         e           78%         19%           1%         2%           0%         0%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2% 0% Edu	cation           degree or           higher           75%           22%           2%           0%           0%           0%           0%           cation	Rac White 74% 22% 2% 1% 0% 0%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0% 4% 0% e/Ethni	city           Other           82%           14%           0%           4%           0%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1% 0%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1% 1% 0% View	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0%	\$100K- 74% 24% 1% 1% 0% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know Refused	Total 78% 19% 1% 1% 1% 0% care	Gen M 74% 21% 2% 2% 1% 0% Gen	F           80%           17%           0%           2%           0%           0%	Part           Rep           78%           18%           1%           1%           0%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1% 0%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2% 2% 0% ation Ind/	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 1% 2% 0%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1% 1% 0% 9ge	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9% 0%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0% 0%	Region           Exurba           n           81%           14%           2%           3%           0%           0%           0%           Region	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0%	Shor         e           78%         19%           1%         2%           0%         0%           Shor         Shor	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2% 0% 0% Edu No Bach	cation           degree or           higher           75%           22%           2%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%	Rac           White           74%           22%           2%           1%           0%           0%           Rac	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0% 4% 0% 4% 0% e/Ethni Afr	City           Other           82%           14%           0%           0%           0%           0%           city	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% P Lib/	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2% 0% 0%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1% 1% 0%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3% 0%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Incom \$65K-	<b>\$100K</b> 74% 24% 1% 1% 0% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know Refused Q9. Access to affordable, quality health	Total           78%           19%           1%           1%           0%	Gen 74% 21% 2% 2% 1% 0% Gen M	F           80%           17%           0%           2%           0%	Part           Rep           78%           18%           1%           1%           0%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1% 0%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2% 2% 0% ation Ind/	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 1% 2% 0%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1% 1% 0% 9ge	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9% 0% Urban	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0%	Region           Exurba           n           81%           14%           2%           3%           0%           0%           Region	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0%	Shor         e           78%         19%           1%         2%           0%         0%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2% 0% Edu	cation degree or higher 75% 22% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% cation degree or higher	Rac White 74% 22% 2% 1% 0% 0%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0% 4% 0% e/Ethni	city           Other           82%           14%           0%           4%           0%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1% 0%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2% 0%	View           Conser           v           77%           18%           2%           1%           0%           0%           View           Conser	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Incom \$65K-	\$100K- 74% 24% 1% 1% 0% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know Refused Q9. Access to affordable, quality health Very important	Total 78% 19% 19% 1% 1% 0% care Total 79%	Gen M 74% 21% 2% 1% 0% Gen M 73%	nder F 80% 17% 0% 2% 0% 2% 0% F 85%	Part           Rep           78%           18%           1%           1%           0%           Part           Rep           70%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1% 0% y Affili Dem 86%	ation Ind/ Unaff 23% 1% 2% 2% 0% 2% 0% ation Ind/ Unaff 77%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 2% 0% <b>50-64</b> 78%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1% 0% 9 ge 65+ 80%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9% 0% Urban 87%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0% 0% Suburb 73%	Region           Exurba           n           81%           2%           3%           0%           0%           0%           Exurba           n           81%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Phil/South 83%	Shor         e           78%         19%           1%         2%           0%         0%           Shor         e           78%         78%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2% 0% 0% Edu No Bach degree 81%	cation           degree or           higher           75%           22%           2%           0%	Rac           White           74%           22%           1%           0%           0%           0%           0%           White           77%	e/Ethni Afr 89% 8% 0% 0% 4% 0% e/Ethni Afr Amer 90%	City           Other           82%           14%           0%           4%           0%           city           City           Other           77%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% P Lib/ Prog 90%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2% 0% olitical Mod 80%	View           Conser           v           77%           18%           2%           1%           0%           View           View           Conser           v           65%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3% 0% < \$65K 84%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Incom \$65K- \$100K 83%	\$100K- 74% 24% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know Refused Q9. Access to affordable, quality health Very important Somewhat important	Total           78%           19%           1%           1%           0%           care           Total           78%           1%      <	Gen M 74% 21% 2% 1% 0% Gen M 73% 22%	nder F 80% 17% 0% 2% 0% 2% 0% F 85% 11%	Part Rep 78% 18% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% Part Rep 70% 24%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1% 0% y Affili Dem 86% 10%	ation Ind/ Unaff 23% 2% 2% 2% 0% 2% 0% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 18%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 2% 0% <b>50-64</b> 78% 18%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1% 0% 9% 65+ 80% 15%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9% 0% 0% Urban 87% 7%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 0% 0% Suburb 73% 23%	Region Exurba n 81% 2% 3% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Region Exurba n 81% 14%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Phil/South 83% 14%	Shor         e           78%         19%           1%         2%           0%         0%           0%         0%           78%         15%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2% 0% 0% Edu No Bach degree 81% 15%	cation           degree or           higher           75%           22%           2%           0%	Rac           White           74%           22%           1%           0%           0%           0%           White           77%           18%	e/Ethni Afr 89% 8% 0% 0% 4% 0% e/Ethni Afr Amer 90% 6%	City           Other           82%           14%           0%           4%           0%           4%           0%           city           city           0ther           77%           18%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% Prog 90% 9%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2% 0% olitical Mod 80% 16%	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1% 1% 0% View Conser v coser v 65% 26%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3% 0% < \$65K 84% 11%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 85K- \$100K 83% 14%	\$100K- 74% 24% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Don't know Refused Q9. Access to affordable, quality health Very important Somewhat important Not very important	Care Total 78% 19% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% Care Total 79% 16% 3%	Gen 74% 21% 2% 1% 0% Gen M 73% 22% 4%	F           80%           17%           0%           2%           0%           6           85%           11%           2%	Part Rep 78% 18% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% Part Rep 70% 24% 4%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1% 0% y Affili 86% 10% 2%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 1% 2% 0% 0% ation Ind/ Unaff 77% 18% 3%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 2% 0% <b>50-64</b> 78% 18% 2%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1% 0% 0% ge 65+ 80% 15% 3%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9% 0% 0% Urban 87% 7% 2%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% Suburb 73% 23% 2%	Region           Exurba           n           81%           14%           2%           3%           0%           0%           0%           81%           Region           Region           81%           14%           3%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Phil/South 83% 14% 2%	Shor         e           78%         19%           1%         2%           0%         0%           0%         0%           5%         5%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 2% 0% 0% Edu No Bach degree 81% 15% 1%	cation           degree or           higher           75%           22%           2%           0%	Rac           74%           22%           2%           1%           0%           0%           8           77%           18%           3%	e/Ethni Afr 89% 8% 0% 0% 4% 0% e/Ethni Afr Amer 90% 6% 0%	City           Other           82%           14%           0%           0%           0%           City           City           Other           77%           18%           3%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Prog 90% 9% 1%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2% 0% 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1% 1% 0% View Conser v 65% 26% 5%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3% 0% <\$65K 84% 11% 2%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 85K- \$100K 83% 14% 2%	\$100K- 74% 24% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Don't know Refused Q9. Access to affordable, quality health Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important	Total           78%           19%           1%           1%           0%           care           Total           78%           1%      <	Gen M 74% 21% 2% 1% 0% Gen M 73% 22%	nder F 80% 17% 0% 2% 0% 2% 0% F 85% 11%	Part Rep 78% 18% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% Part Rep 70% 24%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1% y Affili 86% 10% 2% 1%	ation Ind/ Unaff 23% 2% 2% 2% 0% 2% 0% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 18%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 2% 0% <b>50-64</b> 78% 18%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1% 0% 0% ge 65+ 80% 15% 3% 0%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9% 0% 0% Urban 87% 7%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 0% 0% Suburb 73% 23%	Region Exurba n 81% 2% 3% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Region Exurba n 81% 14%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Phil/South 83% 14%	Shor         e           78%         19%           1%         2%           0%         0%           0%         0%           78%         15%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 1% 2% 0% 0% Edu No Bach degree 81% 15%	cation           degree or           higher           75%           22%           2%           0%	Rac           White           74%           22%           1%           0%           0%           0%           White           77%           18%	e/Ethni Afr 89% 8% 0% 0% 4% 0% e/Ethni Afr Amer 90% 6%	City           Other           82%           14%           0%           4%           0%           4%           0%           city           city           0ther           77%           18%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% Prog 90% 9%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0	View           Conser           v           77%           18%           2%           1%           0%           View           Conser           v           65%           26%           5%           2%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 3% 0% < \$65K 84% 11%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 85K- \$100K 83% 14%	\$100K- 74% 24% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Don't know Refused Q9. Access to affordable, quality health Very important Somewhat important Not very important	Care Total 78% 19% 1% 1% 0% Care Total 79% 16% 3% 1%	Gei M 74% 21% 2% 1% 0% Gei M 73% 22% 4% 1%	F           80%           17%           0%           2%           0%           F           85%           11%           2%           11%           2%           11%	Part Rep 78% 18% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% Part Rep 70% 24% 4% 2%	y Affili Dem 81% 17% 1% 0% 1% 0% y Affili 86% 10% 2%	ation Ind/ Unaff 71% 23% 2% 0% 2% 0% 0% ation Ind/ Unaff 77% 18% 3% 1%	<b>50-64</b> 80% 16% 1% 2% 0% <b>50-64</b> 78% 18% 2% 2%	ge 65+ 75% 22% 1% 1% 0% 0% ge 65+ 80% 15% 3%	Urban 77% 13% 1% 0% 9% 0% 0% Urban 87% 7% 2% 0%	Suburb 78% 20% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% Suburb 73% 23% 2% 1%	Region Exurba n 81% 14% 2% 3% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Exurba n 81% 14% 3% 2%	Phil/South 74% 25% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Phil/South 83% 14% 2% 1%	Shor         e           78%         19%           1%         2%           0%         0%           0%         0%           15%         5%           1%         5%	Edu No Bach degree 79% 17% 1% 2% 0% 2% 0% Edu No Bach degree 81% 15% 15% 1%	cation           degree or higher           75%           22%           2%           0%	Rac           74%           22%           2%           1%           0%           0%           0%           0%           77%           18%           3%           1%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 89% 8% 0% 0% 0% 4% 0% 0% 0% 6% 0% 0%	city           Other           82%           14%           0%	P Lib/ Prog 76% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% P Clib/ Prog 90% 9% 1% 0%	olitical Mod 77% 20% 1% 0% 2% 0% 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	View Conser v 77% 18% 2% 1% 1% 0% View Conser v 65% 26% 5%	<\$65K 81% 13% 2% 1% 0% <\$65K 84% 11% 2% 0%	\$65K- \$100K 78% 21% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 865K- \$100K 83% 14% 2% 1%	\$100K- 74% 24% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1%

		Ger	Ider	Part	y Affilia	ation	Ag	je			Regior	า		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Income	•
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	М	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff			Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K
Very important	75%	69%	80%	78%	76%	70%	77%	73%	72%	77%	72%	81%	70%	79%	70%	73%	78%	81%	74%	75%	74%	81%	76%	67%
Somewhat important	20%	25%	16%	18%	20%	25%	18%	23%	18%	19%	23%	18%	25%	16%	26%	21%	18%	15%	21%	20%	22%	14%	20%	27%
Not very important	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%
Not at all important	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	6%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	4%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q11. Making housing more affordable for all income level																								
	, 	Ger	der	Part	y Affilia	ation	Ac	ae			Regior	1		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	citv	P	olitical	View		Income	•
					Í	Ind/	Ĭ				Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K
Very important	64%	57%	71%	55%	74%	58%	67%	61%	70%	62%	63%	70%	59%	70%	55%	58%	87%	76%	79%	60%	53%	77%	59%	55%
Somewhat important	24%	29%	20%	26%	20%	28%		28%	17%	26%	22%	23%	27%	19%	31%	29%	7%	13%	18%	28%	25%	17%	29%	28%
Not very important	7%	10%	5%	12%	3%	10%	8%	7%	6%	8%	11%	3%	8%	6%	9%	8%	1%	10%	2%	8%	13%	3%	9%	11%
Not at all important	3%	5%	2%	7%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	7%	2%	3%	4%
Don't know	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	5%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		-									-	-				-				-				-
Q12. Affordability of property taxes																								
		Ger	der	Part	y Affilia		Ag	je			Regior	1			cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical			Income	e
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	M	F	Rep						Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v		\$100K	· .
Very important	79%	80%	79%	87%	75%	77%		80%	81%	80%	79%	77%	81%	80%	79%	78%	76%	87%	70%	81%	85%	76%	82%	81%
Somewhat important	16%	17%	15%	10%	20%	16%	15%	17%	10%	16%	14%	21%	16%	15%	17%	17%	16%	7%	23%	15%	11%	16%	15%	15%
Not very important	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	5%	4%	1%	3%	3%	6%	1%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%
Not at all important	40/													4.07	10/	1%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1 /0	2/0	0,0		0,0			0%	1%
Don't know	1%	1%	2%	1%	2% 1%	1%	1%	2%	6%	0%	1%	1% 1%	1% 1%	1% 1%	1%	1%	4%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	-	0%
Don't know Refused					2%																	2% 0%	0%	070
Refused	1% 0%	1% 0%	2% 0%	1% 0%	2% 1% 0%	1% 0%	1% 0%	2% 0%	6% 0%	0% 0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	2%	0%	2%	1%		-	0 78
	1% 0%	1% 0%	2% 0%	1% 0% so they	2% 1% 0% / can s	1% 0% tay in 1	1% 0%	2% 0% omes a	6% 0%	0% 0%	1% 0%	1% 0%	1%	1% 0%	1% 0%	1% 0%	4% 0%	2% 0%	0% 0%	2% 0%	1% 0%		0%	
Refused	1% 0%	1% 0%	2% 0%	1% 0% so they	2% 1% 0%	1% 0% tay in t	1% 0%	2% 0% omes a	6% 0%	0% 0%	1% 0% Regior	1% 0%	1% 0%	1% 0% Edu	1% 0% cation	1% 0%	4% 0% e/Ethnie	2% 0%	0% 0%	2%	1% 0% View		0%	
Refused	1% 0% support	1% 0% ive sei Ger	2% 0% rvices s	1% 0% so they Part	2% 1% 0% / can s y Affilia	1% 0% tay in t ation Ind/	1% 0% their ho Ag	2% 0% omes a ge	6% 0% s they	0% 0% age	1% 0% Region Exurba	1% 0%	1% 0% Shor	1% 0% Edu No Bach	1% 0% cation degree or	1% 0% Rac	4% 0% e/Ethnie Afr	2% 0% city	0% 0% P Lib/	2% 0% olitical	1% 0%	0%	0% Income \$65K-	9
Refused Q13. Ensuring older New Jersey residents have access to	1% 0% support	1% 0% tive ser Ger	2% 0% rvices s ider F	1% 0% so they Party Rep	2% 1% 0% / can s y Affilia	1% 0% tay in t ation Ind/ Unaff	1% 0% their ho Ag 50-64	2% 0% omes a ge 65+	6% 0% s they Urban	0% 0% age Suburb	1% 0% Region Exurba n	1% 0% Phil/South	1% 0% Shor e	1% 0% Edu No Bach degree	1% 0% cation degree or higher	1% 0% Rac White	4% 0% e/Ethnie Afr Amer	2% 0% city Other	0% 0%  Lib/ Prog	2% 0% olitical	1% 0% View Conser v	0% <\$65K	0% Income \$65K- \$100K	∍ \$100K
Refused Q13. Ensuring older New Jersey residents have access to Very important	1% 0% support Total 72%	1% 0% ive sei Ger M 70%	2% 0% rvices s ider F 74%	1% 0% so they Part Rep 68%	2% 1% 0% / can s y Affilia Dem 81%	1% 0% tay in t ation Ind/ Unaff 64%	1% 0% their ho Ag 50-64 68%	2% 0% omes a ge 65+ 76%	6% 0% s they Urban 70%	0% 0% age Suburb 74%	1% 0% Regior Exurba n 63%	1% 0% Phil/South 79%	1% 0% Shor e 72%	1% 0% Edu No Bach degree 78%	1% 0% cation degree or higher 64%	1% 0% Rac White 70%	4% 0% e/Ethnie Afr Amer 83%	2% 0% city Other 76%	0% 0% P Lib/ Prog 82%	2% 0% olitical Mod 67%	1% 0% View Conser v 70%	0% <\$65K 82%	0% Income \$65K- \$100K 76%	9 \$100K 62%
Refused Q13. Ensuring older New Jersey residents have access to Very important Somewhat important	1% 0% support Total 72% 23%	1% 0% ive ser Ger M 70% 24%	2% 0% vices s der F 74% 22%	1% 0% so they Party Rep 68% 26%	2% 1% 0% / can s y Affilia 81% 17%	1% 0% tay in 1 ation Ind/ Unaff 64% 30%	1% 0% their ho <b>Ag</b> 50-64 68% 24%	2% 0% omes a ge 65+ 76% 22%	6% 0% s they Urban 70% 22%	0% 0% age Suburb 74% 21%	1% 0% Region Exurba n 63% 32%	1% 0% Phil/South 79% 19%	1% 0% Shor e 72% 24%	1% 0% Edu No Bach degree 78% 17%	1% 0% cation degree or higher 64% 31%	1% 0% Rac White 70% 27%	4% 0% e/Ethnie Afr Amer 83% 12%	2% 0% city Other 76% 14%	0% 0% Lib/ Prog 82% 15%	2% 0% olitical Mod 67% 28%	1% 0% View Conser v 70% 24%	0% <\$65K 82% 14%	0% Income \$65K- \$100K 76% 21%	<b>\$100K</b> 62% 31%
Refused Q13. Ensuring older New Jersey residents have access to Very important Somewhat important Not very important	1% 0% support Total 72% 23% 3%	1% 0% ive ser Ger M 70% 24% 3%	2% 0% vices s ider F 74% 22% 3%	1% 0% so they Part Rep 68% 26% 4%	2% 1% 0% / can s y Affilia Dem 81% 17% 2%	1% 0% tay in 1 ation Ind/ Unaff 64% 30% 4%	1% 0% their hc 50-64 68% 24% 5%	2% 0% omes a ge 65+ 76% 22% 1%	6% 0% s they Urban 70% 22% 4%	0% 0% age Suburb 74% 21% 3%	1% 0% Region Exurba n 63% 32% 4%	1% 0% Phil/South 79% 19% 1%	1% 0% Shor e 72% 24% 3%	1% 0% Edu No Bach degree 78% 17% 2%	1% 0% cation degree or higher 64% 31% 4%	1% 0% Rac White 70% 27% 2%	4% 0% e/Ethnie Afr Amer 83% 12% 0%	2% 0% city Other 76% 14% 9%	0% 0% Lib/ Prog 82% 15% 2%	2% 0% olitical Mod 67% 28% 3%	1% 0% View Conser v 70% 24% 4%	0% < <b>\$65K</b> 82% 14% 1%	0% Income \$65K- \$100K 76% 21% 2%	\$100K 62% 31% 5%
Refused Q13. Ensuring older New Jersey residents have access to Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not all important	1% 0% support Total 72% 23% 3% 0%	1% 0% iive sei Ger M 70% 24% 3% 1%	2% 0% vices s der F 74% 22% 3% 0%	1% 0% so they Part Rep 68% 26% 4% 1%	2% 1% 0% / can s y Affili 81% 17% 2% 0%	1% 0% tay in 1 ation Ind/ Unaff 64% 30% 4% 0%	1% 0% their ho 50-64 68% 24% 5% 1%	2% 0% omes a ge 65+ 76% 22% 1% 0%	6% 0% s they a Urban 70% 22% 4% 0%	0% 0% age Suburb 74% 21% 3% 1%	1% 0% Region Exurba n 63% 32% 4% 0%	1% 0% Phil/South 79% 19% 1%	1% 0% Shor e 72% 24% 3% 0%	1% 0% No Bach degree 78% 17% 2% 1%	1% 0% cation degree or higher 64% 31% 4% 0%	1% 0% <b>Rac</b> White 70% 27% 2% 1%	4% 0% e/Ethnie Afr Amer 83% 12% 0% 0%	2% 0% city 0ther 76% 14% 9% 0%	0% 0% Lib/ Prog 82% 15% 2% 0%	2% 0% olitical Mod 67% 28% 3% 0%	1% 0% View Conser v 70% 24% 4% 1%	0% <\$65K 82% 14% 1% 0%	0% Income \$65K- \$100K 76% 21% 2% 0%	\$100K 62% 31% 5% 1%
Refused Q13. Ensuring older New Jersey residents have access to Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important Don't know	1% 0% support 72% 23% 3% 0% 1%	1% 0% ive se Ger M 70% 24% 3% 1% 2%	2% 0% vices s der F 74% 22% 3% 0% 1%	1% 0% so they Part 68% 26% 4% 1%	2% 1% 0% / can s y Affilia 81% 17% 2% 0% 1%	1% 0% tay in 1 ation Ind/ Unaff 64% 30% 4% 0% 3%	1% 0% cheir ho 50-64 68% 24% 5% 1% 2%	2% 0% omes a ge 65+ 76% 22% 1% 0%	6% 0% s they s Urban 70% 22% 4% 0% 4%	0% 0% age Suburb 74% 21% 3% 1%	1% 0% <b>Region</b> Exurba n 63% 32% 4% 0% 1%	1% 0% Phil/South 79% 19% 1% 1%	1% 0% Shor e 72% 24% 3% 0% 1%	1% 0% <b>Edu</b> No Bach degree 78% 17% 2% 1% 2%	1% 0% cation degree or higher 64% 31% 4% 0% 1%	1% 0% Rac White 70% 27% 2% 1% 1%	4% 0% e/Ethnie Afr Amer 83% 12% 0% 0% 5%	2% 0% city Other 76% 14% 9% 0% 1%	0% 0% Lib/ Prog 82% 15% 2% 0% 1%	2% 0% olitical 67% 28% 3% 0% 1%	1% 0% View Conser v 70% 24% 4% 1% 2%	0% <\$65K 82% 14% 1% 0% 3%	0% Income \$65K- \$100K 76% 21% 2% 0% 1%	\$ \$100K 62% 31% 5% 1% 0%
Refused Q13. Ensuring older New Jersey residents have access to Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not all important	1% 0% support Total 72% 23% 3% 0%	1% 0% iive sei Ger M 70% 24% 3% 1%	2% 0% vices s der F 74% 22% 3% 0%	1% 0% so they Part Rep 68% 26% 4% 1%	2% 1% 0% / can s y Affili 81% 17% 2% 0%	1% 0% tay in 1 ation Ind/ Unaff 64% 30% 4% 0%	1% 0% their ho 50-64 68% 24% 5% 1%	2% 0% omes a ge 65+ 76% 22% 1% 0%	6% 0% s they a Urban 70% 22% 4% 0%	0% 0% age Suburb 74% 21% 3% 1%	1% 0% Region Exurba n 63% 32% 4% 0%	1% 0% Phil/South 79% 19% 1%	1% 0% Shor e 72% 24% 3% 0%	1% 0% No Bach degree 78% 17% 2% 1%	1% 0% cation degree or higher 64% 31% 4% 0%	1% 0% <b>Rac</b> White 70% 27% 2% 1%	4% 0% e/Ethnie Afr Amer 83% 12% 0% 0%	2% 0% city 0ther 76% 14% 9% 0%	0% 0% Lib/ Prog 82% 15% 2% 0%	2% 0% olitical Mod 67% 28% 3% 0%	1% 0% View Conser v 70% 24% 4% 1%	0% <\$65K 82% 14% 1% 0%	0% Income \$65K- \$100K 76% 21% 2% 0%	\$100K 62% 31% 5% 1%

		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	Ag	je			Regio	า		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
					Í	Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K·
Very important	71%	66%	75%	79%	68%	66%	70%	72%	71%	69%	65%	81%	71%	78%	62%	70%	76%	74%	66%	68%	78%	79%	75%	61%
Somewhat important	22%	26%	19%	16%	26%	22%	21%	22%	17%	25%	24%	16%	24%	16%	30%	24%	16%	17%	26%	24%	16%	14%	20%	31%
Not very important	4%	6%	3%	2%	3%	8%	6%	2%	6%	5%	5%	2%	4%	3%	6%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%	3%	1%	5%	7%
Not at all important	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	4%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Don't know	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	6%	0%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	5%	1%	3%	2%	4%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q15. Improving the response plan for natural disasters	1		nder	Dort	v Affili	otion		je	1		Regio			Edu	cation	Baa	e/Ethni	aitre		olitical	View		Incom	
		Ge	luer	Part		Ind/	Ą	je			Exurba	1	Shor	No Bach	degree or	Кас	Afr		Lib/	ontical	Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Bon	Dem		50-64	65+	Ilrhan	Suburb		Phil/South			higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	Conser	- CER	\$100K	¢100K
Verre immendent	51%	51%	<b>F</b>	<b>Rep</b>	59%	42%	47%	55%	53%	49%	<b>n</b> 46%	57%	<b>e</b> 51%	degree 57%	44%	47%	64%	57%	56%	48%	49%		52%	43%
Very important	37%	37%	36%	48%	33%	42%	47% 38%	55% 35%	53% 34%	49%	46% 39%	30%	37%	32%	44%	39%	64% 26%	-	35%	48%	49% 36%	60%	40%	-
Somewhat important	-		7%						-	-	39% 12%		-		-			34%	6%	-		27%	40%	43%
Not very important	8%	9%		9%	6%	11%	10%	7%	4%	7%		11%	8%	7%	10%	10%	6%	3%	-	9%	9%	8%	-	11%
Not at all important	2%	3%	2%	3%	0%	4%	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	0%	4%	2%	1%	5%	2%	1%	3%
Don't know	2%	0%	3%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%	0%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q16. Improving quality standards in nursing homes																								
with improving quality standards in hursing nomes	1	Ge	nder	Part	v Affili	ation	A	ie			Regio	<u>ו</u>		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	citv	Р	olitical	View		Incom	e
			1		1	Ind/		<b>.</b>			Exurba		Shor		degree or		Afr	<b>,</b>	Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	e	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K
Very important	59%	53%	64%	57%	62%	52%	51%	65%	59%	57%	50%	66%	59%	66%	49%	56%	74%	58%	58%	54%	60%	70%	62%	45%
Somewhat important	30%	33%	27%	29%	32%	31%	34%	26%	27%	31%	39%	26%	27%	25%	37%	33%	18%	31%	36%	33%	24%	20%	31%	41%
Not very important	7%	9%	5%	8%	3%	11%	9%	5%	6%	8%	6%	4%	9%	5%	10%	8%	4%	6%	4%	9%	7%	4%	5%	11%
Not at all important	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%
Don't know	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	5%	3%	2%	7%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%	4%	1%	2%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q17. Providing support for family caregivers	•																				•	•		
		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	Ag	je			Regio	า		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
				Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64			Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other		Mod	v		\$100K	
	Total	м	F							500/	45%	54%	47%	58%	41%	45%	72%	57%	59%	46%	45%	68%	47%	36%
Very important	<b>Total</b> 50%	M 45%	<b>F</b> 55%	48%	59%	41%	51%	50%	53%	52%	45%	04 /0	41 /0	5070	4170		/ 0	0.70		1070	4070			
		45% 38%	55% 34%	48% 34%	59% 35%	39%	51% 32%	39%	31%	32%	45%	36%	38%	30%	43%	40%	21%	28%	33%	40%	34%	23%	42%	46%
Somewhat important	50%	45%	55%	48%	59%		-				45% 6%	-				-		-	33% 3%	-	-		42% 9%	46% 14%
Somewhat important Not very important	50% 36%	45% 38%	55% 34%	48% 34%	59% 35%	39%	32%	39%	31%	32%	45%	36%	38%	30%	43%	40%	21%	28%		40%	34%	23%		-
Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important	50% 36% 9%	45% 38% 12%	55% 34% 7%	48% 34% 14%	59% 35% 4%	39% 12%	32% 11%	39% 8%	31% 4%	32% 12%	45% 6%	36% 7%	38% 13%	30% 6%	43% 13%	40% 11%	21% 0%	28% 10%	3%	40% 11%	34% 14%	23% 5%	9%	14%
Very important Somewhat important Not very important Not all important Don't know Refused	50% 36% 9% 2%	45% 38% 12% 3%	55% 34% 7% 2%	48% 34% 14% 2%	59% 35% 4% 2%	39% 12% 3%	32% 11% 3%	39% 8% 1%	31% 4% 4%	32% 12% 2%	45% 6% 3%	36% 7% 2%	38% 13% 1%	30% 6% 2%	43% 13% 2%	40% 11% 2%	21% 0% 0%	28% 10% 5%	3% 3%	40% 11% 1%	34% 14% 3%	23% 5% 2%	9% 0%	14% 3%

| Total<br>48%<br>52%<br>0%<br>0%<br>ell me if any c<br>Total<br>80%<br>20% | Gen<br>M   | F<br>46%<br>54%<br>0%<br>0%<br>0%  | Rep           60%           40%           0%           0%           ng are   | 36%<br>64%<br>0%<br>0%<br>reason   | Ind/<br>Unaff<br>53%<br>47%<br>0%<br>0%  | <b>50-64</b><br>54%<br>46%<br>0%<br>0%   | 42%<br>58%<br>0%<br>0%  | Urban<br>49%<br>51%<br>0%<br>0%   | Suburb<br>49%<br>51%<br>0%   
   
   | Region           Exurba           n           46%           54%           0%  | Phil/South<br>46%<br>54%  | <b>Shor</b><br><b>e</b><br>48%  | Edue<br>No Bach<br>degree<br>49%  
  | cation<br>degree or<br>higher<br>46%   | White  | e/Ethni<br>Afr<br>Amer   
   | Other   
   | P<br>Lib/<br>Prog<br>34%  
   | olitical<br>Mod<br>50%  
   | View<br>Conser<br>v<br>59%  
  | <b>&lt;\$65K</b><br>47%  | Incom<br>\$65K-<br>\$100K  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---
--
--
---|---|---|--|--|--
--
--
--
---
---
---
--	--
48% 52% 0% 0% ell me if any c	49% 51% 0% 0% of the fo Gen
   
   | n<br>46%<br>54%   | 46%   | <b>e</b><br>48%   | degree  
  | higher   |  | Amer   
   |   
   | Prog  
   |   
   | v   
  |  | \$100K   |  |
| 48%<br>52%<br>0%<br>0%<br>ell me if any c                                 | 49%<br>51%<br>0%<br>0%<br>of the fo<br>Gen   | 46%<br>54%<br>0%<br>0%<br>ollowir  | 60%<br>40%<br>0%<br>0%   | 36%<br>64%<br>0%<br>0%<br>reason   | 53%<br>47%<br>0%<br>0%   | 54%<br>46%<br>0%<br>0%   | 42%<br>58%<br>0%<br>0%  | 49%<br>51%<br>0%  | 49%<br>51%<br>0%   
   
   | 46%<br>54%  | 46%   | 48%   | -   
  | -  |  |  
   |   
   | -   
   |   
   | -   
  |  |  | \$100K   |
| 52%<br>0%<br>0%<br>ell me if any c<br>Total<br>80%                        | 51%<br>0%<br>0%<br>of the fe<br>Gen  | 54%<br>0%<br>0%<br>ollowir   | 40%<br>0%<br>0%  | 64%<br>0%<br>0%<br>reason  | 47%<br>0%<br>0%  | 46%<br>0%<br>0%  | 58%<br>0%<br>0%   | 51%<br>0%   | 51%<br>0%  
   
   | 54%   |   |   | 49%   
  | 46%  | 400/   |  
   | 0 - 0 /   
   | 240/  
   | 50%   
   | 500/  
  | 470/   |  |  |
| 0%<br>0%<br>ell me if any c<br>Total<br>80%                               | 0%<br>0%<br>of the fo<br>Gen   | 0%<br>0%<br>ollowir  | 0%<br>0%<br>ng are   | 0%<br>0%<br>reason   | 0%<br>0%   | 0%<br>0%   | 0%<br>0%  | 0%  | 0%   
   
   |   | 54%   |   |   
  | 4070   | 46%  | 42%  
   | 65%   
   | 3470  
   | 3070  
   | 59%   
  | 41 70  | 49%  | 48%  |
| 0%<br>ell me if any c<br>Total<br>80%                                     | 0%<br>of the fo<br>Gen   | 0%<br>ollowir<br>nder  | 0%<br>ng are   | 0%<br>reason   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%  |   |  
   
   | 0%  |   | 52%   | 51%   
  | 54%  | 54%  | 58%  
   | 35%   
   | 66%   
   | 50%   
   | 41%   
  | 53%  | 51%  | 52%  |
| ell me if any c<br>Total<br>80%   | of the fo<br>Gen<br>M  | ollowir<br>nder  | ng are   | reason   |  |  |   | 0%  | 00/  
   
   |   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| <b>Total</b>  | Gen<br>M   | nder   |  |  | is for y   | /ou to c   | L   |   | 0%   
   
   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| <b>Total</b>  | Gen<br>M   | nder   |  |  | s for y  | ou to o  | ·   | 1   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  |  |
| 80%   | м  |  | Part   |  |  |  | conside   | er movi   | ng out o   
   
   | f New Je  | rsey?   |   | • • • •   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   | 1   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  |  |
| 80%   | м  |  | Part   |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   | •   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  |  |
| 80%   |  |  |  | y Affilia  | ation  | A  | ge  |   |  
   
   | Regio   | า   |   | Edu   
  | cation   | Rac  | e/Ethni  
   | city  
   | P   
   | olitical  
   | View  
  |  | Income   | e  |
| 80%   |  |  |  |  | Ind/   |  | Ī   |   |  
   
   | Exurba  |   | Shor  | No Bach   
  | degree or  |  | Afr  
   |   
   | Lib/  
   |   
   | Conser  
  |  | \$65K-   |  |
|   |  | F  | Rep  | Dem  | Unaff  | 50-64  | 65+   | Urban   | Suburb   
   
   | n   | Phil/South  | е   | degree  
  | higher   | White  | Amer   
   | Other   
   | Prog  
   | Mod   
   | v   
  | <\$65K   | \$100K   | \$100K   |
|   | 81%  | 78%  | 89%  | 75%  | 76%  | 79%  | 80%   | 63%   | 78%  
   
   | 86%   | 81%   | 87%   | 78%   
  | 82%  | 83%  | 61%  
   | 79%   
   | 68%   
   | 81%   
   | 86%   
  | 67%  | 92%  | 86%  |
|   | 19%  | 21%  | 11%  |  |  | 21%  | 19%   | 37%   | 22%  
   
   | 14%   | 17%   | 13%   | 21%   
  | 18%  | 16%  | 39%  
   | 21%   
   | 32%   
   | 18%   
   | 14%   
  | 32%  | 8%   | 14%  |
| 0%  | 0%   | 1%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 1%  | 0%  | 0%   
   
   | 0%  | 2%  | 0%  | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 1%  
   | 0%  
  | 1%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| 0%  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%   
   
   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| 0,0   | 0.10   | 0.00   | 0.10   | 0.00   | 0.10   | 0.00   | 0.10  | 0.00  | 070  
   
   | 0,0   | 0.0   | 0,0   | 0,0   
  | 0,0  | 070  | 0.00   
   | 0.00  
   | 0.00  
   | 0.00  
   | 0.00  
  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  | 1  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   | 1   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  | 1  |
|   | Gen  | nder   | Part   | v Affili:  | ation  | A  | ae  |   |  
   
   | Regio   | 1   |   | Edu   
  | cation   | Rac  | e/Ethni  
   | city  
   | P   
   | olitical  
   | View  
  |  | Incom  | e  |
|   |  |  | 1 411  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   | Shor  |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  |  |
| Total   | м  | F  | Rep  | Dem  | -  | 50-64  | 65+   | Urban   | Suburb   
   
   |   | Phil/South  |   |   
  | •  | White  |  
   | Other   
   |   
   | Mod   
   | v   
  | <\$65K   |  |  |
|   |  |  |  | -  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  | -  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   | 53%   
  |  |  | 34%  |
| -   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   | -   |   |   
  | -  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  | 66%  |
|   |  |  | -  |  | -  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  | -  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  | 0%   |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   | _   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  | 0%   |
| 076   | 0 /0   | 0 /0   | 0 /0   | 0 /0   | 0 /0   | 0 /0   | 0 /0  | 0 /0  | 0 /0   
   
   | 0 /0  | 0 /0  | 0 /0  | 0 /0  
  | 0 70   | 0 /0   | 0 /0   
   | 0 /0  
   | 0 /0  
   | 0 /0  
   | 0 /0  
  | 0 /0   | 0 /0   | 0 /0   |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  |  |
|   | Gor  | dor  | Dort   | Affilia  | ation  | <b>A</b>   | ~~~   |   |  
   
   | Pogio   |   |   | Edu   
  | action   | Baa  | o/Ethni  
   | oity  
   |   
   | olitical  
   | liow  
  |  | Incom  |  |
|   | Gei  |  | Fait   |  |  | , A  | ye<br>I   |   |  
   
   |   | 1   | Shor  |   
  |  | Nau  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  |  |
| Total   | м  | F  | Pon  | Dom  | -  | 50-64  | 65+   | Urban   | Suburb   
   
   |   | Phil/South  |   |   
  | •  | White  |  
   | Othor   
   |   
   | Mod   
   | v   
  | ~\$65K   |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   | -   
  | -  |  |  
   |   
   | -   
   |   
   | <b>v</b>  
  |  |  |  |
| -   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  | 22%  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  | -  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  | 78%  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  | 0%   |
| 0%  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%   
   
   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  | '  |  |
| ving  | -  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  | _  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  | <u> </u>   |  |
|   | Gen  | nder   | Part   | y Affilia  |  | A  | ge  |   |  
   
   |   | <u>ו</u>  | 01  |   
  |  | Rac  |  
   | city  
   |   
   | olitical  
   | -   
  |  |  |  |
|   |  | _  | _  | _  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  | -  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   | Conser  
  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  | -  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   | -   | -   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   | v   
  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   |   |   |   |   
  |  |  |  
   |   
   |   
   |   
   |   
  |  |  | 87%  |
| 11%   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  
   
   | -   | -   | 8%  |   
  |  | 11%  |  
   | 12%   
   |   
   | 11%   
   |   
  | 10%  |  | 13%  |
| 0%  | 1%   |  |  |  | 0%   |  | 1%  | 0%  | 1%   
   
   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 1%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 4%   
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
  | 1%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| 0%  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%   
   
   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
   | 0%  
  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   |
|   | Total           37%           63%           0%           0%           0%           0%           0%           ving           Total           89%           11%           0% | Total         M           37%         41%           63%         59%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           0%         0%           ving         Ger           Total         M           89%         88%           11%         11%           0%         1% | Gender           Total         M         F           37%         41%         33%           63%         59%         67%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           ving         Gender           Total         M         F           89%         88%         89%           11%         11%         11% | Gender         Part           Total         M         F         Rep           37%         41%         33%         49%           63%         59%         67%         51%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           72%         77%         68%         74%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%           ving         E         Gender         Part           Total         M         F         Rep           89%         88%         89%         92%           11%         11%         11%         8%           0%         0%         0% | Gender         Party Affilia           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           28%         23%         32%         26%         33%           72%         77%         68%         74%         67%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           1%         1%         1%         1%         1% | Gender         Party Affiliation           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0% | Gender         Party Affiliation         A           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%     < | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Ind/           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0% | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%         0%           28%         23%         32%         26%         33%         26%         28%         20%           72%         77%         68%         74%         67%         74%         72%         72%         80%           0% <td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban         Suburb           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%           0%</td> <td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Ind/         Ind/         Exurba           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%           0%</td> <td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban         Suburb         n         Phil/South           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%         71%           0%</td> <td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban         Suburb         n         Phil/South         e           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%           0%</td> <td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Edu           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban         Suburb         No         Bach           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         32%         29%         40%         41%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%         59%           0%</td> <td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         31%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         0%</td> <td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Rac           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Ind/         Suburb         n         Phil/South         e         degree         higher         White           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         36%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         64%           0%         <td< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethni           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         36%         39%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%         0%         0%         30%         30%           0%         <t< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         52%         63%         66%         66%         68%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         56%         68%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         56%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         <t< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         P           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Und/         50-64         65+         Urban Suburb         n         Phil/South         e         degree         higher         White         Amr         Other         Prog           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         32%         29%         40%         31%         36%         63%         61%         63%         61%         61%         63%         64%         61%         63%         61%         63%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         Political           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         22%         23%         41%         33%         49%         31%         44%         32%         28%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Image: Construct of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synthesis of the synthesis of the syn</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td></td<></td></td<></td></t<></td></t<></td></td<></td> | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban         Suburb           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%           0% | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Ind/         Ind/         Exurba           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%           0% | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban         Suburb         n         Phil/South           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%         71%           0% | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban         Suburb         n         Phil/South         e           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%           0% | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Edu           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Unaff         50-64         65+         Urban         Suburb         No         Bach           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         32%         29%         40%         41%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%         59%           0% | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         31%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         69%         68%         71%         60%         0% | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Rac           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Ind/         Suburb         n         Phil/South         e         degree         higher         White           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         36%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         70%         68%         56%         68%         71%         60%         59%         69%         64%           0% <td< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethni           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         36%         39%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%         0%         0%         30%         30%           0%         <t< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         52%         63%         66%         66%         68%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         56%         68%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         56%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         <t< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         P           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Und/         50-64         65+         Urban Suburb         n         Phil/South         e         degree         higher         White         Amr         Other         Prog           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         32%         29%         40%         31%         36%         63%         61%         63%         61%         61%         63%         64%         61%         63%         61%         63%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         Political           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         22%         23%         41%         33%         49%         31%         44%         32%         28%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Image: Construct of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synthesis of the synthesis of the syn</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td></td<></td></td<></td></t<></td></t<></td></td<> | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethni           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         32%         44%         31%         44%         32%         29%         40%         41%         31%         30%         36%         39%           63%         59%         67%         51%         69%         56%         68%         71%         60%         0%         0%         30%         30%           0% <t< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         52%         63%         66%         66%         68%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         56%         68%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         56%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         <t< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         P           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Und/         50-64         65+         Urban Suburb         n         Phil/South         e         degree         higher         White         Amr         Other         Prog           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         32%         29%         40%         31%         36%         63%         61%         63%         61%         61%         63%         64%         61%         63%         61%         63%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         Political           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         22%         23%         41%         33%         49%         31%         44%         32%         28%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Image: Construct of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synthesis of the synthesis of the syn</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td></td<></td></td<></td></t<></td></t<> | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         52%         63%         66%         66%         68%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         56%         68%         71%         60%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         56%         64%         61%         63%         71%         60%         0% <t< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         P           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Und/         50-64         65+         Urban Suburb         n         Phil/South         e         degree         higher         White         Amr         Other         Prog           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         32%         29%         40%         31%         36%         63%         61%         63%         61%         61%         63%         64%         61%         63%         61%         63%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         Political           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         22%         23%         41%         33%         49%         31%         44%         32%         28%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Image: Construct of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synthesis of the synthesis of the syn</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td></td<></td></td<></td></t<> | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         P           Total         M         F         Rep         Dem         Und/         50-64         65+         Urban Suburb         n         Phil/South         e         degree         higher         White         Amr         Other         Prog           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         32%         29%         40%         31%         36%         63%         61%         63%         61%         61%         63%         64%         61%         63%         61%         63%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         66%         69%         0% <td< td=""><td>Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         Political           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         22%         23%         41%         33%         49%         31%         44%         32%         28%         0%         <td< td=""><td>Image: Construct of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synthesis of the synthesis of the syn</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td></td<></td></td<> | Gender         Party Affiliation         Age         Region         Education         Race/Ethnicity         Political           37%         41%         33%         49%         31%         30%         22%         23%         41%         33%         49%         31%         44%         32%         28%         0% <td< td=""><td>Image: Construct of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synthesis of the synthesis of the syn</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td><td>Image: Constraint of the state of</td></td<> | Image: Construct of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synthesis of the synthesis of the syn | Image: Constraint of the state of | Image: Constraint of the state of |

	care facilities	<b>C</b>		Dort	y Affili	otion		~~			Deale			E-1	cation	Dee	e/Ethni	altr.	<u> </u>	olitical	View		Incom	
		Gel	nder	Part	y Amili I		Ą	ge			Regio Exurba		Shor			Rac				ontical	-		Incom \$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Ind/ Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	Exurba	Phil/South	snor e	No Bach degree	degree or higher	White	Afr Amer	Other	Lib/ Prog	Mod	Conser v	<\$65K		\$100K
Yes	30%	33%	27%	28%	29%	32%	27%	33%	28%	31%	27%	27%	32%	35%	23%	23%	40%	48%	27%	29%	32%	40%	35%	20%
No	70%	67%	72%	72%	69%	68%	73%	66%	72%	69%	69%	73%	68%	64%	77%	76%	60%	52%	73%	69%	68%	59%	65%	80%
Don't know	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q26. Want better access to public transportation			nder	Dort	y Affili	otion	•	~~			Regio	<b>n</b>		Edu	cation	Bac	e/Ethni	altre		olitical	View	1	Incom	
		Ge	luer	Part	y Anni I	Ind/	Ą	ge		-	Exurba		Shor	No Bach		Rau	Afr		Lib/	ontical	Conser		\$65K-	
	Total		F	Ban	Dam		50.04	<b>65</b> .		C hh		Phil/South			degree or	White		Other		Mod		<\$65K		
		M		Rep						Suburb			e	degree	higher		Amer		Prog		V			
Yes	20%	19%	21%	14%	32%	18%	16%	26%	23%	21%	27%	11%	21%	22%	18%	14%	30%	37%	21%	20%	19%	34%	15%	12%
No	79%	80%	79%	86%	67%	82%	84%	74%	77%	79%	73%	89%	78%	78%	82%	85%	70%	63%	79%	80%	81%	66%	85%	88%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q27. Want to live in a different climate																								
		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	citv	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
			1		Í	Ind/		Ĭ			Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	Ì
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K
Yes	43%	44%	41%	44%	35%	47%	45%	40%	51%	49%	53%	30%	31%	41%	45%	43%	49%	40%	34%	49%	44%	42%	33%	46%
No	57%	56%	58%	56%	65%	52%	55%	59%	49%	51%	43%	70%	69%	58%	55%	57%	51%	60%	66%	51%	56%	58%	67%	52%
Don't know	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	0,0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.00	0.0		0,0	0.10	0,0	0.0	0,0		0,0	0,0	0.0	0.00	0,0	0.10		
Q28. Want a home that you can live independently i	n as you age, f	or exa	mple, a	a small	er hon	he or o	ne with	out sta	airs		!					!					•			
		Gei	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	М	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff				Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K		
Yes	58%	53%	63%	57%	68%	47%	51%	66%	63%	50%	54%	69%	59%	60%	55%	57%	67%	56%	66%	59%	51%	65%	64%	49%
No	42%	47%	37%	43%	32%	52%	49%	33%	37%	50%	46%	30%	41%	40%	45%	43%	33%	44%	34%	40%	49%	35%	36%	51%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q29. Can no longer afford the cost of maintaining m	ny current resid																						<u> </u>	
		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili		Ą	ge			Regio				cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical			Incom	
			L _		_	Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem					Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v		·	\$100K
Yes	56%	57%	54%	52%	57%	60%	59%	52%	46%	65%	41%	59%	56%	63%	45%	53%	52%	67%	58%	59%	52%	68%	66%	42%
No	44%	43%	46%	48%	43%	40%	41%	48%	54%	35%	59%	41%	44%	37%	55%	47%	48%	33%	42%	41%	48%	32%	34%	58%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
																			1		1			

Q30. Is there any other reason, not mentioned, that made	you con	sider r	noving	out of	New J	ersey?	)																	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
					Ī	Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	М	F	Rep		Unaff				Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v			\$100K+
Yes	41%	43%	40%			52%	43%	39%	41%	42%	37%	42%	42%	36%	48%	42%	20%	50%	32%	43%	45%	35%	36%	46%
Νο	59%	57%	60%	56%	74%		57%	61%		57%	63%	58%	58%	64%	52%	58%	80%	50%	67%	57%	55%	65%	64%	54%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q32. An Accessory Dwelling Unit, or ADU, includes a kite																								
current residence with live-in care; make it possible for y	ounger a	1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ives an	d loved o			tal inco									or prop		
	_	Ge	nder	Part	y Affili		A	ge			Regio				cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical	-		Incom	
					_	Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep		Unaff				Suburb		Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog		v			\$100K+
Strongly support	42%	42%	43%			45%	46%	38%	42%	42%	40%	51%	36%	43%	41%	37%	65%	47%	49%	41%	35%	53%	35%	35%
Somewhat support	36%	35%	36%		35%		31%	40%	29%	33%	42%	34%	42%	35%	37%	41%	20%	28%	39%	35%	37%	29%	46%	40%
Total Support	78%	77%	79%	72%	82%		77%	78%	71%	75%	82%	85%	78%	78%	78%	78%	85%	75%	88%	76%	72%	82%	81%	75%
Somewhat oppose	7%	9%	5%	8%	6%	8%	8%	6%	7%	10%	9%	5%	2%	7%	7%	7%	4%	8%	5%	7%	8%	4%	9%	8%
Strongly oppose	6%	7%	5%	9%	4%	6%	5%	6%	4%	7%	2%	2%	10%	6%	5%	6%	1%	9%	2%	5%	10%	4%	3%	7%
Total Oppose	13%	16%	10%	17%	10%	14%	13%	12%	11%	17%	11%	7%	12%	13%	12%	13%	5%	17%	7%	12%	18%	8%	12%	15%
Don't know/Refused	9%	8%	10%	11%	8%	10%	10%	9%	17%	9%	8%	7%	9%	10%	9%	9%	10%	8%	5%	12%	10%	10%	6%	9%
Q33. How concerned are you about your utility bills goin	g up?																							
		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili		A	ge			Regio		-		cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical			Incom	e
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep		Unaff				Suburb		Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v			\$100K+
Very concerned	59%	56%	61%	64%	54%	59%	61%	56%	55%	54%	50%	68%	66%	64%	52%	56%	69%	63%	52%	59%	64%	66%	62%	52%
Somewhat concerned	31%	32%	30%	28%			28%	34%		35%	35%	24%	27%	26%	37%	33%	23%	25%	32%	32%	29%	25%	30%	35%
Not very concerned	8%	9%	7%	5%	8%	10%	9%	7%	8%	9%	11%	7%	3%	6%	11%	8%	5%	7%	11%	8%	5%	3%	7%	12%
Not concerned at all	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	6%	2%	4%	0%	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%
Don't know	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q34. Do you believe that your state elected officials are of	loing enc	ough to	keep	your ut	tilities	afforda	ble?																	
		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n			cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical	View		Incom	e
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K+
Yes	13%	15%			18%	12%	12%	13%	24%	13%	11%	8%	11%	13%	12%	12%	18%	11%	18%	11%	11%	14%	10%	11%
Νο	65%	67%	63%	81%	51%	72%	68%	62%	53%	63%	66%	72%	70%	69%	61%	66%	55%	73%	49%	67%	79%	63%	70%	67%
Don't know	21%	18%	25%	12%	32%	17%	19%	24%	21%	23%	23%	20%	19%	17%	27%	22%	25%	16%	33%	22%	10%	22%	19%	22%
Refused	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
			1																					

Q35. Medicaid is a government program that pays for heal	th and l	ong-te	rm car	e servi	ces fo	r low-ir	come	senior	s, lowe	r income	adults w	vith young c	hildren	, and peop	le with disab	ilities. O	verall, ł	now imp	ortant	is the N	Aedicaid (	orogram	n for the	e people
		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	е
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep		Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K+
Very important	73%	69%	78%	57%	88%	67%	77%	70%	80%	70%	78%	75%	69%	76%	70%	70%	97%	66%	89%	73%	58%	80%	74%	67%
Somewhat important	21%	23%	18%	33%	9%	26%	16%	25%	14%	21%	19%	21%	25%	18%	24%	24%	2%	21%	8%	22%	32%	14%	22%	27%
Not very important	4%	5%	3%	7%	1%	5%	5%	3%	6%	6%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	4%	0%	9%	1%	4%	7%	4%	3%	5%
Not at all important	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Don't know	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q36. Last year, Governor Murphy enacted legislation that	would a	llow o	der res	sidents	who	heed ho	ome ca	re serv	ices a	nd would	likely qu	ualify for Me	dicaid,	to begin re	eceiving thes	e service	s at ho	me whi	e they	go thro	ugh the f	ull Med	icaid	
application process. Do you support or oppose this legisla	ation the	at allov	vs like	ly quali	ifying	older re	sident	s to be	gin rec	eiving he	ome care	e services w	hile the	eir Medicai	d application	is being	proces	ssed?		-	•			
• • • •		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge	Ĩ		Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	е
					í	Ind/		Ĭ			Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr	r í T	Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K+
Strongly support	58%	54%	61%	38%	76%	49%	59%	56%	56%	61%	57%	63%	48%	58%	57%	54%	76%	55%	74%	57%	41%	65%	62%	51%
Somewhat support	31%	33%	30%	43%	19%	38%	31%	32%	36%	28%	35%	27%	37%	32%	31%	35%	24%	27%	21%	32%	41%	25%	29%	38%
Total Support	89%	87%	91%	81%	95%		90%	88%	92%	89%	92%	90%	85%	90%	88%	89%	100%	82%	95%	89%	82%	90%	91%	89%
Somewhat oppose	6%	7%	4%	10%	3%	7%	4%	7%	3%	7%	4%	3%	10%	5%	7%	6%	0%	11%	2%	7%	9%	4%	5%	8%
Strongly oppose	4%	4%	3%	6%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	4%	0%	4%	2%	3%	7%	4%	4%	2%
Total Oppose	10%	11%	7%	16%	5%	11%	8%	10%	<b>6%</b>	10%	8%	8%	14%	9%	10%	10%	0%	15%	4%	10%	16%	8%	9%	10%
Don't know	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Keluseu	070	0 /0	0.0	0.0	0 /0	070	0 /0	070	070	070	070	070	0 /0	070	070	070	070	0 /0	0.0	070	0 /0	070	070	070
Q37. The cost of nursing home care in New Jersey State is	annroy	l	v \$150	000 2	voar k	nowin	a thie	how c	onfidor	t are vou	that you	would be	bla to	afford nurs	ing home ca	ro for on	o voar	if you n	oodod	it2				
ator: The cost of hursing home care in New Sersey State is			nder		v Affili		-	ge	Jinaci	it are you	Regio				cation		e/Ethni	-		olitical	Viow		Incom	•
				1 410	<u>y 71111</u>	Ind/	~				Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or	- Nuc	Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	Ĭ
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urhan	Suburb	n	Phil/South	e	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K		\$100K+
Very confident	12%	14%	10%	11%	13%		9%	14%	13%	12%	23%	7%	7%	7%	19%	14%	5%	8%	13%	11%	12%	5%	5%	20%
Somewhat confident	14%	15%	13%	12%	15%		12%	16%	18%	15%	10%	13%	14%	12%	17%	14%	14%	14%	14%	16%	13%	8%	14%	18%
Not very confident	20%	23%	17%	18%	20%		18%	21%	14%	20%	16%	20%	24%	17%	23%	21%	18%	12%	20%	19%	21%	15%	21%	23%
Not confident at all	52%	46%	57%	56%	50%	50%	58%	46%	48%	50%	50%	58%	53%	60%	41%	49%	58%	63%	50%	52%	52%	68%	59%	37%
Don't know	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	6%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	0%	2%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Keluseu	070	0.0	0.0	0.0	070	070	070	070	070	070	070	070	0 /0	070	070	070	070	0.0	0.0	070	0.0	070	070	070
Q38. Most nursing home care in New Jersey is paid for by	Modica	id Abr	ut thr	0-01131	rtore o	f Now	loreoví	e nure	ing hor	nos aro f	or-profit	husinossos	Would	d you sunn	ort or oppos	l logielai	tion rec	uirina a	ll nure	ing hor	nos to dis	closo ti	oir fins	ancial
records so taxpayers could see how much of Medicaid fun														a you supp	on or oppos	e legisla		lanning c	in nur s	ing non		0030 1		anciai
		<u> </u>	Ider		y Affili			ge			Regio			Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	•
		00		1 410	<u>y 71111</u>	Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or	T t ac	Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	l I
		1				inu/					LAUIDa				•			<b>04</b> h e m		Mod	v	- CEEK		\$100K+
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburh	n	Phil/South	е	dearee	hiaher	White		Uther						
Strongly support	Total	<b>M</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>Rep</b>		Unaff 69%				Suburb 72%	n 73%	Phil/South 76%	<b>e</b> 75%	degree 74%	higher 72%	White 75%		Other 68%			-		72%	71%
Strongly support Somewhat support	73%	70%	76%	72%	78%	69%	68%	78%	70%	72%	73%	76%	75%	74%	72%	75%	72%	68%	80%	73%	69%	76%	72% 19%	71% 20%
Somewhat support	73% 17%	70% 18%	76% 16%	72% 17%	78% 15%	69% 20%	68% 18%	78% 16%	70% 18%	72% 19%	73% 17%	76% 18%	75% 13%	74% 16%	72% 19%	75% 17%	72% 15%	68% 17%	80% 13%	73% 17%	69% 20%	76% 14%	19%	20%
Somewhat support Total Support	73% 17% <b>90%</b>	70% 18% <b>88%</b>	76% 16% <b>92%</b>	72% 17% <b>89%</b>	78% 15% <b>93%</b>	69% 20% <b>89%</b>	68% 18% <b>86%</b>	78% 16% <b>94%</b>	70% 18% <b>88%</b>	72% 19% <b>91%</b>	73% 17% <b>90%</b>	76% 18% <b>94%</b>	75% 13% <b>88%</b>	74% 16% <b>90%</b>	72% 19% <b>91%</b>	75% 17% <b>92%</b>	72% 15% <b>87%</b>	68% 17% <b>85%</b>	80% 13% <b>93%</b>	73% 17% <b>90%</b>	69% 20% <b>89%</b>	76% 14% <b>90%</b>	19% <b>91%</b>	20% <b>91%</b>
Somewhat support Total Support Somewhat oppose	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 2%	70% 18% <b>88%</b> 3%	76% 16% <b>92%</b> 1%	72% 17% <b>89%</b> 2%	78% 15% <b>93%</b> 3%	69% 20% <b>89%</b> 2%	68% 18% <b>86%</b> 3%	78% 16% <b>94%</b> 1%	70% 18% <b>88%</b> 0%	72% 19% <b>91%</b> 3%	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 1%	76% 18% <b>94%</b> 2%	75% 13% <b>88%</b> 3%	74% 16% <b>90%</b> 1%	72% 19% <b>91%</b> 3%	75% 17% <b>92%</b> 2%	72% 15% <b>87%</b> 6%	68% 17% <b>85%</b> 2%	80% 13% <b>93%</b> 1%	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 3%	69% 20% <b>89%</b> 3%	76% 14% <b>90%</b> 1%	19% <b>91%</b> 4%	20% <b>91%</b> 2%
Somewhat support Total Support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 2% 2%	70% 18% <b>88%</b> 3% 4%	76% 16% <b>92%</b> 1% 0%	72% 17% <b>89%</b> 2% 3%	78% 15% <b>93%</b> 3% 1%	69% 20% <b>89%</b> 2% 2%	68% 18% <b>86%</b> 3% 2%	78% 16% <b>94%</b> 1% 1%	70% 18% <b>88%</b> 0% 1%	72% 19% <b>91%</b> 3% 1%	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 1% 5%	76% 18% <b>94%</b> 2% 1%	75% 13% <b>88%</b> 3% 1%	74% 16% <b>90%</b> 1% 2%	72% 19% <b>91%</b> 3% 2%	75% 17% <b>92%</b> 2% 2%	72% 15% <b>87%</b> 6% 1%	68% 17% <b>85%</b> 2% 1%	80% 13% <b>93%</b> 1% 1%	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 3% 1%	69% 20% <b>89%</b> 3% 4%	76% 14% <b>90%</b> 1% 1%	19% <b>91%</b> 4% 1%	20% <b>91%</b> 2% 3%
Somewhat support Total Support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose Total Oppose	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 2% 2% <b>4%</b>	70% 18% <b>88%</b> 3% 4% <b>7%</b>	76% 16% <b>92%</b> 1% 0% <b>1%</b>	72% 17% <b>89%</b> 2% 3% <b>5%</b>	78% 15% <b>93%</b> 3% 1% <b>4%</b>	69% 20% <b>89%</b> 2% 2% <b>4%</b>	68% 18% <b>86%</b> 3% 2% <b>5%</b>	78% 16% <b>94%</b> 1% 1% <b>2%</b>	70% 18% <b>88%</b> 0% 1% <b>1%</b>	72% 19% <b>91%</b> 3% 1% <b>4%</b>	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 1% 5% <b>6%</b>	76% 18% <b>94%</b> 2% 1% <b>3%</b>	75% 13% <b>88%</b> 3% 1% <b>4%</b>	74% 16% <b>90%</b> 1% 2% <b>3%</b>	72% 19% <b>91%</b> 3% 2% <b>5%</b>	75% 17% <b>92%</b> 2% 2% <b>4%</b>	72% 15% <b>87%</b> 6% 1% <b>7%</b>	68% 17% <b>85%</b> 2% 1% <b>3%</b>	80% 13% <b>93%</b> 1% 1% <b>2%</b>	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 3% 1% <b>4%</b>	69% 20% <b>89%</b> 3% 4% <b>7%</b>	76% 14% <b>90%</b> 1% 1% <b>2%</b>	19% <b>91%</b> 4% 1% <b>5%</b>	20% 91% 2% 3% 5%
Somewhat support Total Support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 2% 2%	70% 18% <b>88%</b> 3% 4%	76% 16% <b>92%</b> 1% 0%	72% 17% <b>89%</b> 2% 3%	78% 15% <b>93%</b> 3% 1%	69% 20% <b>89%</b> 2% 2%	68% 18% <b>86%</b> 3% 2%	78% 16% <b>94%</b> 1% 1%	70% 18% <b>88%</b> 0% 1%	72% 19% <b>91%</b> 3% 1%	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 1% 5%	76% 18% <b>94%</b> 2% 1%	75% 13% <b>88%</b> 3% 1%	74% 16% <b>90%</b> 1% 2%	72% 19% <b>91%</b> 3% 2%	75% 17% <b>92%</b> 2% 2%	72% 15% <b>87%</b> 6% 1%	68% 17% <b>85%</b> 2% 1%	80% 13% <b>93%</b> 1% 1%	73% 17% <b>90%</b> 3% 1%	69% 20% <b>89%</b> 3% 4%	76% 14% <b>90%</b> 1% 1%	19% <b>91%</b> 4% 1%	20% <b>91%</b> 2% 3%

Q39. Support affordable housing options																								
		Ger	nder	Part	y Affili		A	ge			Regio				cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical	-		Incom	e
					_	Ind/					Exurba			No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem		50-64			Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other		Mod	v			\$100K+
Much more likely	46%	38%	55%	33%	64%	35%	48%	45%	47%	46%	44%	54%	42%	52%	39%	40%	73%	53%	66%	45%	30%	64%	49%	32%
Somewhat more likely	29%	33%	26%	28%	26%	36%	25%	33%	24%	29%	30%	28%	32%	25%	34%	33%	16%	19%	25%	32%	31%	17%	29%	38%
Somewhat less likely	6%	8%	4%	10%	3%	8%	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%	7%	7%	6%	7%	7%	1%	5%	1%	6%	11%	4%	5%	8%
Much less likely	4%	6%	2%	11%	1%	2%	6%	3%	4%	6%	4%	2%	4%	4%	5%	5%	0%	5%	0%	4%	9%	3%	4%	6%
No impact either way	14%	14%	13%	18%	6%	18%	15%	13%	17%	12%	17%	9%	16%	13%	15%	13%	9%	17%	9%	12%	19%	12%	12%	15%
Don't know	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q40. Support homeowners creating accessory	dualling units																							
Q40. Support nomeowners creating accessory	awening units	Ga	nder	Dort	y Affili	ation		ge	1		Regio	<b>n</b>		Edu	cation	Baa	e/Ethni	oitu		olitical	Viow		Incom	•
		Gei		Fait		Ind/	A.	je		1	Exurba	1	Shor	No Bach	degree or	- Nau	Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem		50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n n	Phil/South	e	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v			\$100K+
Much more likely	34%	29%	39%	29%	40%	32%	37%	32%	36%	34%	32%	38%	31%	37%	30%	27%	51%	54%	38%	36%	28%	47%	33%	24%
Somewhat more likely	32%	34%	31%	30%	32%	34%	30%	35%	27%	29%	35%	36%	35%	30%	35%	36%	27%	21%	36%	32%	30%	28%	33%	36%
Somewhat less likely	7%	8%	7%	10%	6%	8%	7%	8%	8%	10%	6%	5%	7%	7%	8%	8%	6%	8%	5%	8%	10%	5%	11%	9%
Much less likely	5%	6%	4%	9%	4%	3%	4%	6%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	6%	6%	1%	5%	1%	5%	9%	4%	4%	6%
No impact either way	20%	21%	18%	21%	16%	23%	20%	19%	24%	19%	23%	16%	20%	19%	20%	22%	13%	11%	18%	19%	22%	14%	19%	25%
Don't know	20%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Refused	070	0.0	0.0	0.0	070	070	0 /0	070	070	0.0	0,0	070	070	070	070	070	070	070	0.0	070	0.0	0,0	070	070
Q41. Protect funding for Medicaid to ensure he	alth and long-term	care s	ervices	s are a	vailable	e for lo	w-inco	me sei	niors a	nd peopl	e with di	sabilities			1						1	·I		
		Ger	nder	Part	y Affili	ation	A	ge			Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	е
						Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem		50-64			Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v			\$100K+
Much more likely	60%	55%	65%	44%	74%	55%	61%	59%	64%	59%	64%	65%	51%	64%	54%	57%	79%	57%	78%	59%	43%	72%	63%	49%
Somewhat more likely	28%	31%	24%	37%	21%	27%	24%	31%	23%	29%	25%	26%	31%	24%	33%	31%	15%	24%	19%	28%	37%	18%	28%	35%
Somewhat less likely	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%
Much less likely	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%	0%	3%	0%	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%
No impact either way	8%	9%	7%	11%	2%	14%	11%	6%	12%	8%	8%	3%	11%	8%	9%	8%	4%	12%	2%	10%	12%	7%	6%	11%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
				1																				
Q42. Works on behalf of older residents to ens	ure access to quali		nder		v Affili		· ·	ge servi	Ices		Regio	n		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
				- i ai t	<u>y 7</u>	Ind/				1	Exurba	1	Shor	No Bach	degree or	- Ruc	Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	Ĭ
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem		50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	e	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other		Mod	v			\$100K+
Much more likely	59%	53%	65%	44%	72%	55%	53%	65%	65%	58%	52%	71%	53%	63%	55%	58%	71%	62%	76%	61%	42%	69%	68%	50%
Somewhat more likely	27%	31%	24%	34%	23%	28%	30%	25%	19%	29%	32%	24%	31%	24%	32%	29%	23%	21%	19%	27%	38%	20%	23%	35%
Somewhat less likely	2%	3%	1%	7%	1%	0%	3%	2%	2%	3%	0%	24%	3%	2470	2%	23%	23%	5%	0%	1%	5%	20%	0%	4%
Much less likely	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%
No impact either way	9%	10%	8%	11%	3%	16%	12%	7%	12%	9%	14%	3%	11%	9%	10%	10%	5%	8%	5%	9%	13%	7%	9%	11%
• •	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	9% 0%	14%	0%	2%	1%	10%	10%	0%	1%	0%	9% 1%	13%	1%	9% 0%	0%
Don't know																							U/0	
Don't know Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Q43. Support funding Stay New Jersey, the new proper	y tax relie	f progi	am for	oluer	nomec	whers																		
	<u> </u>		nder		y Affili		A	je			Regio	า		Edu	cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city	P	olitical	View		Incom	e
					Ĭ	Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr		Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem	Unaff	50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K	\$100K	\$100K+
Much more likely	64%	65%	64%	61%	67%	64%	58%	71%	70%	64%	62%	66%	63%	65%	63%	63%	64%	75%	71%	63%	61%	66%	74%	61%
Somewhat more likely	27%	26%	28%	27%	26%	29%	29%	25%	20%	30%	26%	29%	26%	26%	28%	28%	30%	14%	23%	30%	29%	24%	20%	30%
Somewhat less likely	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%
Much less likely	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%
No impact either way	6%	7%	6%	8%	4%	7%	10%	3%	10%	4%	10%	3%	8%	6%	7%	6%	5%	9%	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%
Don't know	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q44. Work to ensure utility rates are affordable									1															
		Ge	nder	Part	y Affili		A	je			Regio	1			cation	Rac	e/Ethni	city		olitical	-		Incom	e
			_		_	Ind/					Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or		Afr	~	Lib/		Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	М	F	Rep	Dem		50-64			Suburb	n	Phil/South	е	degree	higher	White	Amer		Prog	Mod	v			\$100K+
Much more likely	64%	61%	67%	67%	66%	61%	63%	66%	59%	60%	60%	73%	70%	71%	56%	63%	67%	74%	63%	63%	68%	74%	69%	55%
Somewhat more likely	27%	29%	25%	26%	29%	26%	27%	27%	24%	32%	27%	24%	24%	23%	33%	30%	24%	19%	30%	29%	24%	19%	25%	37%
Somewhat less likely	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%
Much less likely	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
No impact either way	7%	8%	5%	6%	4%	11%	8%	6%	13%	5%	13%	2%	5%	5%	9%	6%	8%	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%	6%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Refused	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q45. Improve transportation infrastructure like public tr	ancit hig		bridge	c raily		nd har	hore																	
	ansit, mgi		nder	- <u>´</u>	y Affili			je			Regio			Edu	cation	Baa	e/Ethni	oitu	D	olitical	Viow		Incom	•
	_	Ge		Fait	y Anni	Ind/	A.	Je			Exurba		Shor	No Bach	degree or	- Nau	Afr	City	Lib/	Untical	Conser		\$65K-	
	Total	м	F	Rep	Dem		50-64	65+	Urban	Suburb	n	Phil/South	e	degree	higher	White	Amer	Other	Prog	Mod	v	<\$65K		\$100K+
Much more likely	50%	50%	51%	41%	62%	42%	48%	53%	55%	51%	49%	52%	48%	52%	48%	47%	61%	58%	63%	50%	41%	53%	53%	49%
Somewhat more likely	33%	33%	34%	37%	29%	39%		34%	22%	36%	34%	40%	30%	31%	36%	35%	28%	29%	28%	35%	37%	30%	32%	37%
Somewhat less likely	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%
Much less likely	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%
No impact either way	11%	11%	10%	14%	6%	15%	12%	10%	17%	9%	16%	2%	16%	11%	11%	11%	10%	11%	6%	11%	14%	12%	10%	11%
Don't know	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Refused	0%	0%					0%		0%		0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
			1 0%	0%	0%	1 0%	1 0%	0%	0%	0%	I U%	0%		070	070	1 070							-	-
		0.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 /0	0%	0%	0%	070	070	0 /0	-				
Q46. Support policies that help family caregivers provic	e care to	-						-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 /0	0%	0%		-	-	070	-				
Q46. Support policies that help family caregivers provid	e care to	their ol		nily me		and lo	oved or	-	0%	0%	0% Regio		0 /0	Edu	cation		e/Ethni	-		olitical	View		Incom	e
Q46. Support policies that help family caregivers provid		their ol Ge	der far nder	nily me Part	embers y Affili	and loation	oved or Ag	ies je				n	Shor	Edu No Bach	cation degree or	Rac	-	city	P Lib/		View Conser		\$65K-	
Q46. Support policies that help family caregivers provic	e care to	their ol	der far nder F	nily me Part Rep	embers y Affili Dem	and lo ation Ind/ Unaff	oved or Ag	ies ge 65+	Urban	Suburb	Regior Exurba n	n Phil/South	Shor e	Edu No Bach degree	cation	Rac	e/Ethni Afr Amer	-	P Lib/ Prog	olitical Mod	Conser v		\$65K- \$100K	e \$100K+
Q46. Support policies that help family caregivers provid	<b>Total</b> 55%	their ol Ge M 51%	der far nder F 59%	nily me Part Rep 47%	embers y Affili Dem 66%	and lo ation Ind/ Unaff 46%	oved or Ag 50-64 52%	es ge 65+ 57%	Urban 59%	Suburb	Region Exurba n 44%	Phil/South	Shor e 53%	Edu No Bach degree 57%	cation degree or higher 52%	Rac White 50%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 76%	city Other 61%	P Lib/ Prog 64%	<b>Mod</b> 54%	Conser v 46%	64%	\$65K- \$100K 60%	<b>\$100K+</b> 45%
	Total	their ol Gei M	der far nder F	nily me Part Rep 47% 37%	embers y Affili Dem 66% 27%	and lo ation Ind/ Unaff	oved or Ag	ies ge 65+	Urban	Suburb 55% 34%	Region Exurba n 44% 40%	n Phil/South	Shor e 53% 32%	Edu No Bach degree 57% 30%	cation degree or higher	Rac	e/Ethni Afr Amer	city Other	P Lib/ Prog 64% 30%	<b>Mod</b> 54% 34%	Conser v		\$65K- \$100K 60% 31%	\$100K+
Much more likely	<b>Total</b> 55%	their ol Ge M 51% 35% 3%	der far nder F 59% 31% 3%	nily me Part Rep 47% 37% 5%	Dem 66% 27% 2%	and lo ation Ind/ Unaff 46%	oved or Ag 50-64 52%	es ge 65+ 57%	Urban 59%	Suburb 55% 34% 2%	<b>Region</b> <b>Exurba</b> <b>n</b> 44% 40% 2%	Phil/South	Shor e 53%	Edu No Bach degree 57%	cation degree or higher 52%	Rac White 50%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 76%	city Other 61%	P Lib/ Prog 64% 30% 2%	<b>Mod</b> 54%	Conser v 46%	64% 27% 1%	\$65K- \$100K 60%	<b>\$100K+</b> 45%
Much more likely Somewhat more likely	<b>Total</b> 55% 33%	their ol Ge M 51% 35% 3% 2%	der far nder F 59% 31% 3% 0%	nily me Part Rep 47% 37%	embers y Affili Dem 66% 27%	and lo ation Ind/ Unaff 46% 38%	50-64 52% 33%	es ge 65+ 57% 32%	<b>Urban</b> 59% 25%	Suburb 55% 34%	Region Exurba n 44% 40%	Phil/South 62% 31%	Shor e 53% 32%	Edu No Bach degree 57% 30%	cation degree or higher 52% 35%	Rac White 50% 38%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 76% 20%	<b>city</b> Other 61% 18%	P Lib/ Prog 64% 30% 2% 0%	<b>Mod</b> 54% 34%	Conser v 46% 36%	64% 27%	\$65K- \$100K 60% 31%	<b>\$100K+</b> 45% 38%
Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat less likely	<b>Total</b> 55% 33% 3%	their ol Ge M 51% 35% 3%	der far nder F 59% 31% 3%	nily me Part Rep 47% 37% 5%	Dem 66% 27% 2%	and lo ation Ind/ Unaff 46% 38% 2%	50-64 52% 33% 4% 1%	es ge 65+ 57% 32% 2%	Urban 59% 25% 3%	Suburb 55% 34% 2%	<b>Region</b> <b>Exurba</b> <b>n</b> 44% 40% 2%	Phil/South 62% 31% 2%	Shor e 53% 32% 6%	Edu No Bach degree 57% 30% 3%	cation degree or higher 52% 35% 3%	Rac White 50% 38% 3%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 76% 20% 0%	<b>Other</b> 61% 18% 9%	P Lib/ Prog 64% 30% 2%	<b>Mod</b> 54% 34% 2%	Conser v 46% 36% 5%	64% 27% 1%	\$65K- \$100K 60% 31% 2%	<b>\$100K+</b> 45% 38% 5%
Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat less likely Much less likely	<b>Total</b> 55% 33% 3% 1%	their ol Ge M 51% 35% 3% 2%	der far nder F 59% 31% 3% 0%	nily me Part Rep 47% 37% 5% 2%	Dem 66% 27% 2% 0%	and lo ation Ind/ Unaff 46% 38% 2% 0%	50-64 52% 33% 4% 1%	es ge 65+ 57% 32% 2% 1%	Urban 59% 25% 3% 0%	Suburb 55% 34% 2% 2%	Region Exurba n 44% 40% 2% 1%	Phil/South 62% 31% 2% 0%	Shor e 53% 32% 6% 1%	Edu No Bach degree 57% 30% 3% 1%	cation degree or higher 52% 35% 3% 1%	Rac White 50% 38% 3% 1%	e/Ethni Afr Amer 76% 20% 0% 0%	<b>Other</b> 61% 18% 9% 0%	P Lib/ Prog 64% 30% 2% 0%	Mod 54% 34% 2% 1%	Conser v 46% 36% 5% 2%	64% 27% 1% 1%	\$65K- \$100K 60% 31% 2% 1%	<b>\$100K+</b> 45% 38% 5% 1%

Nature of the San	
NJ Registered Voters	Age 50+
Gender	
Male	46%
Female	53%
Party Affiliation	
Republican	28%
Democrat	41%
Ind/ Unaff	29%
Age	
50-64	49%
65+	51%
Region	
Urban	13%
Suburb	34%
Exurban	15%
Phil/South	19%
Shore	19%
Education	
No Bach degree	
Bach degree or more	
Race/Ethnicity	
White	70%
Afr Amer	15%
Hispanic	5%
Asian/Other	8%
Political View	
Lib/ Prog	28%
Moderate	39%
Conserv	30%
Income	
<\$65K	39%
\$65K-\$100K	16%
\$100K+	40%

This AARP Poll was conducted by the Siena College Research Institute April 28 - May 1, 2025, among 813 New Jersey registered voters age 50 or older. Of the 813 respondents, 613 were contacted through a dual frame (landline and cell phone) mode (325 completed via text to web) and 200 respondents were drawn from a proprietary online panel (Cint). Telephone calls were conducted in English, and respondent sampling was initiated by asking for the registered voter in the household. Telephone sampling was conducted via a stratified dual frame registered voter list of landline and cell phone telephone numbers weighted to reflect known population patterns. The telephone sample was obtained from MSG using the L2 voter list. Data from collection modes was statistically adjusted by age, region, race/ethnicity, education, party, and gender to ensure representativeness. It has an overall margin of error of +/- 3.8 percentage points, including the design effects resulting from weighting. There are 454 Democrats or Democratic leaning voters with a margin of error of +/-5.1%. There are 290 Republicans or Republican leaning voters with a margin of error of +/-6.4%. Interviews conducted online are excluded from the sample and final analysis if they fail any data guality attention check question. Duplicate responses are identified by their response ID and removed from the sample. Three questions were asked of online respondents including a honey-pot question to catch bots and two questions asks the respondent to follow explicit directions. The proprietary panel also incorporates measures that "safeguard against automated bot attacks, deduplication issues, fraudulent VPN usage, and suspicious IP addresses". Coding of open-ended responses was done by a single human coder. Data from collection modes was weighted to balance sample demographics to match estimates for New Jersey's population using data from the Census Bureau's U.S. American Community Survey (ACS), on age, region, race/ethnicity, education and gender to ensure representativeness. The sample was also weighted to match current patterns of party registration using data from L-2. It has an overall margin of error of +/- 3.8 percentage points including the design effects resulting from weighting. Sampling error is only one of many potential sources of error and there may be other unmeasured error in this or any other public opinion poll.

The Siena College Research Institute, directed by Donald Levy, Ph.D., conducts political, economic, social, and cultural research primarily in NYS. SCRI, an independent, non-partisan research institute, subscribes to the American Association of Public Opinion Research Code of Professional Ethics and Practices.