#### Siena College Research Institute October 14-16, 2013 822 New York State Registered Voters MOE +/- 3.4%

T											MOE +/	′- 3.4%															
Q1. Is New York State on the right track, or	is it he	aded in																1			1				1		
			Party	Ind/	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	) 		Afr Amer	1		Age			Relig	gion	1		\$50K-	e I
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Right track	44%	54%	34%	37%	43%	45%	53%	49%	28%	46%	43%	51%	51%	32%	42%	54%	47%	44%	43%	46%	44%	48%	41%	48%	37%	39%	56%
Wrong direction	44%	32%	58%	52%	47%	41%	33%	39%	63%	47%	43%	32%	43%	57%	48%	32%	43%	43%	48%	42%	49%	34%	46%	39%	49%	51%	34%
Don't know/No opinion	12%	14%	9%	11%	11%	13%	14%	12%	8%	7%	13%	17%	6%	11%	10%	14%	10%	13%	9%	13%	7%	18%	14%	13%	13%	11%	10%
Don't know/ No opinion	12/0	14/0	376	11/0	11/0	13/6	1470	12/0	870	7 70	13/0	1770	076	11/0	1070	14/0	1070	13/6	370	13/0	7 70	1070	1470	13/0	13/0	11/0	1076
I'm going to read a series of names of peopl	le and i	nstitut	ions in	public l	ife and	I'd like	you to	tell m	e whether	you ha	ve a fa	vorabl	e opini	on or a	n unfav	orable opini	ion of ea	ch per	son or i	institut	tion I n	ame. [Q3	-Q10 R	OTATE	)]		1
Q3. Andrew Cuomo				_																							
			Party		Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	)		Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Incom	е
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	62%	73%	46%	57%	57%	66%	73%	65%	47%	59%	63%	73%	60%	50%	60%	67%	66%	51%	62%	67%	57%	82%	61%	63%	58%	59%	71%
Unfavorable	32%	21%	49%	36%	36%	28%	17%	29%	50%	38%	29%	20%	36%	42%	35%	25%	24%	36%	33%	29%	38%	14%	32%	28%	33%	38%	24%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	6%	5%	7%	7%	6%	10%	6%	3%	3%	8%	7%	4%	8%	6%	8%	10%	13%	4%	4%	5%	5%	7%	9%	9%	3%	6%
Q5. Moreland Commission	ı	1			_		_								1			1			1				1		
			Party		Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity	1		Age			Relig	gion	1		Incom	e 
	T-4-1	D	Da.=	Ind/		_	1:16	NA - 4	C	V	NI-	NIVC	Culan	Hank	14/h:4-	Afr Amer		10 24	25 54		Cash	Lavviale	Duna	041	4¢EOK	\$50K-	¢100V.
Foundation	Total 14%	Dem 140/	Rep	Other	M 17%	<b>F</b> 11%	Lib	<b>Mod</b> 15%	Conserv 11%	<b>Yes</b> 14%	No 14%	NYC 15%	Subs 11%	Upst 14%	White 13%	/Black 17%	Latino 21%	24%	<b>35-54</b> 12%	55+ 100/	Cath 15%	Jewish 9%	Prot 10%	Other 18%	<\$50K	\$100K 17%	\$100K+ 12%
Favorable Unfavorable	15%	14%	15%	13% 16%	16%	15%	14%		20%	15%	15%			16%	14%	18%				10% 14%	19%	7%	15%		15%		17%
	_	14%	17%	_	67%	74%	14%	14%		71%		11% 74%	21%		72%	65%	10%	14%	19%					13%	16%	18%	
Don't know/No opinion	71%	72%	68%	71%	6/%	74%	72%	71%	70%	/1%	71%	74%	68%	70%	72%	05%	69%	63%	69%	76%	66%	84%	75%	69%	70%	65%	71%
Q6. New York State Assembly		l													l			l			l		l	l			l
Quitter form office rissement,			Party	,	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	2
				Ind/									Ι.			Afr Amer							ĺ			\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	43%	51%	33%	39%	37%	48%	49%	46%	33%	47%	42%	52%	41%	35%	39%	58%	50%	52%	43%	39%	41%	40%	45%	46%	42%	45%	42%
Unfavorable	41%	35%	50%	45%	48%	36%	36%	38%	54%	41%	41%	31%	41%	51%	45%	28%	31%	26%	45%	47%	46%	36%	37%	40%	41%	41%	44%
Don't know/No opinion	16%	14%	16%	17%	15%	17%	15%	17%	13%	13%	17%	17%	18%	14%	16%	14%	18%	22%	12%	14%	13%	24%	18%	14%	17%	14%	13%
Q7. New York State Senate	,				,		,			,																	
			Party		Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	)		Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Incom	9
		_	_	Ind/		_							l			Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	M	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino			55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	41%	49%	33%	35%	39%	43%	44%	45%	33%	43%	41%	45%	42%	37%	39%	49%	48%	49%	39%	40%	41%	43%	44%	41%	41%	41%	43%
Unfavorable	47%	41%	54%	51%	49%	45%	49%	42%	54%	46%	47%	39%	47%	54%	49%	38%	45%	41%	50%	48%	51%	36%	41%	50%	46%	49%	46%
Don't know/No opinion	12%	9%	13%	14%	12%	12%	7%	13%	13%	11%	12%	16%	11%	9%	12%	13%	6%	10%	11%	12%	9%	21%	15%	9%	13%	10%	11%
Q11. I know it's a long way off, but if Andre	w Cuar	no run	c for ro	alactio	n ac Ga	vorno	r in 201	4 ac th	ings stans	l now	would	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to to ro	oloct l	nim or u	rould you n	rofor co	maana	olco2								
Q11. I know it's a long way on, but if Andre	W Cuoi	liorum	Party			nder		olitical			n HH	you vo	Region		liiii Oi W	Ethnicity	ielei soi	licone	Age			Relig	ion			Incom	<u> </u>
			- arty	Ind/	361		<del>-</del>	Jincical		00			cgioi		1	Afr Amer			750			I I I			<b> </b>	\$50K-	Ī
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Re-elect Cuomo	52%	65%	35%	46%	48%	56%	66%	57%	35%	49%	54%	63%	54%	40%	52%	58%	51%	42%	52%	60%	48%	74%	54%	52%	48%	51%	63%
Prefer someone else	38%	26%	60%	41%	42%	35%	25%	34%	58%	45%	35%	26%	39%	51%	41%	30%	42%	46%	39%	33%	45%	19%	37%	35%	39%	44%	29%
Don't know/No opinion	10%	9%	5%	13%	10%	9%	10%	10%	7%	6%	11%	11%	8%	9%	8%	12%	8%	12%	9%	7%	7%	8%	9%	13%	12%	6%	8%
		1	+			1		1	<del></del>				+	+	<del></del>					<del></del>	+	1	<del></del>				

SNY1013 Crosstabs 102113 1 of 6

# Siena College Research Institute October 14-16, 2013 822 New York State Registered Voters MOF +/- 3 4%

											ИОЕ +/	- 3.4/0															
Q12. How would you rate the job that	Andrew Cuc	omo is	doing a	s Gover	nor? W	ould y	ou rate	it exce	ellent, goo	d, fair,	or poo	·?															
			Party		Gen	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K
Excellent	8%	10%	6%	5%	8%	8%	12%	6%	6%	8%	8%	10%	9%	5%	7%	8%	7%	4%	4%	13%	6%	12%	7%	9%	8%	6%	10%
Good	44%	52%	30%	43%	40%	47%	49%	49%	30%	41%	45%	53%	38%	38%	46%	45%	36%	38%	45%	47%	42%	60%	43%	43%	40%	43%	51%
Fair	34%	30%	41%	37%	32%	36%	30%	33%	41%	34%	34%	27%	40%	38%	32%	40%	50%	44%	36%	28%	37%	21%	33%	35%	38%	33%	28%
Poor	13%	7%	23%	14%	19%	8%	8%	10%	23%	17%	12%	8%	12%	19%	15%	7%	6%	13%	14%	12%	15%	7%	15%	11%	13%	18%	10%
Don't know/No opinion	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%
		<u> </u>		L				<u> </u>																			
Now, specifically, how would you rate	the job that	Andre	w Cuon	no is do	ing as (	Govern	or in a	ddressi	ng tive sp	ecific ar	eas. [C	13-Q1	7 ROTA	TEDJ													
Q13. Public education		ı	Davita		C		_	-1:4:1	\ <i>!</i> :	lla:a			Dania		ı	Falso i sia.	1		A			Dalia					
			Party	Ind/	Gen	aer	P	olitical	view	Unio	пнн		Region			Afr Amer			Age			Relig	ion			\$50K-	e I
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K
Excellent	4%	4%	2%	4%	5%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	6%	6%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	6%	3%	2%	4%
Good	25%	30%	19%	21%	22%	27%	30%	25%	19%	20%	27%	28%	26%	20%	25%	24%	23%	18%	24%	30%	26%	36%	22%	21%	24%	23%	26%
Fair	41%	40%	43%	42%	39%	42%	35%	43%	43%	40%	42%	41%	36%	44%	41%	48%	44%	48%	40%	38%	42%	39%	43%	41%	43%	43%	39%
Poor	25%	20%	29%	29%	28%	23%	27%	23%	28%	35%	21%	19%	30%	28%	25%	21%	27%	29%	27%	21%	28%	13%	26%	24%	25%	29%	24%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	6%	6%	4%	7%	5%	6%	5%	6%	3%	6%	8%	3%	5%	7%	2%	0%	3%	5%	7%	3%	10%	6%	8%	5%	3%	7%
Q14. Corruption in state government	<u> </u>										- I			i i					i i	- I							
			Party		Gen	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Excellent	7%	7%	8%	6%	9%	5%	7%	6%	7%	6%	7%	8%	5%	7%	7%	3%	8%	5%	6%	8%	6%	14%	6%	6%	7%	5%	8%
Good	28%	32%	23%	27%	24%	32%	35%	29%	22%	28%	29%	29%	27%	29%	30%	25%	31%	24%	29%	30%	28%	34%	31%	26%	24%	30%	33%
Fair	35%	34%	36%	39%	31%	39%	35%	40%	29%	36%	36%	33%	40%	35%	35%	37%	32%	44%	36%	31%	37%	33%	30%	42%	39%	33%	37%
Poor	23%	20%	28%	22%	29%	18%	18%	18%	35%	26%	21%	21%	23%	24%	21%	27%	24%	18%	24%	24%	25%	11%	26%	18%	26%	25%	16%
Don't know/No opinion	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	7%	5%	7%	7%	4%	8%	10%	6%	5%	7%	7%	6%	8%	6%	7%	5%	8%	7%	8%	5%	6%	7%
Q15. Job creation in New York	•																										
			Party		Gen	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	19_2/	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K
Excellent	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%
Good	28%	33%	21%	23%	27%	28%	31%	31%	20%	24%	29%	30%				24%	34%	30%	25%	29%	26%	39%	25%	29%	23%	29%	36%
Fair													32%	22%	74%				23/0					43%	41%	38%	37%
li an	30%		<u> </u>										32%	22% 40%	29% 38%				42%	38%	38%	30%	4(1%				
	39%	42%	38%	36%	36%	41%	46%	37%	34%	40%	38%	40%	36%	40%	38%	44%	33%	39%	42% 28%	38%	38%	30% 22%	40% 27%				
Poor	27%	42% 19%	38%	36% 33%	36% 31%	41% 23%	46% 16%	37% 25%	34% 39%	40% 29%	38% 25%	40% 21%	36% 24%	40% 34%	38% 25%	44% 28%	33% 28%	39% 28%	28%	24%	30%	22%	27%	21%	30%	28%	20%
		42%	38%	36%	36%	41%	46%	37%	34%	40%	38%	40%	36%	40%	38%	44%	33%	39%									
Poor	27%	42% 19%	38%	36% 33%	36% 31%	41% 23%	46% 16%	37% 25%	34% 39%	40% 29%	38% 25%	40% 21%	36% 24%	40% 34%	38% 25%	44% 28%	33% 28%	39% 28%	28%	24%	30%	22%	27%	21%	30%	28%	20%
Poor Don't know/No opinion	27%	42% 19%	38%	36% 33%	36% 31%	41% 23% 5%	46% 16% 4%	37% 25%	34% 39% 4%	40% 29%	38% 25% 4%	40% 21% 7%	36% 24%	40% 34% 1%	38% 25%	44% 28%	33% 28%	39% 28%	28%	24%	30%	22%	27% 4%	21%	30%	28%	20% 3%
Poor Don't know/No opinion	27%	42% 19%	38% 33% 5%	36% 33%	36% 31% 3%	41% 23% 5%	46% 16% 4%	37% 25% 5%	34% 39% 4%	40% 29% 4%	38% 25% 4%	40% 21% 7%	36% 24% 5%	40% 34% 1%	38% 25%	44% 28% 1%	33% 28%	39% 28%	28% 3%	24%	30%	22% 7%	27% 4%	21%	30%	28% 4%	20% 3%
Poor Don't know/No opinion	27%	42% 19%	38% 33% 5%	36% 33% 4%	36% 31% 3%	41% 23% 5%	46% 16% 4%	37% 25% 5%	34% 39% 4%	40% 29% 4%	38% 25% 4%	40% 21% 7%	36% 24% 5%	40% 34% 1%	38% 25%	44% 28% 1% Ethnicity	33% 28%	39% 28% 3%	28% 3% Age	24%	30%	22% 7%	27% 4%	21%	30%	28% 4%	20% 3%
Poor Don't know/No opinion	27% 4%	42% 19% 4%	38% 33% 5% Party	36% 33% 4%	36% 31% 3% Gen	41% 23% 5%	46% 16% 4%	37% 25% 5% olitical	34% 39% 4% View	40% 29% 4% Unio	38% 25% 4% m HH	40% 21% 7%	36% 24% 5% Region	40% 34% 1%	38% 25% 5%	44% 28% 1% Ethnicity Afr Amer	33% 28% 2%	39% 28% 3%	28% 3% Age	24% 6%	30%	22% 7% Relig	27% 4% sion	21% 5%	30%	28% 4% Income \$50K-	20% 3%
Poor Don't know/No opinion Q16. Equal Rights for New Yorkers	27% 4% Total	42% 19% 4% Dem	38% 33% 5% Party	36% 33% 4% Ind/ Other	36% 31% 3% Gen	41% 23% 5% der	46% 16% 4%	37% 25% 5% olitical	34% 39% 4% View Conserv	40% 29% 4% Unio	38% 25% 4% n HH	40% 21% 7% NYC	36% 24% 5% Region	40% 34% 1% Upst	38% 25% 5% White	28% 1% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	33% 28% 2% Latino	39% 28% 3% 18-34	28% 3% Age 35-54	24% 6% 55+	30% 3% Cath	22% 7% Relig	27% 4% sion	21% 5% Other	30% 3% <\$50K	28% 4% Income \$50K- \$100K	20% 3% e \$100K
Poor Don't know/No opinion Q16. Equal Rights for New Yorkers Excellent	27% 4% Total 12%	42% 19% 4% Dem 12%	38% 33% 5% Party Rep 11%	36% 33% 4% Ind/ Other 12%	36% 31% 3% Gen M 13%	41% 23% 5% oder F 11%	46% 16% 4% Po Lib 19%	37% 25% 5% olitical Mod 11%	34% 39% 4% View Conserv 6%	40% 29% 4% Unio Yes 9%	38% 25% 4% n HH No 13%	40% 21% 7% NYC 14%	36% 24% 5% Region Subs 13%	40% 34% 1% Upst	38% 25% 5% 5% White 12%	44% 28% 1%  Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 7%	33% 28% 2% Latino 11%	39% 28% 3% 18-34 9%	28% 3% Age 35-54 12%	24% 6% 55+ 14%	30% 3% Cath 11%	22% 7% Relig Jewish 16%	27% 4% sion Prot 10%	21% 5% Other 15%	30% 3% <\$50K 9%	28% 4% Income \$50K- \$100K 12%	20% 3% e \$100K
Poor Don't know/No opinion Q16. Equal Rights for New Yorkers  Excellent Good	27% 4% Total 12% 41%	42% 19% 4% Dem 12% 46%	38% 33% 5% Party Rep 11% 31%	36% 33% 4% Ind/ Other 12% 38%	36% 31% 3% Gen M 13% 37%	41% 23% 5% der F 11% 43%	46% 16% 4% Pe Lib 19% 42%	37% 25% 5% 5% olitical Mod 11% 45%	34% 39% 4% View Conserv 6% 32%	40% 29% 4% Unio Yes 9% 44%	38% 25% 4% n HH No 13% 40%	40% 21% 7% NYC 14% 44%	36% 24% 5% Region Subs 13% 39%	40% 34% 1% Upst 8% 38%	38% 25% 5% 5% White 12% 41%	44% 28% 1% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 7% 43%	33% 28% 2% Latino 11% 41%	39% 28% 3% <b>18-34</b> 9% 50%	28% 3% Age 35-54 12% 38%	24% 6% 55+ 14% 37%	30% 3% Cath 11% 40%	22% 7% Relig Jewish 16% 53%	27% 4% cion Prot 10% 38%	21% 5% Other 15% 39%	30% 3% -<\$50K 9% 36%	28% 4% Income \$50K- \$100K 12% 40%	20% 3% **********************************
Poor Don't know/No opinion Q16. Equal Rights for New Yorkers  Excellent Good Fair	27% 4% Total 12% 41% 29%	42% 19% 4% Dem 12% 46% 26%	38% 33% 5% Party Rep 11% 31% 33%	36% 33% 4% Ind/ Other 12% 38% 30%	36% 31% 3% Gen M 13% 37% 28%	41% 23% 5% der F 11% 43% 29%	46% 16% 4% Po Lib 19% 42% 22%	37% 25% 5% olitical Mod 11% 45% 29%	34% 39% 4% View Conserv 6% 32% 33%	40% 29% 4% Unio Yes 9% 44% 30%	38% 25% 4% n HH No 13% 40% 28%	40% 21% 7% NYC 14% 44% 23%	36% 24% 5% Region Subs 13% 39% 31%	40% 34% 1% Upst 8% 38% 33%	38% 25% 5% White 12% 41% 30%	44% 28% 1% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 7% 43% 25%	33% 28% 2% Latino 11% 41% 27%	39% 28% 3% 18-34 9% 50% 24%	28% 3% Age 35-54 12% 38% 30%	24% 6% 55+ 14% 37% 31%	30% 3% Cath 11% 40% 34%	22% 7% Relig Jewish 16% 53% 20%	27% 4% gion Prot 10% 38% 26%	21% 5% Other 15% 39% 28%	30% 3% <\$50K 9% 36% 32%	28% 4% Income \$50K- \$100K 12% 40% 26%	20% 3% \$100K 16% 46% 28%

SNY1013 Crosstabs 102113 2 of 6

#### Siena College Research Institute October 14-16, 2013 822 New York State Registered Voters MOE +/- 3.4%

											MOE +/																
Q17. State government efficiency	1	1			_		_														1						
			Party		Gen	ider	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity	1		Age			Relig	gion			Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Excellent	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	6%	2%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%
Good	30%	37%	20%	28%	27%	33%	35%	32%	21%	28%	31%	37%	27%	25%	30%	32%	34%	29%	30%	33%	28%	44%	27%	33%	32%	28%	32%
Fair	40%	39%	42%	41%	40%	41%	35%	45%	40%	40%	40%	38%	43%	41%	39%	43%	47%	48%	42%	35%	43%	31%	42%	40%	37%	42%	45%
Poor	20%	14%	31%	23%	25%	16%	17%	15%	31%	24%	19%	13%	22%	27%	22%	17%	10%	17%	20%	22%	22%	12%	22%	16%	23%	21%	15%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	7%	7%	6%	5%	3%	7%	9%	3%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	6%	5%	3%	11%	6%	9%	6%	4%	5%
Q18. Do you support or oppose passing an	amend	ment to	the st	ate con	stitutio	n to al	low no	n-India	n, Las Veg	as style	casino	s to be	built i	n New	York?												
			Party	,	Gen	ıder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	2
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Support	49%	47%	51%	53%	52%	46%	47%	49%	53%	57%	46%	43%	52%	53%	50%	48%	46%	54%	49%	47%	56%	47%	42%	47%	45%	54%	50%
Oppose	45%	47%	42%	42%	43%	47%	48%	46%	41%	37%	49%	51%	42%	42%	45%	46%	49%	42%	46%	46%	40%	51%	49%	48%	49%	43%	44%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	6%	7%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	7%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	5%	7%	4%	2%	9%	5%	6%	3%	6%
010 A managed annuity street and	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				  !!-*			F44 C	£: !!!	<u> </u>		41 1	alle t								 			<u></u>		
Q19. A proposed constitutional amendmen		_	_							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		_										-					
casinos in New York State for the legislated				•••			ising ai	d to sci	nools, and	permi	ting lo	cal gov	ernmer	its to i	ower pr	operty taxe	s throug	n revei	nues ge	nerate	d, UNC	QUOTE. If	you w	ere voti	ng toda	y and w	ere
asked whether the amendment should be a	pprove	d, wou					_																		1		
			Party		Gen	der	Р	olitical	View	Unic	n HH		Region			Ethnicity	1		Age			Relig	gion	1		Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	10 2/	2E E4	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Yes	56%	56%	56%	56%	57%	54%	57%	56%	54%	62%	53%	56%	57%	54%	54%	69%	62%	62%	56%	52%	61%	51%	49%	56%	56%	59%	56%
No	40%	40%	39%	41%	40%	41%	40%	40%	40%	34%	43%	40%	39%	42%	42%	27%	36%	34%	39%	44%	35%	44%	46%	41%	40%	39%	41%
INU	40/0	40/0	33/0	41/0	40/0	41/0									42%		2%	4%	5%		5%	5%	5%				
Don't know/No oninion	1%	5%	5%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	6%	11%	1%	11%													1%	7%	3%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	Z70	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	2%	4%	2%	3%
•																						3%	5%	2%	4%	2%	3%
Don't know/No opinion  Q20. Now, having listened to the language			or this	propos	ed cons	titutio	nal am	endme	nt, which	of the f	ollowir	ig two	statem	ents co		sest to how			DICES R					2%	4%		
•				propos		titutio	nal am		nt, which	of the f		ig two		ents co		sest to how Ethnicity						Relig		2%	4%	Income	
•		ballot f	or this	propos	ed cons	titutio	nal am	endme	nt, which	of the f	ollowir	ig two	statem	ents co	omes clo	sest to how	you fee	el: [CHC	DICES R					Other		Income \$50K-	
•	on the	ballot f	or this Party Rep	propos	ed cons Gen	titutio ider F	nal am P	endme olitical	nt, which View	of the f	ollowir n HH	ng two	statem Region	ents co	omes clo	esest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer	you fee	el: [CHC	OICES R	OTATE	D] Cath	Relig	gion			Income \$50K-	2
Q20. Now, having listened to the language	on the	ballot f	or this Party	propos	ed cons Gen	titutio	nal am P	endme olitical	nt, which View	of the f	ollowir n HH	ng two	statem Region	ents co	omes clo	esest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer	you fee	el: [CHC	OICES R	OTATE	D]	Relig	gion			Income \$50K-	2
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the	on the Total	ballot f	or this Party Rep	propos Ind/ Other	ed cons Gen	titutio ider F	nal am P Lib	endme olitical Mod	nt, which View Conserv	of the f	ollowir n HH No	ng two	statem Region Subs	ents co	omes clo	esest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	you fee	18-34	OICES Re Age 35-54	OTATE 55+	D] Cath	Relig	gion	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for	on the Total	ballot f	or this Party Rep	propos Ind/ Other	ed cons Gen	titutio ider F	nal am P Lib	endme olitical Mod	nt, which View Conserv	of the f	ollowir n HH No	ng two	statem Region Subs	ents co	omes clo	esest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	you fee	18-34	OICES Re Age 35-54	OTATE 55+	D] Cath	Relig	gion	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers	on the Total	ballot f	or this Party Rep	propos Ind/ Other	ed cons Gen	titutio ider F	nal am P Lib	endme olitical Mod	nt, which View Conserv	of the f	ollowir n HH No	ng two	statem Region Subs	ents co	omes clo	esest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	you fee	18-34	OICES Re Age 35-54	OTATE 55+	D] Cath	Relig	gion	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers The ballot language is unfair; it only	on the Total	Dem	or this Party Rep 56%	proposi Ind/ Other 52%	ed cons Gen M 53%	titution der F 53%	P Lib 46%	endme olitical Mod 51%	nt, which View Conserv 62%	of the 1 Unio Yes	No 52%	NYC 54% 40%	statem Region Subs	Upst 48%	White 51%	ssest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61%	Latino	18-34 59%	Age 35-54 57%	<b>55+</b> 46%	Cath	Relig Jewish	Frot 50%	Other 50%	< <b>\$50K</b>	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers  The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring	on the Total	Dem	or this Party Rep	proposi Ind/ Other	ed cons Gen M	titution der F 53%	nal am P Lib	endme olitical Mod 51%	nt, which View Conserv 62%	of the 1 Unio Yes	ollowir n HH No	NYC 54%	statem Region Subs	Upst	White	esest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34 59%	Age 35-54 57%	<b>55+</b> 46%	Cath	Relig Jewish	gion Prot 50%	Other 50%	< <b>\$50K</b>	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers  The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition	on the  Total  53%  41%  6%	53% 42%	Party Rep 56% 37%	propose Ind/ Other 52% 42%	ed cons Gen M 53% 41%	titutio ider F 53% 41%	1 Lib 46% 48% 6%	endme olitical Mod 51% 43%	nt, which View Conserv 62% 34%	of the 1 Unic Yes 56% 38% 7%	ollowir n HH No 52% 43%	NYC 54% 40% 6%	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4%	Upst 48% 46% 6%	white 51% 43% 6%	ssest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33%	Latino 68%	18-34 59%	35-54 57%	55+ 46% 43%	Cath 57%	Relig Jewish 56% 41%	Frot 50%	Other 50% 45%	<\$50K 50%	\$50K- \$100K 60%	\$100K+ 50%
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers  The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition  Don't know/No opinion	on the  Total  53%  41%  6%	53% 42%	Party Rep 56% 37%	proposition of the proposition o	ed cons Gen M 53% 41%	stitution der  F  53%  41%  6%  oved?	Lib 46% 48% 6%	endme olitical Mod 51% 43%	nt, which View Conserv 62% 34% 4%	of the 1 Unic Yes 56% 38% 7%	ollowir n HH No 52% 43%	NYC 54% 40% 6% upset, i	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4%	48% 46% 6%	white 51% 43% 6%	ssest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33%	Latino 68%	18-34 59%	35-54 57%	55+ 46% 43%	Cath 57%	Relig Jewish 56% 41%	50% 43%	Other 50% 45%	<\$50K 50% 42% 8%	\$50K- \$100K 60%	\$100K+ 50% 47% 3%
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers  The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition  Don't know/No opinion	on the  Total  53%  41%  6%	53% 42%	Rep 56% 37% 6%	proposition of the proposition o	ed cons Gen M 53% 41% 5%	stitution der  F  53%  41%  6%  oved?	Lib 46% 48% 6%	endme olitical Mod 51% 43% 6% you be	nt, which View Conserv 62% 34% 4%	of the 1 Unic Yes 56% 38% 7%	ollowir n HH No 52% 43% 5%	NYC 54% 40% 6% upset, i	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4%	48% 46% 6%	white 51% 43% 6%	osest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33% 5% all upset?	Latino 68%	18-34 59%	35-54 57% 41%	55+ 46% 43%	Cath 57%	Relig Jewish 56% 41%	50% 43%	Other 50% 45%	<\$50K 50% 42% 8%	\$50K- \$100K 60% 35%	\$100K+ 50% 47% 3%
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers  The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition  Don't know/No opinion	on the  Total  53%  41%  6%	Dem 53% 42% 5%	Rep 56% 37% 6%	propose Ind/Other 52% 42% 6% t was no	ed cons Gen M 53% 41% 5%	stitution der  F  53%  41%  6%  oved?	Lib 46% 48% 6%	endme olitical Mod 51% 43% 6% you be	nt, which View Conserv 62% 34% 4%	of the 1 Unic Yes 56% 38% 7%	ollowir n HH No 52% 43% 5%	NYC 54% 40% 6% upset, i	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4%	48% 46% 6%	White 51% 43% 6%	sest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33% 5% all upset? Ethnicity	Latino 68%	18-34 59% 40%	35-54 57% 41% 3%	55+ 46% 43%	Cath 57%	Relig Jewish 56% 41%	50% 43%	Other 50% 45%	<\$50K 50% 42% 8%	Income   \$50K-   \$100K   60%   35%   5%   Income   \$50K-	\$100K+ 50% 47% 3%
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition  Don't know/No opinion	on the  Total  53%  41%  6%  be if th	53% 42% 5% e amer	or this Party Rep 56% 37% 6% ndmen Party	proposi Ind/ Other 52% 42% 6% t was no	ed cons Gen M 53% 41% 5% ot appro	titutionder  F 53% 41% 6% oved? 'der	Lib 46% 48% 6% Would P	endme olitical Mod 51% 43% 6% you be olitical	nt, which View Conserv 62% 34% 4% very upse View	of the fundamental Ves 56% 38% 7% Unio	ollowir n HH No 52% 43% 5% ewhat u	NYC 54% 40% 6% upset, i	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4% mot ver Region	Upst 48% 46% 6%	White 51% 43% 6%	sest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33% 5% all upset? Ethnicity Afr Amer	2 you fee  Latino 68% 31% 1%	18-34 59% 40%	35-54 57% 41% 3%	55+ 46% 43% 11%	Cath 57% 38% 5%	Relig Jewish 56% 41% 3%	50%  43%  7%	Other 50% 45% 5%	<\$50K 50% 42% 8%	Income   \$50K-   \$100K   60%   35%   5%   Income   \$50K-	\$100K+ 50% 47% 3%
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition Don't know/No opinion  Q21a. (If yes to Q19) How upset would you	on the Total 53% 41% 6% be if th	Dem 53% 42% 5% De amei	or this Party Rep 56% 37% 6% admen Party	Ind/Other 52% 42% 6% Ind/Other	ed cons Gen M 53% 41% 5% ot appro Gen	titutionder  F 53% 41% 6% oved? 'der	Lib 46% 48% 6% Would P	endme olitical  Mod 51%  43%  6%  you be olitical  Mod	nt, which View Conserv 62% 34% 4% very upse View Conserv	of the 1 Unic Yes 56% 38% 7% et, some Unic	ollowir n HH No 52% 43% 5% ewhat u	NYC 54% 40% 6% INSERT, I	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4% not very Region Subs	Upst 48% 46% 6% upset	White 51% 43% 6% E, not at	sest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33% 5% all upset? Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	Latino 68% 31% 1%	18-34 59% 40% 1%	35-54 57% 41% 3% Age	55+ 46% 43% 11%	Cath 57% 38% 5% Cath	Relig Jewish 56% 41% 3% Relig	9ion Prot 50% 43% 7% gion Prot	Other 50% 45% 5% Other	<\$50K 50% 42% 8%	Income   \$50K-   \$100K   60%   35%   5%   Income   \$50K-   \$100K	\$100K4 50% 47% 3%
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition Don't know/No opinion  Q21a. (If yes to Q19) How upset would you	on the Total 53% 41% 6% be if th	53% 42% 5% Dem Dem 7%	r thiss Party Rep 56% 37% 6% ndmen Party Rep 10%	Ind/Other 52% 42% 6% t was not Ind/Other 9%	ed cons Gen M 53% 41% 5% ot appro Gen M 8%	stitution der  F  53%  41%  6%  oved? Vider  F  9%	anal am P Lib 46% 48% 6% Would P Lib 9%	endme olitical  Mod 51%  43%  6%  you be olitical  Mod 6%	nt, which View  Conserv  62%  34%  4%  very upse View  Conserv  10%	of the 1 Unic Yes 56% 38% 7% et, some Unic Yes 8%	ollowir n HH No 52% 43% 5% ewhat u n HH No 8%	NYC 54% 40% 6% IPSet, I	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4% not very Region Subs 8%	Upst 48% 46% 6% upset Upst Upst	White 51% 43% 6% L, not at White 7%	sest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33% 5% all upset? Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 10%	2 you fee  Latino  68%  31%  1%  Latino  10%	18-34 18-34 18-34 12%	35-54 57% 41% 3% Age 35-54 44%	55+ 46% 43% 11%	D] Cath 57% 38% 5% Cath 8%	Relig Jewish 56% 41% 3% Relig Jewish 13%	9ion Prot 50% 43% 7% gion Prot 6%	Other 50% 45% 5% Other 8%	<\$50K 50% 42% 8% <\$50K 12%	Income   \$50K- \$100K	\$100K+ 50% 47% 3% \$100K+ 6%
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers  The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition  Don't know/No opinion  Q21a. (If yes to Q19) How upset would you  Very upset  Somewhat upset	53% 41% 6% be if th  Total 8% 26%	53% 42% 5% e amei	or this Party Rep 56% 37% 6% endmen Party Rep 10% 24%	Ind/Other 52% 42% 6% t was not Ind/Other 9% 26%	ed cons Gen M 53% 41% 5% ot appre Gen M 8% 24%	titutio der  F  53%  41%  6%  oved? 'der  F  9%  28%	10 mal am P Lib 46% 48% 6% Would P Lib 9% 27%	endme olitical Mod 51% 43% 6% you be olitical Mod 6% 29%	nt, which View  Conserv  62%  34%  4%  very upse View  Conserv  10% 23%	of the 1 Unic Yes 56% 38% 7% Unic Yes 8% 18%	ollowir n HH No 52% 43% 5% ewhat u n HH No 8% 30%	NYC 54% 40% 6% NYC 8% 29%	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4% Mot ver Region Subs 8% 23%	Upst 48% 46% 6% Upst Upst 8% 25%	white 51% 43% 6% t, not at White 7% 26%	sest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33% 5% all upset? Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 10% 30%	Latino 68% 31% 1% Latino 10% 28%	18-34 59% 40% 1% 18-34 12% 31%	35-54 57% 41% 3% Age 35-54 4% 20%	55+ 46% 43% 11% 55+ 9% 31%	57% 38% 5% Cath 8% 28%	Relig Jewish 56% 41% 3% Relig Jewish 13% 11%	50%  43%  7%  gion  Prot  6%  28%	Other 50% 45% 5% Other 8% 27%	<\$50K 50% 42% 8% <\$50K 12% 33%	Income   \$50K- \$100K	\$100K+ 50% 47% 3% \$100K+ 6% 23%
Q20. Now, having listened to the language  The ballot language is fair; it describes the amendment, highlighting the benefits for New Yorkers  The ballot language is unfair; it only includes arguments in support, ignoring arguments in opposition  Don't know/No opinion  Q21a. (If yes to Q19) How upset would you  Very upset  Somewhat upset  Not very upset	on the  Total  53%  41%  6%  be if th  Total  8%  26%  37%	53% 42% 5% e amei  Dem 7% 28% 34%	or this Party Rep 56% 37% 6% 6% Rep 10% 24% 43%	Ind/Other   52%   42%   6%     t was not   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	ed cons Gen M 53% 41% 5% ot appro Gen M 8% 24% 37%	F   53%   41%   6%	### Annual am	endme olitical Mod 51% 43% 6% you be olitical Mod 6% 29% 34%	nt, which View  Conserv  62%  34%  4%  very upse View  Conserv  10%  23%  43%	of the to Unico Yes 56% 38% 7% Unico Yes 8% 18% 45%	ollowir n HH  No  52%  43%  5%  what to n HH  No  8%  30%  33%	NYC 54% 40% 6% upset, I NYC 8% 29% 35%	statem Region Subs 59% 36% 4% 4% Region Subs 8% 23% 37%	Upst 48% 46% 6% Upst Wpst 8% 25% 39%	white 51% 43% 6% t, not at White 7% 26% 37%	sest to how Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 61% 33% 5% all upset? Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 10% 30% 32%	Latino  68%  31%  1%  Latino  10%  28%  28%	18-34 59% 40% 1% 18-34 12% 31% 29%	35-54 57% 41% 3% Age 35-54 4% 20% 51%	55+ 46% 43% 11% 55+ 9% 31% 29%	Cath 57% 38% 5% Cath 8% 28% 34%	Relig Jewish 56% 41% 3% Relig Jewish 13% 11% 39%	Prot   50%   43%   7%	Other 50% 45% 5% Other 8% 27% 43%	<\$50K 50% 42% 8%	Income \$50K- \$100K 60% 35% 5% 5% Income \$50K- \$100K 5% 24% 48%	\$100K+ 50% 47% 3% \$100K+ 6% 23% 39%

SNY1013 Crosstabs 102113 3 of 6

#### Siena College Research Institute October 14-16, 2013 822 New York State Registered Voters

MOE +/- 3.4%

												/- 3.4%															
Q21b. (If no to Q19) How upset would you	be if th	e amer		•								t, not v			t at all u	•			•			D-11	•				
			Party		Ger	laer	Р	olitical	view	Unic	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age	1		Relig	ion	1		Incom \$50K-	<u>e</u>
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K
Very upset	20%	19%	14%	27%	18%	22%	17%	19%	27%	26%	19%	19%	19%	22%	18%	21%	23%	7%	20%	27%	25%	25%	20%	14%	22%	14%	20%
Somewhat upset	49%	50%	56%	44%	46%	52%	52%	50%	47%	47%	50%	46%	53%	50%	54%	37%	51%	52%	50%	49%	50%	50%	48%	52%	46%	62%	49%
Not very upset	19%	22%	18%	15%	24%	14%	21%	20%	13%	17%	19%	21%	19%	16%	18%	26%	26%	28%	24%	11%	12%	18%	23%	21%	18%	15%	24%
Not at all upset	10%	9%	9%	12%	10%	10%	9%	10%	11%	8%	10%	10%	8%	11%	8%	14%	0%	13%	6%	11%	12%	8%	7%	11%	13%	8%	7%
Don't know/No opinion	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					L		<u> </u>			l		L			L						<u> </u>	L	
Q22. While the proposed constitutional and Governor's proposal to initially build only					ven cas	inos to	be bu	ilt in Ne	ew York, G	ioverno	or Cuon	no has	propos	ed that	t initiall	y only three	casinos	- all in	upstate	e New	York - I	oe built. I	Do you	suppor	t or opp	ose the	e
, , , ,			Party		Ger	der	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	ie
			1	Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Support	52%	52%	52%	56%	52%	53%	53%	54%	50%	56%	51%	48%	55%	55%	54%	53%	48%	56%	55%	49%	57%	52%	48%	52%	53%	52%	59%
Oppose	43%	42%	43%	41%	44%	41%	41%	43%	43%	38%	44%	46%	41%	40%	42%	42%	41%	40%	41%	46%	39%	42%	48%	41%	43%	43%	38%
Don't know/No opinion	5%	6%	5%	3%	4%	6%	5%	4%	7%	6%	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	10%	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%	5%	6%	5%	4%	3%
Q23. Switching gears, the State Departme	nt of Env	vironm	ental C	onserva	tion - o	r DEC -	is exp	ected t	o soon issi	ue a de	cision o	on whe	ther or	not to	allow h	vdrofrackin	g - that	is. the i	propose	ed met	hod to	recover i	natura	gas fro	m parts	of upst	tate
New York - to move forward. How much h							-									,		.,						<b>6</b>			
			Party			der		olitical			n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	ie
				Ind/		<u> </u>			1		T					Afr Amer			7.80							\$50K-	Ť
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	_	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K-
A great deal	27%	20%	34%	35%	35%	21%	31%	25%	26%	28%	27%	21%	29%	32%	32%	10%	14%	18%	23%	35%	27%	23%	29%	26%	22%	25%	34%
Some	33%	33%	35%	34%	36%	31%	40%	33%	31%	32%	34%	30%	30%	40%	37%	19%	37%	27%	38%	33%	35%	37%	27%	39%	28%	38%	41%
Not very much	20%	24%	18%	15%	14%	25%	14%	23%	24%	25%	18%	23%	20%	18%	18%	35%	17%	24%	21%	18%	20%	17%	24%	19%	24%	20%	12%
Nothing at all	19%	23%	13%	15%	14%	23%	15%	19%	19%	15%	20%	25%	21%	10%	12%	34%	33%	31%	17%	14%	18%	22%	20%	16%	26%	17%	13%
Don't know/No opinion	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
zen emien, ne epimen	1,0	1,0	0,0	1,0	1,0	070	0,0	0,0	170	070	1,0	270	0,0	0,0	0,0		070	070	170	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	1/0	2,0	0,0	0,0
Q24. Do you support or oppose the Depar	tment o	f Fnvird	nment	al Cons	ervatio	n allow	ing hy	drofrac	king to me	ove for	ward in	narts	of unst	ate Ne	w York?	)			l	<u> </u>				ı	ı	l	
Q24. 20 you support or oppose the Bepar			Party			der	<u> </u>	olitical			n HH	Parts	Region		l TOTAL	Ethnicity			Age			Relig	rion			Incom	
			a.c,	Ind/				- I	1	0	1		Region			Afr Amer			l Age			I I I				\$50K-	Ť
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Support	38%	30%	54%	39%	47%	30%	24%	36%	57%	38%	38%	33%	42%	40%	40%	28%	37%	38%	38%	37%	43%	41%	38%	29%	33%	40%	44%
Oppose	43%	46%	32%	48%	40%	45%	61%	43%	26%	40%	44%	43%	35%	47%	44%	38%	40%	44%	41%	44%	38%	36%	37%	61%	46%	41%	42%
Don't have enough information	13%	17%	9%	9%	7%	18%	12%	14%	11%	16%	12%	17%	14%	9%	11%	25%	14%	14%	13%	13%	13%	17%	17%	7%	14%	14%	10%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	7%	5%	4%	5%	7%	4%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	8%	4%	5%	9%	8%	4%	7%	6%	6%	7%	8%	3%	7%	5%	4%
Son Children opinion	070	, /0	370	7/0	3/0	7 /0	7/0	7 70	070	070	070	7 70	070	7/0	3/0	370	0/0	7/0	7 70	070	070	7 /0	070	3/0	7 /0	370	7/0
Q25. How serious a problem would you sa	y corrup	tion is		New Yo								s, some			not ver	•	not at a	II serio	us?	ı						ı	
			Party		Ger	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region		1	Ethnicity	1		Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	1.
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC			White	,	Latino			55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other		\$100K	
Very serious	40%	38%	42%	38%	41%	39%	39%	39%	39%	39%	39%	42%	33%	42%	37%	53%	40%	34%	39%	43%	38%	41%	40%	39%	44%	40%	36%
Somewhat serious	42%	40%	43%	47%	42%	42%	45%	42%	43%	40%	43%	37%	51%	42%	46%	29%	33%	43%	43%	41%	45%	36%	42%	42%	40%	42%	46%
Not very serious	10%	10%	8%	9%	10%	10%	10%	9%	8%	10%	10%	10%	9%	10%	10%	8%	17%	14%	9%	9%	11%	13%	7%	9%	10%	9%	12%
Not at all serious	2%	4%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%
																											1
Don't know/No opinion	7%	7%	6%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	10%	5%	8%	6%	6%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	9%	5%	5%	8%	5%

SNY1013 Crosstabs 102113 4 of 6

### Siena College Research Institute October 14-16, 2013 822 New York State Registered Voters

MOE +/- 3.4%

I Dem	35% 49% 7% 2% 7%	Ind/ Other 34% 48% 11% 1% 6%	_	F 30% 47% 15% 2% 6%	Lib 28% 45% 20% 2% 6%	Mod 32% 46% 16% 2% 5%	Conserv 31% 51% 8% 3% 7%	Yes 33% 42% 17% 1%	No 29% 49% 14% 2%	NYC 29% 41% 19%	Subs 24% 58%		White	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34	Age 35-54	55+	Cath	Relig	gion	Other	<\$50K	Incom \$50K- \$100K	e     \$100K+
26% 45% 21% 3% 6%	Rep 35% 49% 7% 2% 7%	Ind/ Other 34% 48% 11% 1% 6%	M 31% 46% 15% 2% 6%	F 30% 47% 15% 2% 6%	Lib 28% 45% 20% 2% 6%	Mod 32% 46% 16% 2% 5%	Conserv 31% 51% 8% 3%	Yes 33% 42% 17% 1%	No 29% 49% 14%	29% 41%	Subs 24%	Upst		Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34		55+				Other		\$50K-	
26% 45% 21% 3% 6%	35% 49% 7% 2% 7% Commis	Other	31% 46% 15% 2% 6% nvestig	30% 47% 15% 2% 6% ate cor	28% 45% 20% 2% 6%	32% 46% 16% 2% 5%	31% 51% 8% 3%	33% 42% 17% 1%	29% 49% 14%	29% 41%	24%			/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+		Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	•	\$100K+
26% 45% 21% 3% 6%	35% 49% 7% 2% 7% Commis	34% 48% 11% 1% 6% sssion to i	31% 46% 15% 2% 6% nvestig	30% 47% 15% 2% 6% ate cor	28% 45% 20% 2% 6%	32% 46% 16% 2% 5%	31% 51% 8% 3%	33% 42% 17% 1%	29% 49% 14%	29% 41%	24%			•		10 04	33 3 <del>4</del>	<b>33</b> .		30000		O CITIC	·\$50K	<b>PIOOK</b>	
45% 21% 3% 6% eland (	49% 7% 2% 7% Commis	48% 11% 1% 6% ssion to i	46% 15% 2% 6% nvestig	47% 15% 2% 6% ate cor	45% 20% 2% 6%	46% 16% 2% 5%	51% 8% 3%	42% 17% 1%	49% 14%	41%		3070		41%	33%	31%	31%	28%	31%	22%	30%	31%	34%	32%	27%
21% 3% 6% reland (	7% 2% 7% Commis	11% 1% 6% ssion to i	15% 2% 6% nvestig	15% 2% 6% ate cor	20% 2% 6%	16% 2% 5%	8% 3%	17% 1%	14%			45%	50%	40%	44%	45%	48%	47%	52%	43%	45%	44%	49%	47%	44%
3% 6% reland (	2% 7% Commis	1% 6% ssion to i	2% 6% nvestig	2% 6% rate cor	2% 6%	2% 5%	3%	1%	_	13/0	10%	14%	15%	11%	10%	16%	14%	15%	12%	23%	17%	15%	12%	14%	21%
6% reland (	7% Commis	6% ssion to i	6% nvestig	6% ate cor	6%	5%			2/0	4%	1%	1%	1%	5%	8%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%
eland (	Commis	ssion to i	nvestig	ate cor			7,0		6%	7%	7%	4%	7%	2%	6%	5%	5%	8%	4%	10%	6%	7%	4%	5%	6%
I Dem		у	_		ruptio	n in sta				.,.	.,.	.,.							.,.						
I Dem		у	_				te govern	ment,	oolitica	l camp	aigns a	nd elec	tions in	New York.	How clo	sely hav	ve you l	been f	ollowin	ng the ne	ws abo	ut the N	/lorelan	d	
	Party	•	Gen				ŭ	,		•	Ū					•	•			Ü					
		•		ıder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Incom	e
		Ind/												Afr Amer						1				\$50K-	
	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
3%	4%	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	3%	6%	8%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	3%
13%	25%	19%	20%	16%	17%	18%	18%	19%	17%	14%	19%	21%	17%	19%	21%	14%	16%	21%	19%	9%	19%	15%	14%	19%	19%
32%	28%	30%	29%	32%	35%	30%	29%	29%	32%	26%	32%	35%	29%	32%	22%	28%	32%	32%	35%	22%	31%	28%	34%	31%	29%
50%	43%	48%	46%	49%	44%	48%	48%	48%	47%	53%	47%	41%	50%	41%	49%	56%	48%	42%	41%	64%	46%	54%	48%	45%	50%
1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
llowin	g two c	ourses o	f action	do yo	u think	the M	oreland Co	ommiss	ion sho	ould ta	ke: [CH	OICES I	ROTATE	D]								•			
	Party	у	Gen	ıder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	)		Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Incom	e
		Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
l Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
450/	420/	4.60/	470/	420/	470/	4.40/	420/	4.00/	4.40/	4.40/	450/	450/	420/	4.00/	220/	2.40/	420/	4.20/	4.50/	470/	440/	4.60/	440/	470/	4.00/
15%	13%	16%	1/%	12%	1/%	14%	13%	16%	14%	14%	15%	15%	13%	18%	22%	24%	12%	12%	15%	1/%	11%	16%	11%	1/%	18%
73%	75%	72%	71%	72%	72%	70%	75%	70%	73%	70%	74%	71%	74%	68%	68%	66%	73%	74%	73%	73%	74%	70%	73%	74%	70%
12%	12%	12%	12%	15%	11%	15%	12%	14%	13%	16%	11%	14%	13%	14%	10%	10%	15%	14%	12%	11%	15%	13%	16%	9%	12%
he mo	st to eli	iminate (	corrupti	ion in N	New Yo	rk Stat	te Governr	ment: [	CHOICE	S ROT	ATED]														
	Party	у	Gen	ıder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Incom	e
		Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
l Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
27%	25%	20%	21%	26%	29%	24%	20%	27%	23%	26%	27%	20%	21%	37%	31%	24%	23%	24%	24%	19%	23%	27%	25%	27%	24%
3%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	7%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	6%	4%	9%	1%	3%	5%	0%	2%	5%	6%	4%	2%
13%	11%	15%	14%	12%	16%	12%	11%	15%	12%	13%	10%	15%	14%	10%	13%	12%	12%	15%	10%	20%	13%	15%	11%	12%	17%
18%	18%	22%	25%	14%	18%	17%	25%	22%	18%	21%	17%	20%	21%	17%	23%	19%	21%	19%	19%	19%	20%	19%	16%	20%	23%
8%	5%	7%	7%	7%	10%	6%	5%	6%	7%	5%	7%	9%	7%	2%	0%	7%	7%	7%	7%	4%	6%	10%	7%	9%	5%
3%	6%	7%	8%	2%	1%	5%	9%	4%	5%	3%	6%	6%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	6%	6%	6%	4%	4%	7%	3%	2%
0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
27%	29%	25%	20%	34%	23%	33%	21%	22%	30%	28%	29%	26%	29%	24%	23%	24%	30%	26%	28%	33%	31%	21%	28%	25%	26%
	50%   1%   1%   1%   1%   1%   1%   1%	Solution   Solution	Some   Some	Some   Some	Some   Some	Some   Some	Some   Some	Some   Some	Some   Some	Some   Some	Some   Some	Solid   Soli	Solidaria   Soli	Some   18	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%     1%   0%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%     18   0	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   49%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%     1%   0%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%     1%   0%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%     1%   0%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%     1%   0%   0%   1%   0%   0%   0%   0%	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   49%   56%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   0	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   49%   56%   48%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   0	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   49%   56%   48%   42%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%     1%   0%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%     1%   0%   0%   1%   0%   0%   0%   0%	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   49%   56%   48%   42%   41%     1%   0%   0%   1%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   1%   0%   0	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   49%   56%   48%   42%   41%   64%     1	Solicy   1	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   49%   56%   48%   42%   41%   64%   46%   54%     1	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%	50%   43%   48%   46%   49%   44%   48%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   49%   56%   48%   42%   41%   64%   46%   54%   48%   48%   48%   48%   47%   53%   47%   41%   50%   41%   0%   0%   0%   0%   0%   0%   1%   1

SNY1013 Crosstabs 102113 5 of 6

### Siena College Research Institute October 14-16, 2013 822 New York State Registered Voters

# MOE +/- 3.4%

Q30. And who do you think is doing the lea	st to el	iminate	e corru	otion in	New Y	ork Sta	te Gov	ernmer	nt? [CHOIC	CES ROT	ATED]																
			Party		Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Governor Cuomo	10%	10%	8%	13%	13%	7%	7%	9%	14%	9%	10%	10%	7%	11%	9%	16%	12%	15%	7%	10%	11%	2%	8%	15%	14%	8%	6%
The New York State Legislature	42%	44%	40%	44%	47%	39%	51%	42%	39%	47%	41%	43%	39%	44%	46%	32%	38%	32%	46%	46%	41%	51%	40%	46%	36%	43%	52%
Attorney General Schneiderman	6%	6%	9%	3%	7%	4%	3%	5%	9%	5%	6%	9%	3%	4%	5%	8%	9%	6%	7%	4%	8%	3%	6%	3%	6%	7%	5%
Federal prosecutors	11%	9%	12%	13%	10%	12%	11%	11%	10%	11%	11%	7%	15%	13%	10%	13%	8%	18%	8%	10%	12%	8%	10%	10%	12%	12%	10%
The Moreland Commission	5%	4%	7%	3%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	13%	4%	6%	4%	6%	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	6%
Vol: No one	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Vol: Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Don't know/No opinion	26%	26%	23%	24%	17%	33%	22%	28%	21%	22%	27%	25%	28%	25%	26%	23%	20%	24%	25%	26%	23%	32%	30%	22%	25%	26%	21%

Nature of the Sample	
New York State Registered	Voters
Party	
Democrat	47%
Republican	23%
Independent/Other	26%
Region	
NYC	39%
Suburbs	25%
Upstate	36%
Political View	
Liberal	25%
Moderate	45%
Conservative	26%
Union Household	
Yes	29%
No	71%
Religion	
Catholic	36%
Jewish	9%
Protestant	27%
Other	24%
Age	
18 to 34	22%
35 to 54	37%
55 and older	37%
Gender	
Male	45%
Female	55%
Race/Ethnicity	
White	67%
African American/Black	14%
Latino	8%
Income	
Less than \$50,000	32%
\$50,000 to \$100,000	27%
\$100,000 or more	28%

SNY1013 Crosstabs 102113 6 of 6