## Time Warner Cable/Siena College Poll April 6-9, 2015 737 Upstate New York\* Registered Voters MOE +/- 4.4%

												, . , -	,.																		
Q1. Is New York State on the right track	, or is it h	neaded in t	the wrong	g direction?																											
				Re	gion			Ger	nder		Age			Party	•	P	olitica	l View	Unio	n HH		Area		Childre	n in HH		Religio	on		Incom	e
		Syracuse	-	Rochester	Binghamton		Hudson								Ind/															\$50K-	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	М	F				Dem	•	Other	Lib	Mod		Yes		Urban	Suburban	Rural	_	No			Other	<\$50K	-	\$100
Right track	34%	38%	33%	32%	32%	37%	28%	37%		_	23%	_	49%	21%	30%	60%	32%	22%		35%	46%	33%	30%	26%	38%	27%	31%		39%	33%	319
Wrong direction	59%	55%	58%	60%	61%	59%	62%	57%	60%		72%		44%	74%	60%	32%	59%	72%	67%	56%	43%	61%	61%	69%	54%	65%	63%	48%	50%	62%	659
Don't know/No opinion	8%	7%	9%	8%	7%	4%	10%	6%	9%	6%	5%	11%	7%	5%	9%	8%	9%	5%	5%	9%	11%	6%	9%	5%	9%	8%	6%	9%	11%	5%	5%
Q2. Is the United States on the right tra	ck orici	t boaded is	n the wro	na direction							l						<u> </u>						l		ļ						
Q2. Is the officed states on the right tra-	CK, OI IS I	l neaded ii	ii tile wio		gion			Ger	nder	1	Age			Party		Р	olitica	l View	Unio	n HH		Area		Childre	n in HH		Religio	on		Incom	ie .
		Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton	Buffalo	Hudson		1		1.80			1	Ind/		1	1	00			7.11.0.0			1		l	1		\$50K-	Ť
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	М	F	18-34	35-54	1 55+	Dem	Rep	Other	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	Cath	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100
Right track	29%	21%	30%	38%	32%	29%	25%	29%	29%	33%	27%	30%	42%	12%	34%	54%	31%	14%	26%	30%	33%	32%	26%	28%	30%	28%	27%	34%	31%	28%	339
Wrong direction	65%	72%	63%	57%	62%	67%	72%	66%	65%	58%	72%	62%	52%	85%	59%	36%	62%	84%	67%	65%	59%	61%	70%	68%	64%	67%	69%	57%	61%	68%	639
Don't know/No opinion	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%	6%	10%	1%	8%	6%	3%	7%	9%	7%	3%	8%	5%	9%	7%	4%	4%	7%	5%	4%	10%	8%	3%	4%
I'm going to read a series of names of pe	eople an	d institutio	ons in pub	lic life and I	'd like you to t	ell me w	hether yo	u hav	e a fa	avorable	opin	ion or a	an unf	avoral	ole opi	nion o	of each	person o	instit	ution	I name.	. [Q3-Q5 RC	TATED	)]							
Q3. Andrew Cuomo																															
		ļ	1.		gion			Ger	nder	<u> </u>	Age	_	<u> </u>	Party		P	olitica	l View	Unio	n HH		Area		Childre	n in HH		Religio	on		Incom	e
		Syracuse		Rochester	Binghamton		Hudson	l	۱_		l	.	_		Ind/	l	L		l., l	١	l		L .	l	l			<b>.</b>	4=	\$50K-	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	M	F	18-34			Dem		Other	Lib	Mod		Yes	No	Urban				No				<\$50K	\$100K	
Favorable	35%	35%	31%	39%	30%	40%	32%	33%			27%		45%	24%	36%	45%	38%	25%		38%	46%	34%	31%	27%	38%	36%	30%	39%	44%	32%	269
Unfavorable	61%	60%	65%	59%	68%	55%	60%	62%		_	70%	_	50% 5%	73%	61%	53% 2%	58%	72%		56%	44%	62%	66%	68%	58%	61%	67% 3%		51%	64%	729
Don't know/No opinion	4%	5%	4%	2%	2%	5%	8%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	2%	5%	3%	2%	5%	10%	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%	7%	5%	5%	2%
Q4. New York State Assembly	- 1										l		<u> </u>			1	<u> </u>	l			l .		l								
Q4. New York State Assembly	_	1		D.	gion			Gor	nder	1	Age		1	Party		Р	olitica	l View	Unio	n HH	l	Area		Childre	n in HH		Religio	on		Incom	
	-	Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton	Ruffalo	Hudson	Gei	luci	+	Age			raity	Ind/	-	litica	View	Oillo			Aica		Cilliare	T		Kengic	1		\$50K-	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	м	F	18-34	35-54	1 55+	Dem	Rep	Other	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	Cath	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	
Favorable	34%	44%	29%	33%	32%	38%	24%	32%			26%		37%	32%	32%	40%	37%	26%		35%	42%	31%	34%	29%	36%	31%	33%		37%	32%	30%
Unfavorable	53%	39%	65%	58%	48%	52%	53%	57%	49%	_	63%	_	47%	59%	53%	47%	52%	58%	62%	50%	35%	60%	51%	59%	50%	59%	51%	49%	40%	59%	60%
Don't know/No opinion	14%	18%	6%	10%	20%	11%	23%	11%	15%	23%	11%	9%	16%	10%	15%	13%	12%	16%	9%	15%	22%	10%	15%	12%	14%	11%	16%	15%	23%	8%	10%
Q5. New York State Senate																															
					gion			Ger	nder		Age			Party		P	olitica	l View	Unio	n HH		Area		Childre	n in HH		Religio	on		Incom	e
		Syracuse		Rochester	Binghamton		Hudson								Ind/															\$50K-	1.
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	М	F			1 55+			Other	Lib				No		Suburban			No			Other	<\$50K	\$100K	_
Favorable	32%	35%	30%	33%	32%	33%	27%	30%	34%		31%	_	34%		28%	33%	32%	32%		33%	40%	30%	30%	31%	32%	35%	34%		37%	32%	27%
Unfavorable	56%	45%	65%	62%	50%	56%	57%	59%	54%	_	61%	_	54%	_	56%	54%	_	56%		53%	45%	61%	57%	57%	57%	57%	53%		43%	60%	69%
Don't know/No opinion	12%	19%	4%	6%	18%	11%	16%	11%	12%	22%	8%	9%	12%	7%	16%	13%	11%	11%	7%	14%	14%	9%	13%	12%	12%	8%	14%	14%	20%	8%	4%
Q6. How serious a problem would you s	COV CORPU	ntion is in	state gov	ornment in	Albany2 Would	l vou cav	it's yory	corio		mowha	t coric	uc no	tvon	corio	corno	+ 2+ 2	II corio	nic3			l			l .	l .						
Qo. How serious a problem would you s	say corru	puon is in :	state gov		gion	a you say	it s very		nder	liewiia	Age	us, no	lvery	Party				l View	Unio	n HH	l	Area		Childre	n in HH		Religio	on		Incom	
	-	Syracuse	Capital		Binghamton	Ruffalo	Hudson	Gei	luci	+	Age			raity	Ind/	-	litica	View	Oillo			Aica		Cililare	T		Kengic	1		\$50K-	Ĭ .
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	м	F	18-34	35-54	1 55+	Dem	Rep	Other	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	Cath	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100
Very serious	49%	49%	55%	47%	48%	42%	55%	54%			54%		43%	53%	53%	44%	47%	53%		47%	49%	51%	48%	54%	47%	54%	48%	46%	46%	46%	55%
Somewhat serious	41%	40%	36%	45%	39%	51%	36%	33%	_	_	37%		46%		37%	47%	42%	38%		41%	42%	40%	42%	38%	43%	37%	44%	43%	41%	46%	39%
Not very serious	5%	4%	7%	5%	7%	4%	4%	7%	4%	_	5%	5%	6%	3%	7%	6%	4%	6%		6%	3%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%	4%	3%	6%	5%	4%
Not at all serious	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	_	1%		1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%		0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	6%	3%	3%	6%	2%	5%	5%	3%	7%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	1%	5%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%	2%	3%	6%	7%	2%	2%
Q7. How serious a problem would you s	say corru	ption is an	nong state	e legislators	from your are	a? Would	d you say	it's ve	ery se	rious, s	omew	hat se	rious,	not ve	ry seri	ous or	not a	t all seriou	ıs?												
				Re	gion			Ger	nder		Age			Party	•	P	olitica	l View	Unio	n HH		Area		Childre	n in HH		Religio	on		Incom	e
		Syracuse		Rochester	Binghamton		Hudson								Ind/															\$50K-	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	М	F	_		1 55+			Other	Lib	_					Suburban			No			Other	_	-	\$100
Very serious	16%	10%	22%	16%	9%	15%	21%	19%	13%		16%		16%	10%	19%	12%	16%	15%		18%	29%	16%	12%	21%	13%	17%	14%	18%	24%	12%	119
Somewhat serious	35%	28%	36%	37%	39%	41%	29%	33%			37%		39%	_	32%	36%	34%	36%		34%	37%	40%	31%	39%	34%	36%	34%		34%	38%	379
Not very serious	31%	47%	24%	30%	28%	32%	24%	32%			31%	_	26%	33%	36%	33%	32%	31%		31%	16%	31%	36%	26%	33%	29%	34%		25%	30%	399
Not at all serious	12%	12%	11%	13%	13%	7%	18%	11%		_	9%	_	14%	_	8%	14%	_	13%		11%	16%	8%	15%	9%	14%	11%	14%		11%	15%	10%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	2%	6%	5%	11%	5%	8%	6%	6%	3%	7%	5%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	1%	6%	6%	5%	6%	7%	4%	6%	6%	5%	4%
																	I	1			l								1	I	1
																								•							

TWC0415 Crosstabs041315.xlsx 1 of 4

OS How much attention have you said to	the c	ecifics of t	ha state !	hudget that	was narrod by	hoth the	Sanata	and +L	10 Acc	omble	lac+ ···	, cop	Have .	(OII 22	id																
Q8. How much attention have you paid to	, trie sp	ecincs of t	ne staté i		was passed by gion	שטנח נחפ	senate a		ie Ass ider	emply	Age	eeK?	nave \	ou pa/ Part		F	olitic	al View	Unio	n HH		Area		Childre	n in HH		Religio	on		Incom	ne
		Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton		Hudson								Ind/	' T														\$50K	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	М	F		35-5	_	_	n Rep		_	Mo		Yes	No		Suburban	_	+	No		_	Other			\$100
A great deal of attention	9%	12%	14%	7%	9%	5%	8%	11%		5%	11%	_			5%	10%	9%		15%	7%	7%	11%	8%	12%	8%	9%	10%		4%	10%	14%
Some attention	40%	44%	43%	36%	32%	40%	42%	40%		25%	_	_	_	_	43%	_	43%	_	49%	37%	37%	41%	41%	38%	41%	47%	37%		27%	44%	49%
Not very much attention	30%	24%	27%	39%	26%	34%	28%	28%	32%	35%		_	_	_	33%		_		24%		41%	27%	30%	29%	31%	25%	30%		38%	26%	27%
Not attention at all	21%	20%	16%	18%	32%	21%	22%	20%		34%			_	_	19%		_			24%	15%	20%	22%	21%	20%	19%	23%	20%	31%	20%	10%
Don't know/No opinion	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q9. Overall, as you consider the impact th	ne recei	ntly nasser	l hudget v	will have on	improving this	ngs here i	n New Y	ork d	o vou	think	the 20	15-20	16 Sta	te Rud	get wi	II mov	e the	state of Ne	w Yor	k forv	vard m	ve the stat	te hack	wards o	r not rea	lly ha	ve mu	ch effe	ct on th	e direc	tion of
go: Overail, as you consider the impact th	T	litry pussec	a buuget i		gion	ingo nici c i			nder	T	Age	13 20	10 510	Part		_		al View	Unio			Area	ic buck		en in HH		Religio		T	Incon	
		Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton	Buffalo	Hudson		1		T.8c	T		T	Ind/	, —	T		00	<u> </u>		7 Cu		0	<u> </u>		T		+	\$50K	<u>. ĭ</u>
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	м	F	18-34	35-5	4 554	Den	n Rep		r Lib	Mo	d Conserv	Yes	No	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	Cath	Prot	Other	<\$50K		\$100
Move the state forward	16%	22%	15%	18%	18%	10%	15%	21%		19%	_	_	_		17%	_	_	_	12%	18%	29%	14%	15%	9%	20%	19%	_	18%	19%	17%	11%
Move the state lockwards	22%	21%	22%	20%	22%	25%	24%	20%	24%	19%					18%				25%	21%	14%	25%	22%	33%	17%	23%	23%	19%	16%	22%	30%
Not have much effect on the direction of	51%	49%	56%	56%	40%	54%	49%	50%				_	_	6 51%		_	_			51%		53%	53%		54%		54%		50%	52%	
the state	31/0	4370	3070	3070	4070	3470	4370	3070	32/0	4370	3370	, 337	0 31/	0 31/0	3470	4070	347	3370	32/0	31/0	41/0	3370	3370	4070	3470	31/0	3470	3270	3070	32/0	3470
Don't know/No opinion	10%	8%	8%	5%	20%	11%	12%	8%	12%	17%	4%	109	6 10%	6 8%	11%	16%	69/	10%	11%	09/	16%	8%	9%	12%	9%	7%	11%	11%	15%	9%	4%
Don't know/ No opinion	1070	070	070	J70	2070	1170	1270	070	12%	1/%	4%	109	10%	070	11%	10%	6%	1070	1170	<i>57</i> 0	1070	070	370	1270	J70	170	1170	1170	1370	370	4%
Q10. Looking specifically at one area of th	o Buda	ot Ethics I	Poform t	ho Governo	r cave that the	moacuro	r includo	d in t	no Pu	dant a	imad a	+ E+hi	rc Pof	orm wi	II cros	to the	ctron	gost and me	ort co	mnro	honsiyo	othics laws	for nu	blic offic	rials of a	av cta	to in t	ho nati	on Crit	CC COV	that th
loopholes are large enough to allow the le	_				•					_								-		-				iblic offic	Liais Ui a	iy sta	te iii ti	ie natio	Jii. Ciiti	LS Say	tiiat tii
loopholes are large enough to allow the le	egisiato	is to evau	e the inte		gion	irom the	iii oilice		y are nder	IOOKIII		ınat.	ро ус	u agre Part				al View	Unio		more	Area	ucs:	Childre	en in HH		Religio		$\overline{}$	Incom	
	+	Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	· .	Buffalo	Undeen	Gei	luer		Age	T	+	Part	y Ind/		Tonuc	ai view	Unio	<u> </u>		Area	1	Childre	<u>:11 111 NN</u>		Keligic	711	₩	\$50K	
	Total	· ·					Valley	М	F	10.3/	35-5						Mo		V		I I ale a sa	C le le	D	V		C-41-	D	Other	<\$50K	\$100	
		Area	Region	Area	Area	Area				_	_	_	_	n Rep	_	_	_		Yes	No		Suburban			No						
Agree more with the Governor	16%	19%	13%	18%	15%	18%	9%	14%		11%		_	_	_	15%		_		12%	17%	19%	14%	16%	10%	18%	20%	12%		18%	18%	10%
Agree more with the critics	77%	70%	78%	78%	72%	81%	84%	79%		82%	_		_	6 82%	77%		_	_		76%		80%	75%	+	75%	72%	_		74%	77%	83%
Don't know/No opinion	7%	12%	9%	4%	13%	1%	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	7%	8%	4%	8%	7%	8%	7%	9%	5%	9%	7%	8%	8%	10%	3%	8%	5%	7%
Q11. This year's budget included increase requirement:	T	J sure requ	il elliellts		gion	o practici	ing attori		nder	suitaii	Age	y wiii	liave	Part					Unio			Area	/ Dusiiii		en in HH		Religio	_	T	Incon	
		Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton	Buffalo	Hudson				T				Ind/	_											ت ا			\$50K-	_
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	М	F	18-34	35-5	4 55+	Den	n Rep	Othe	r Lib	Mo	d Conserv	Yes	No	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	Cath	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100	\$100
Does not go far enough	52%	53%	54%	49%	53%	46%	56%	54%	50%	41%	53%	_	_		51%	_	529	_	59%	49%	43%	51%	55%	52%	52%	51%	53%	53%	49%	50%	59%
Goes too far	15%	17%	10%	13%	11%	21%	20%	12%	18%	19%	_	_			13%					14%	20%	15%	14%	19%	14%	17%	13%		18%	16%	11%
Sounds just about right	28%	23%	31%	33%	31%	30%	17%	29%				_			31%				18%		29%	30%	25%		32%	27%			27%	30%	25%
Don't know/No opinion	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	2%	7%	5%	6%	9%	4%			_	5%	2%	4%		5%	5%	8%	4%	6%	9%	3%	6%	6%	3%	6%	4%	5%
Jon Children Chillen	370		370	370	370	270	- 7,0	370	0,0	370	170	370	370	770	3,0	2,0	.,,	, ,,,,	370	370	0,0	170	0,0	370	370	0,0	070	3,0	070	.,,	370
Q12. The budget puts some limits on wha	t legisla	ators can u	se their c	ampaign fu	nds for but stil	l allows t	hem to p	ay fo	legal	fees o	out of t	heir c	ampai	ign fun	ds sho	uld the	ey be	charged wi	th wr	ongdo	ing. Do	you suppoi	rt or op	pose all	lowing le	gislate	ors to	continu	ie to be	able t	use
their campaign funds to pay for legal fees	?			-				-								-		110			1						- · ·				
	1				gion	5 (( )		Gei	nder	1	Age		-	Part	-		olitic	al View	Unio	n HH	1	Area		Childre	en in HH		Religio	'n	+	Incon	
	L	Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton	Buffalo		1	l _		.1		1_	1_	Ind/		l	.[_	l	١	l		L .	1	1	١	<u> </u>			\$50K-	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	M				_	_	n Rep		_	Mo					Suburban			No			Other		•	\$100
Support	13%	15%	14%	8%	15%	12%	12%	15%		12%		_	_	_	14%	_	149			11%	14%	14%	11%	15%	11%		_		13%	13%	13%
Oppose	84%	80%	85%	90%	83%	86%	81%	80%		82%		_	_	84%	85%	_	_	_		86%	81%	85%	85%	80%	87%	87%	_		85%	86%	829
Don't know/No opinion	3%	5%	1%	2%	2%	1%	8%	5%	2%	5%	1%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	5 5%	3%	3%	5%	1%	4%	5%	2%	1%	3%	5%	2%	1%	5%
012 C	1 41	l leadent	l nationalis		final binna ai	h - 4 '	-66: :6:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	-41.	-46:	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Abs and 1	<u> </u>				<u> </u>				لــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		<u></u>	<u></u>	
Q13. Governor Cuomo threatened to hold Governor did the right thing or should he			-		first time since	he took	office, if	legisla	itors (	did no	t agree	to all	of his	ethics	propo	sals. B	ut, in	the end, h	e agre	ed to	drop so	me measur	res in o	order to g	get the b	udget	passe	d on tir	ne. Do v	/ou thi	nk the
dovernor did the right thing or should he	laven	eia oat ioi	Digger Ci		gion			Gei	nder		Age			Part	v	T 6	olitic	al View	Unio	n HH		Area		Childre	en in HH		Religio	on .	T	Incon	ne .
	1	Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton	Buffalo	Hudson	<u> </u>	T .		1		1	1	Ind/	<del>,        </del>	1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					T		T	Ė	t	\$50K-	_
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	м	F	18-34	35-5	4 554	Den	n Rep		r lih	Mo	d Conserv	Yes	No	Urhan	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	Cath	Prot	Other	<\$50K	1 -	
Did the right thing	49%	55%	46%	47%	47%	47%	50%	50%		49%					44%		529			51%	53%	47%	50%		51%	47%			46%	48%	54%
Held out for bigger changes	40%	38%	38%	40%	46%	45%	35%	38%	42%	43%	40%	_	_	_	45%		399	_		39%	43%	42%	36%	42%	38%	42%	39%	38%	45%	39%	35%
Don't know/No opinion	11%	7%	16%	13%	7%	9%	15%	12%		9%	12%		_	16%	11%	_	_	_		10%		11%	14%		10%		12%		9%	13%	11%
Son Canowy 140 Opinion	11/0	7 /0	10/0	13/0	7 /0	J/0	13/0	12/0	10/0	3/0	1270	, 127	0/0	10%	11/0	0/0	3/0	13/0	14/0	10/0	3/0	11/0	14/0	13/0	10/0	11/0	12/0	11/0	J/0	13/0	1170
	1	1	1		1			1	1	1	1	- 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	ľ		1	1	1	1

TWC0415 Crosstabs041315.xlsx 2 of 4

## Time Warner Cable/Siena College Poll April 6-9, 2015 737 Upstate New York\* Registered Voters MOE +/- 4.4%

strict outside earning limits or the current	system	of a nart.	.time legi	slature with																											
strict outside earning mints of the current	зузсен	i oi a pait	time legi		gion	ciosure o	Toutside	Gen		uneme	Age			Party		Poli	tical Vi	ew.	Unio	n HH		Area		Childr	en in HI	4	Religi	ion		Incom	ρ
		Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton	Ruffalo	Hudson	Gen	uei		Age	1		raity	Ind/	T	tical VI	. VV	Oillo			Aica		Ciliui		<del>'</del>	T	<del></del>		\$50K-	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	м	F	18-34	35-5/	55+	Dem	Ron		ib lv	امرا در	nserv	Yes	No	Hrhan	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	Cath	Dro'	Other	- CENK	\$100K	\$100K
Full-time	54%	55%	58%	51%	45%	59%	55%	56%	53%	57%	59%	49%		48%		_		15%		52%	53%	55%	54%	62%	51%				55%	55%	58%
Part-time	40%	42%	34%	41%	43%	40%	39%	38%	41%	34%	37%	46%		46%				18%		42%	41%	38%	41%	35%	42%					39%	39%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	3%	8%	7%	12%	2%	6%	6%	6%	8%	4%	5%	4%	5%				7%	4%	6%	5%	7%	41%	3%	7%	_	7%		7%	6%	3%
DON E KNOW/ NO OPINION	0%	370	670	7 70	12%	270	070	0%	0%	670	470	3%	470	3%	770 7	70 2	170	770	470	0%	370	770	470	370	770	370	770	376	770	0%	370
Q15. Along with the budget, the senate pa lawmakers convicted of a felony forfeit th					to approve a	constitut	ional am	endme		at wou	ld req	uire la	wmake	ers co			ony to f		heir s		ension	Do you su	pport o		se amer		he sta		titution	to make	
		Syracuse	Capital	Rochester	Binghamton	Buffalo	Hudson								Ind/											1	T			\$50K-	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	м	F	18-34	35-54	55+	Dem	Ren		ib N	10d C	nserv	Yes	No	Hrhan	Suburban	Rural	Yes	No	Cath	Pro	Other	- <\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Support	79%	75%	79%	83%	87%	76%	78%	80%	78%	63%	84%	84%		86%		_		36%	84%	78%	70%	79%	83%	70%	85%	_	81%	_	72%	85%	84%
Oppose	16%	18%	15%	16%	4%	22%	18%	16%	16%	31%	14%	11%		10%		_		9%		19%	24%	18%	12%	24%	12%				23%	12%	15%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	7%	6%	1%	8%	2%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	2%	5%				5%	6%	4%	6%	3%	5%	6%	3%				6%	3%	1%
Don't know/No opinion	470	7 70	0%	170	670	270	470	370	370	370	370	370	Z70	370	470 3	70 S	0 70	370	0%	470	0%	370	370	070	370	370	470	376	0%	370	170
046 0 6 11: 1 1 1 1	<u>.                                    </u>				L		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		204	2010	<u></u> -						L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del></del>	—	Щ	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del>L</del>	<u> </u>
Q16. Before this amendment can be voted		-				ed on ag	ain by a s	eparat	tely el	ected I	legisla	ure, II	n eithe	r 201	or 2018.	How	likely d	o you t	hinki	t is th	iat a sei	parately ele	cted le	gislatu	re will a	oprove	a con	stitutio	onal ame	ndmen	t
mandating the forfeiture of state pension	by legi	slators cor	ivicted of						_					_																	
		_			gion		1	Gen	der		Age			Party		Poli	tical Vi	ew	Unio	n HH		Area		Childr	en in HI	<u>ч</u>	Religi	on		Incom	e
		Syracuse		Rochester	Binghamton	Buffalo									Ind/															\$50K-	
	Total	Area	Region	Area	Area	Area	Valley	M	F	18-34			Dem			ib N		nserv	Yes				Rural	Yes	No			Other		\$100K	\$100K
Very likely	6%	5%	6%	6%	15%	6%	1%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%			_	5%	9%	5%	10%	5%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	11%	5%	4%
Somewhat likely	27%	27%	33%	32%	25%	22%	22%	25%	30%	43%	25%	22%	30%	25%	25% 3	1% 3	0%	21%	22%	29%	22%	30%	25%	23%	30%	29%	24%	28%	30%	30%	21%
Not very likely	32%	27%	33%	29%	19%	41%	37%	31%	33%	22%	34%	35%	33%	32%	35% 3	3% 3	1%	33%	34%	31%	27%	33%	33%	35%	30%	30%	37%	28%	26%	34%	39%
													5570	32/0																	
Not at all likely	32%	40%	22%	29%	36%	31%	36%	37%	27%	26%	32%	34%	28%	33%	32% 2	5% 2	8%	10%	33%	31%	36%	29%	33%	31%	32%	30%	32%	35%	31%	29%	35%
Not at all likely Don't know/No opinion	32% 3%	40% 1%	22% 5%	29% 4%	36% 4%	31% 1%	36% 4%	37% 2%	27% 4%	26% 2%	32% 2%	_			32% 2			10% 1%	33%	31% 3%	36% 4%	29% 3%	33% 2%	31% 4%	32% 2%	30% 4%	_	_	31% 3%	29% 2%	35% 1%
									_			34%	28%	33%	32% 2												_	_			
•	3%	1%	5%	4%	4%				_			34%	28%	33%	32% 2												_	_			
Don't know/No opinion	3%	1%	5%	4% udget proce	4%				4%		2%	34%	28%	33% 4%	32% 2 3% 3	% 4		1%		3%				4%		4%	1%	2%		2%	1%
Don't know/No opinion	3%	1% passed dur	5% ing the b	4% udget proce Re	4% ss: gion	1%	4%	2%	4%			34%	28%	33%	32% 2 3% 3	% 4	1%	1%	3%	3%		3%		4%	2%	4%	_	2%		2%	1%
Don't know/No opinion	3% ckage į	1% passed dur Syracuse	5% ing the b	4% udget proce Re Rochester	4% ess: gion Binghamton	1% Buffalo	4% Hudson	2% Gen	4%	2%	2% Age	34%	28%	33% 4% Party	32% 2 3% 3 Ind/	% Z	tical Vi	1% ew	3% Unio	3% n HH	4%	3% Area	2%	4%	2% en in HI	4% H	1% Religi	2% ion	3%	2% Incom \$50K-	1% e
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa	3% ckage p	1% passed dur Syracuse Area	5% ing the b Capital Region	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area	1% Buffalo Area	4%	Gen M	4% der	2%	2% Age	34%	28% 2% Dem	33% 4% Party	32% 2: 3% 3 Ind/ Other L	Poli	1%	1% ew	3% Unio	3% n HH No	4% Urban	3% Area Suburban	2% Rural	4%	2%	4% H	1% Religi	2%	3%	2%	1% e
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce	3% ckage į	1% passed dur Syracuse	5% ing the b	4% udget proce Re Rochester	4% ess: gion Binghamton	1% Buffalo	4% Hudson	2% Gen	4%	2%	2% Age	34%	28%	33% 4% Party	32% 2: 3% 3 Ind/ Other L	Poli	tical Vi	1% ew	3% Unio	3% n HH No	4%	3% Area	2%	4%	2% en in HI	4% H	1% Religi	2% ion t Other	3%	2% Incom \$50K-	1% e
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government	3% ckage p	1% passed dur Syracuse Area	5% ing the b Capital Region	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area	1% Buffalo Area	4% Hudson Valley	Gen M	4% der	2%	2% Age 35-54	34% 3% 55+	28% 2% Dem	33% 4% Party	32% 2: 3% 3 Ind/ Other L	Poli	tical Vi	1% ew nserv	3% Unio	3% n HH No	4% Urban	3% Area Suburban	2% Rural	4% Childr Yes	2% ren in HI	4% H	1% Religi	2% ion t Other	3% r <\$50K	2% Incom \$50K- \$100K	1% e \$100K
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on	3% ckage p	1% passed dur Syracuse Area	5% ing the b Capital Region	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area	1% Buffalo Area	4% Hudson Valley	Gen M	4% der	2%	2% Age 35-54	34% 3% 55+	28% 2% Dem	33% 4% Party Rep 20%	32% 2 3% 3 Ind/ Other L	Poli M 2	tical Vi	1% ew nserv	3% Unio	3% n HH No	4% Urban	3% Area Suburban	2% Rural	4% Childr Yes	2% ren in HI	4% H Cath	Religi Prot	2% ion t Other	3% r <\$50K	2% Incom \$50K- \$100K	1% e \$100K
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption	Total 23%	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 77%	5% ing the b Capital Region 28% 67%	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73%	ss: gion Binghamton Area 32%	Buffalo Area 19% 78%	Hudson Valley 18%	2% Gen M 23% 73%	4% der F 23% 73%	2% 18-34 23% 77%	Age 35-54 20% 78%	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68%	28% 2% Dem 22%	33% 4% Party Rep 20%	32% 2: 3% 3 Ind/ Other L 30% 2: 68% 7:	Poliib M 5% 2	tical Vi	1%  ew  nserv  18%	3% Union Yes 20%	3% n HH No 25% 72%	4% Urban 22% 76%	Area Suburban 24% 73%	Rural 23% 73%	4%  Childr  Yes  17%  81%	2% en in HI  No 27% 69%	4%  H   Cath 24% 73%	Religi  Prot  23% 72%	2% ion t Other 5 24%	3%	2% Incom \$50K- \$100K 24% 72%	1% e \$100K 21% 76%
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on	ckage p Total	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21%	5% ing the b Capital Region 28%	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area 23%	4% sss: gion Binghamton Area 32%	Buffalo Area	Hudson Valley	2% Gen M 23%	4% der F 23%	2% 18-34 23%	Age 35-54 20%	34% 3% 55+ 26%	28% 2% Dem 22%	33% 4% Party Rep 20%	32% 2 3% 3 Ind/ Other L	Poliib M 5% 2	tical Vi	1%  ew  nserv  18%	Union Yes 20%	3% n HH No 25%	4% Urban 22%	Area Suburban 24%	Rural	4% Childr Yes 17%	2% en in HI No 27%	4% H Cath	Religi Prot	2% ion t Other	3% r <\$50K 25%	2% Income \$50K- \$100K 24%	1% e \$100K 21%
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion	3% ckage   Total 23% 73% 4%	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%	5% ing the b Capital Region 28% 67%	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4%	4% sss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60%	1%  Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2%	Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2%	2% Gen M 23% 73% 4%	4% der F 23% 73% 3%	2% 18-34 23% 77% 0%	Age 35-54 20% 78% 2%	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3%	33% 4% Party Rep 20% 76% 4%	32% 2: 3% 3 Ind/ Other L 30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2	Poliib M 5% 2 2% 6	tical Vi	1% nserv 18% 78%	3% Unio Yes 20% 77% 3%	3% n HH No 25% 72% 3%	4% Urban 22% 76% 2%	3%  Area  Suburban 24% 73% 4%	2% Rural 23% 73% 3%	4% Childr Yes 17% 81% 2%	2% en in HI No 27% 69% 4%	4%  H   Cath 24% 73%	Religi  Prot  23% 72%	2% ion t Other 5 24%	3%	2% Incom \$50K- \$100K 24% 72%	1% e \$100K 21% 76%
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption	3% ckage   Total 23% 73% 4%	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%	5% ing the b Capital Region 28% 67%	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4% der a legisla	4% sss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8%	1%  Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2%	Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2%	2% Gen M 23% 73% 4% 0 a yea	4%  feet and a second a second and a second	2% 18-34 23% 77% 0%	2% Age 35-54 20% 78% 2%	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3%	33% 4% Party Rep 20% 76% 4%	32% 2: 3% 3 Ind/ Other L 30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2	Poliib M 5% 2 2% 6 % 2 99. Do	tical Vi	1% nserv 18% 78% 4%	3% Union Yes 20% 77% 3% or opi	3% n HH No 25% 72% 3% pose	4% Urban 22% 76% 2%	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4%	2% Rural 23% 73% 3%	4% Childr Yes 17% 81% 2%	2% en in Hi No 27% 69% 4%	4%  H Cath 24% 73% 3%	Religi  Prot  23%  72%  5%	2% ion t Other 5 24% 5 74% 2%	3%	2% Incom: \$50K- \$100K 24% 72% 4%	1% e \$100K 21% 76% 3%
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion	3% ckage   Total 23% 73% 4%	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1% ommission	capital Region 28% 67% 5%	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4% der a legisla Re	4% sss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8%	1%  Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2% - current	Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2% ly \$79,50	2% Gen M 23% 73% 4%	4%  feet and a second a second and a second	2% 18-34 23% 77% 0%	Age 35-54 20% 78%	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3%	33% 4% Party Rep 20% 76% 4%	32% 2: 3% 3 Ind/ Other L 30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2	Poliib M 5% 2 2% 6 % 2 99. Do	tical Vi	1% nserv 18% 78% 4%	3% Unio Yes 20% 77% 3%	3% n HH No 25% 72% 3% pose	4% Urban 22% 76% 2%	3%  Area  Suburban 24% 73% 4%	2% Rural 23% 73% 3%	4% Childr Yes 17% 81% 2%	2% en in HI No 27% 69% 4%	4%  H Cath 24% 73% 3%	Religi  Prot  23% 72%	2% ion t Other 5 24% 5 74% 2%	3%	2% Income \$50K- \$100K 24% 72% 4%	1% e \$100K 21% 76% 3%
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion	3% ckage   Total 23% 73% 4%	1%  Dassed dur  Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%  Ommission  Syracuse	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% to consi	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% tive pay raise gion Binghamton	Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2% - current Buffalo	4%  Hudson Valley  18%  79%  2%  ly \$79,50	2% Gen M 23% 73% 4% 0 a yea	4%  F 23%  73%  3%  ar - so	2% 18-34 23% 77% 0%	2% Age 35-54 20% 78% 2% ng that	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% ot hap	33% 4% Party Rep 20% 76% 4% penece Party	32% 2: 3% 3 Ind/ Other L 30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2 I since 19:	Politib M 2 2 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	tical Vi	nserv 18% 78% 4%	Union Yes 20% 77% 3% or opp	3%  No 25%  72%  3%  Pose pose n HH	4% Urban 22% 76% 2% increasi	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area	2%  Rural 23% 73% 3% of state	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% e legisla	en in HI  No 27% 69% 4% attors?	4%  Cath 24%  73%  3%	Religi  Religi  72% 5% Religi	2% ion t Other 5 24% 74% 2%	3%  r <\$50k 25%  72% 3%	2%	1% e \$100K 21% 76% 3%
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion	Total 23% 73% 4% Total	1%  Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%  ommission Syracuse Area	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% To consi	4% udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4% der a legisla Re	4% sss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8%	Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2% - current Buffalo Area	Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2% ly \$79,50 Hudson Valley	2% Gen M 23% 73% 4% 0 a yea Gen M	4%  feet and a second a second and a second	2% 18-34 23% 77% 0% methir	2% Age 35-54 20% 78% 2% Age Age 35-54	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% ot hap	33% 4% Party Rep 20% 4% penec Party Rep	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2  I since 19: Ind/ Other L	Poliib M 22% 6 Poliib M 2099. Do	tical Vi	1% nserv 18% 4% upport	Uniouves 20% 77% 3% Uniouves Ves	3%  No 25%  72%  3%  Pose pose n HH	Urban 22% 76% 2% Increasi	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area Suburban Suburban	Rural 23% 73% 3% of state	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% e legisla Childr Yes	2% en in Hi No 27% 69% 4%	4%  Cath 24% 73% 3%  H	Religi  Prot 23% 72% 5% Religi	2% ion Cother 5 24% 5 74% 2% ion Cother	3%  r <\$50K  25%  72%  3%	100m   \$50K- \$100K   24%   4%   100m   \$50K- \$100K   \$50K- \$100K   \$	1% e \$100K 21% 76% 3%
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion	3% ckage   Total 23% 73% 4%	1%  Dassed dur  Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%  Ommission  Syracuse	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% to consi	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% tive pay raise gion Binghamton	Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2% - current Buffalo	4%  Hudson Valley  18%  79%  2%  ly \$79,50	2% Gen M 23% 73% 4% 0 a yea	4%  F 23%  73%  3%  ar - so	2% 18-34 23% 77% 0%	2% Age 35-54 20% 78% 2% ng that	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% ot hap	33% 4% Party Rep 20% 76% 4% penece Party	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2  I since 19: Ind/ Other L	Poliib M 22% 6 Poliib M 2099. Do	tical Vi	nserv 18% 78% 4%	Union Yes 20% 77% 3% or opp	3%  No 25%  72%  3%  Pose pose n HH	4% Urban 22% 76% 2% increasi	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area	2%  Rural 23% 73% 3% of state	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% e legisla	en in HI  No 27% 69% 4% attors?	4%  Cath 24% 73% 3%	Religi  Prot 23% 72% 5% Religi	2% ion Cother 5 24% 5 74% 2% ion Cother	3%  r <\$50k 25%  72% 3%	2%	1%  \$100K 21% 76% 3%
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption  Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation	Total 23% 73% 4% Total	1%  Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%  ommission Syracuse Area	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% To consi	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% tive pay raise gion Binghamton Area	Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2% - current Buffalo Area	Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2% ly \$79,50 Hudson Valley	2% Gen M 23% 73% 4% 0 a yea Gen M	4%  F 23%  73%  3%  der  F F	2% 18-34 23% 77% 0% methir	2% Age 35-54 20% 78% 2% Age Age 35-54	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% ot hap Dem 28%	33% 4% Party Rep 20% 4% penec Party Rep	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/ Other L 30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2 I since 19: Ind/ Other L 25% 2:	Poliib M 22% 6 609. Do Poliib M 809. Do Poliib M 809. Do 809.	tical Vi lod Cc 8% 9% 2% 2 you so tical Vi	1% nserv 18% 4% upport	Uniouves 20% 77% 3% Uniouves Ves	3% No 25% 72% 3% pose n HH No	Urban 22% 76% 2% Increasi	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area Suburban Suburban	Rural 23% 73% 3% of state	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% e legisla Childr Yes	en in HI  No 27% 69% 4% attors? en in HI  No	4%  Cath 24%  73% 3%  H  Cath 23%	Religion Protesto Pro	2% ion Cother 24% 74% 2% ion Cother 25%	3%  r <\$50K  25%  72%  3%	100m   \$50K- \$100K   24%   4%   100m   \$50K- \$100K   \$50K- \$100K   \$	1% \$100K 21% 76% 3% \$100K 30%
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption  Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation	3% ckage p Total 23% 73% 4% Total 24%	1% Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1% Ommission Syracuse Area 19%	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% to consi	4%  udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4%  der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21%	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% tive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29%	1%  Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2%  - current  Buffalo Area 25%	Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2% Iy \$79,50 Hudson Valley 25%	2% Gen M 23% 73% 4% Gen M 27%	4% F 23% 3% 3% Ger - so Ger F 21%	2%  18-34  23%  77%  0%  methir  18-34  18%	2% Age 35-54 20% 78% 2% Ing that Age 35-54 23%	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% ot hap Dem 28%	76% 4% Party 20% 4% penece Party Rep 19%	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2  I since 19: Ind/ Other L  25% 2: 71% 6	Poliib M 2 2 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	tical Vi lod Cc 8% 9% 2% 2 you so tical Vi	nserv 18% 4% upport w nserv 20%	3% Union Yes 20% 77% 3% oor opp Union Yes 25% 73%	3% No 25% 72% 3% which is a second of the se	4% Urban 22% 76% 2% increasi Urban 29%	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area Suburban 24%	2%  Rural 23% 73% 3% of state  Rural 22%	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% elegisla Childr Yes 23%	2%  No 27% 69% 4% ators? en in Hi  No 24%	4%  Cath 24%  73% 3%  H  Cath 23% 74%	Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi  72%  Religi  Religi  72%  72%  72%  72%  72%  72%  72%  72	2%  Other 24% 74% 2%  ion  Other 5 25% 6 68%	3%  r <\$50K 25% 72% 3%  r <\$50K 19%	Incom: \$50K- \$100K 24% 72% 4% Incom: \$50K- \$100K 25%	1%  \$100K  21%  76%  3%  \$100K  30%
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption  Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation  Support  Oppose	3% ckage p Total 23% 73% 4% Total 24% 72%	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 1% 1% Syracuse Area 19% 72%	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% To consi Capital Region 25% 71%	4%  udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 73% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 76%	4% sss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% tive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69%	1%  Buffalo Area 19%  78%  2%  - current  Buffalo Area 25% 72%	4%  Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2%  ly \$79,50  Hudson Valley 25% 72%	Gen M 23% 4% 0 a yeze Gen M 27% 66%	4%  feet and the second	2%  18-34  23%  77%  0%  methir  18-34  18%  78%	2% Age 35-54 20% 78% 2% g that Age 35-54 23% 73%	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 70%	28% 2% Dem 22% 3% ot hap Dem 28% 71%	76% 4% 20% 4% 4% Party Rep 19% 77%	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2  I since 19: Ind/ Other L  25% 2: 71% 6	Politib M 22% 6 Politib M 299. Do Politib M 24% 22% 6 Politib M 25% 22% 7% 7	tical Vi lod Cc 8% 9% 2% 2 you so tical Vi	1%  nserv 18%  4%  upport ew nserv 20% 77%	3% Union Yes 20% 77% 3% oor opp Union Yes 25% 73%	3% n HH No 25% 3% 3% which is a second of the second of th	4% Urban 22% 76% 2% increasi Urban 29% 67%	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area Suburban 24% 72%	2%  Rural 23%  73%  3%  of state  Rural 22% 74%	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% elegisla Childr Yes 23% 72%	2%  en in Hi  No  27%  69%  4%  tors?  en in Hi  No  24%  73%	4%  Cath 24%  73% 3%  H  Cath 23% 74%	Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi  72%  Religi  Religi  72%  72%  72%  72%  72%  72%  72%  72	2%  Other 24% 74% 2%  ion  Other 5 25% 6 68%	3%  r <\$50K 25% 72% 3%	2%	\$100K 21% 76% 3% \$100K 30% 67%
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption  Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation  Support  Oppose  Don't know/No opinion	3%  Total 23%  4%  Total 24%  72%  44%	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1% commission Syracuse Area 19% 72% 9%	5% ing the b Capital Region 28% 67% 5% Capital Region 25% 71% 4%	4%  udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 4% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 76% 3%	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8%  titive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69% 2%	1%  Buffalo Area 19%  78% 2%  - current  Buffalo Area 25% 72% 3%	Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2% Iy \$79,50 Hudson Valley 25% 72% 3%	Gen M 23% 73% 4% 0 a yea Gen M 27% 66% 7%	4%  der  F 23%  3%  3%  3r- so der  F 21%  78%  2%	2%  18-34  23%  77%  0%  methir  18-34  18%  4%	2% Age 35-54 20% 78% 2% ag that Age 35-54 23% 73% 4%	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 70% 3%	28% 2% Dem 22% 3% oot hap Dem 28% 71% 2%	76% 4% 20% 76% 4% Party Rep 19% 77% 5%	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2  I since 19: Ind/ Other L  25% 2: 71% 6: 4% 6:	Poliib M 22% 6 Poliib M 28% 2 Poliib M 299. Do 28% 2 Poliib M 38% 2 27% 7	14%	11%  nserv  nserv  4%  4%  nserv  20%  77%  4%	3% Unio Yes 20% 3% or op Unio Yes 25% 73% 2%	3% No 25% 72% 3% pose n HH No 23% 72% 5%	4%  Urban 22% 76% 2%  increasi  Urban 29% 67% 4%	3%  Area  Suburban  24%  73%  4%  Ing the pay of Area  Suburban  24%  72%  4%	2%  Rural 23%  73%  3%  Rural 22%  Rural 22%  74%  3%	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% Childr Yes 23% 72% 6%	2%  en in Hi  No  27%  69%  4%  itors?  en in Hi  No  24%  73%  3%	4%  Cath 24% 3% 3%  Cath 24% 3%	Religi  Religi  72%  72%  Religi  72%  844  72%  72%  845  72%  846  747  847  847  847  847  847  847	2%  ion  24%  24%  24%  24%  25%  ion  t Other  25%  68%  7%	3%  r <\$50K 25% 72% 3%  r <\$50K 19% 80% 1%	Incom \$50K- \$100K 24% 4% Incom \$50K- \$100K 25% 71% 4%	1% \$100K 21% 76% 3% \$100K 3% 67% 30%
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption  Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation  Support  Oppose  Don't know/No opinion  Q27. While the Governor, and the leaders	3%  Total 23%  4%  Total 224%  724%  4%  of bott	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%  commission Syracuse Area 19% 72% 9% on the Asse	Capital Region 28% 67% 5% 1 to consi Capital Region 25% 71% 4%	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 76% 3% the Senate	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8%  tive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69% 2% released states	1%  Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2% - current Buffalo Area 25% 72% 3% ements pi	Hudson Valley 18% 2% Ly \$79,50 Hudson Valley 25% 72% 3%	Gen M 23% 4% 4% Gen M 27% 66% 7%	4%  dder  F 23%  73%  3%  3%  er 4 = 50  der  F 21%  78%  2%	18-34 23% 77% 0% methin 18-34 18% 4%	2%  Age  35-54  20%  78%  2%  ag that Age  35-54  4%  respon	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 70% 3%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% ot hap Dem 28% 71% 2%	76% 4% 20% 76% 4% Party Rep 19% 77% 5%	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2: 68% 7: 3% 2  Isince 19: Ind/ Other L  25% 2: 71% 6 4% 6	Poliib M 22 99. Do Poliib N 20 99. Do Poliib N 20 90. Do Poliib N 20 9	11/4   1/4	1%  nserv  18%  4%  4%  nserv  20%  77%  4%  enefits	3% Union Yes 20% 77% 3% or op Union Yes 25% 73% 2%	3% No 25% 72% 3% Pose in HH No 23% 72% 5% say t	4%  Urban 22% 76% 2% Urban 29% 67% 4%	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area Suburban 24% 72% 4% will gain fr	2%  Rural 23%  73%  3%  8was  Rural 22%  74%  3%  3woom its	4% Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% Legisla Childr Yes 23% 6% provisi	2%  No 27% 69% 4%  No 24% No 24% 73% 3% ons, sor	4%  Cath  73%  3%  Cath  1 Cat	Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi  Prot  5%  Religi  23%  72%  5%  Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi	2%  Other  24%  74%  2%  Other  25%  6 68%  7%  s say this	3%  r <\$50K 25%  72% 3%  r <\$50K 19% 80% 1% at they c	Incom: \$50K- \$100K 24% 72% 4% Incom: \$50K- \$100K 25% 71% 4%	1% \$100K 21% 76% 3% \$100K 3% 67% 30%
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation  Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion	3%  Total 23%  4%  Total 224%  724%  4%  of bott	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%  commission Syracuse Area 19% 72% 9% on the Asse	Capital Region 28% 67% 5% 1 to consi Capital Region 25% 71% 4%	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 4% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 76% 3% the Senate	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% tive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69% 2% released state the budget	1%  Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2% - current Buffalo Area 25% 72% 3% ements pi	Hudson Valley 18% 2% Ly \$79,50 Hudson Valley 25% 72% 3%	Gen M 23%  73%  4%  0 a yea Gen M 27%  66%  7%  e budgade ena	4%  dder  F 23%  73%  3%  3%  der  78%  21%  78%  29%	18-34 23% 77% 0% methin 18-34 18% 4%	2%  Age  35-54  20%  78%  2%  ag that Age  35-54  24%  responshe b	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 70% 3%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% ot hap Dem 28% 71% 2%	33% 4% Rep 20% 4% 4% Party Rep 19% 77% 5%	32% 2:  3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2:  68% 7.  3% 2  I since 19:  Ind/ Other L  25% 2:  71% 6  4% 6  Iliness, as e people of	Poliib M 22% 6 8099. Do Poliib M 24% 7 8099. Do Poliib M 8099. Do Poliib M 8099. Do Poliif M 8099. Do	11/4   Colored Property   Colore	11%  Inserv  18%  4%  4%  Inserv  20%  777%  4%  Inserv  Inser	3% Union Yes 20% 77% 3% or op Union Yes 25% 73% 2% s they	3% No 25% 72% 3% pose en HH No 23% 5% say t	4%  Urban 22% 76% 2% Urban 29% 67% 4%	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area Suburban 24% 72% 4% will gain frorove of the	2%  Rural 23%  73%  3%  8was  Rural 22%  74%  3%  3woom its	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% Le legisla Childr Yes 23% 72% 6% provisi	2%  en in Hi  No  27%  69%  4%  No  244%  73%  3%  oons, sorget process	4%  Cath 24% 73% 3%  Cath 23% 3%  Cath 23% 3%  Cath 23% 3%	Religi  Religi  Religi  72%  849  849  849  849  849  849  849  84	2%   Control	3%  r <\$50K 25%  72% 3%  r <\$50K 19% 80% 1% at they c	Incom 550K- 5100K 72% 4% Incom 550K- 5100K 25% 71% 4% 4%	1%  \$100K  21%  76%  3%  \$100K  30%  67%  3%
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion Q18. The budget also includes the creation Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q27. While the Governor, and the leaders	3%  Total 23%  4%  Total 224%  724%  4%  of bott	1%  Syracuse Area 21%  77%  1%  Syracuse Area 19% 72% 9%  n the Asse, et and that	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5%  to consider Region 25% 71% 4%  mbly and at the many series of the consider Region 25%	4%  udget proce Re Rochester Area 23%  73%  4%  der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 76% 3%  the Senate nner in whi	4% sss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% titve pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69% 2% released state th the budget gion	Buffalo Area 19% 2% -current Buffalo Area 25% 72% 3% ements piwas nego	Hudson Valley 18% 79% 2% ly \$79,50  Hudson Valley 25% 72% 3%	Gen M 23% 4% 4% Gen M 27% 66% 7%	4%  dder  F 23%  73%  3%  3%  ar - so der  F 21%  78%  2%	18-34 23% 77% 0% methin 18-34 18% 4%	2%  Age  35-54  20%  78%  2%  ag that Age  35-54  4%  respon	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 70% 3%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% ot hap Dem 28% 71% 2%	76% 4% 20% 76% 4% Party Rep 19% 77% 5%	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/Other L 30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2  I since 19: Ind/Other L 25% 2: 71% 6 4% 6: Iliness, as	Poliib M 22% 6 8099. Do Poliib M 24% 7 8099. Do Poliib M 8099. Do Poliib M 8099. Do Poliif M 8099. Do	11/4   1/4	11%  Inserv  18%  4%  4%  Inserv  20%  777%  4%  Inserv  Inser	3% Union Yes 20% 77% 3% or op Union Yes 25% 73% 2%	3% No 25% 72% 3% pose en HH No 23% 5% say t	4%  Urban 22% 76% 2% Urban 29% 67% 4%	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% Area Suburban 24% 72% 4% will gain fr	2%  Rural 23%  73%  3%  8was  Rural 22%  74%  3%  3woom its	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% Le legisla Childr Yes 23% 72% 6% provisi	2%  No 27% 69% 4%  No 24% No 24% 73% 3% ons, sor	4%  Cath 24% 73% 3%  Cath 23% 3%  Cath 23% 3%  Cath 23% 3%	Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi  Prot  5%  Religi  23%  72%  5%  Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi  Religi	2%   Content	3%  r <\$50K 25%  72% 3%  r <\$50K 19% 80% 1% at they c	100m   550K-   5100K   24%   4%   100m   550K-   5100K   25%   71%   4%   100m   100	1%  \$100K  21%  76%  3%  \$100K  30%  67%  3%
Don't know/No opinion Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion Q18. The budget also includes the creation Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q27. While the Governor, and the leaders	Total 24% Total 244% Total 244% Total 44%	1%  Syracuse Area 21%  77% 1%  ommission  Syracuse Area 19% 9%  n the Asseget and the  Syracuse	capital Region 28% 67% 5% 1 to consi Capital Region 25% 71% 4% 4% Capital Capi	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 4% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 3% the Senate enner in whit Re Rochester	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% titive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69% 2% released state the budget gion Binghamton	Buffalo Area 19% 2% -current Buffalo Area 25% 72% 3% ements pp was nego	Hudson Valley 18% 2% Ly \$79,50 Hudson Valley 25% 72% 3% Hudson Valley Ly \$72,50 Hudson Valley Ly \$72,50 Hudson Valley Ly \$72,50 Hudson Valley Ly \$72,50	Gen M 23% 73% 4% 4% Gen M 27% 66% 7% e budged ena	73% 3% 3% F 21% 78% 2% get, it:cted i	2%  18-34  23%  77%  0%  methir  18-34  18%  4%  4%	2% Age 35-54 20% 2% 2g that Age 35-54 23% 4% respon the b Age	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 3%	28% 2% Dem 22% 3% 30 ot hap Dem 28% 71% 2%	33% 4%  Party Rep 20% 4%  4%  Perty 19% 77% 5%  d d time of the Party	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/Other L 30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2  I since 19: Ind/Other L 25% 2: 71% 66 4% 6: Ind/Other L	Politib N 22% 6 8% 2 299. Do Politib M 38% 2 27% 7 9% 3	tical Vi	11%  nserv  18%  78%  4%  upport  ew  nserv  20%  77%  4%  enefits  Do you	Union Yes 20% 3% 3% Visit of the property of t	3% n HH No 25% 72% 3% pose n HH No 23% 72% 5% say t	22% 76% 2% urban 22% 4% 4% 4% 4%	Area Suburban 24% 73% 4% ng the pay of Area Suburban 24% 72% 4% ewill gain for grove of the Area	Rural 23% 73% 3% 5 State Rural 22% 74% 3% 5 State 8 St	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% Legisla Childr Yes 23% 72% 6% Provisi	2%  No 27% 69% 4%  No 224% No 244% 73% 3% cons, soro	4%  Cath 24% 73% 3%  Cath Lagran 48  Cath Lagr	Religi	2%   2%   24%   24%   24%   24%   25%   25%   68%   7%   3 say this say the sourced to compare the say that the say the say that the say	3%  r <\$50K 25% 72% 3%  r <\$50K 19% 19% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	100m   550K-   100m	1% e \$100k 21% 76% 3% e \$100k 30% 67% 3% have
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption  Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation  Support  Oppose Don't know/No opinion  Q27. While the Governor, and the leaders enough time to review the provisions in the	3%   Ckage       Total   23%   4%       Total   24%   72%   44%       Of bottle budge   Total   170	1%  Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%  Syracuse Area 19% 72% 9%  n the Asse get and the Syracuse Area	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% to consi Capital Region 25% 71% 4%  mbly and at the ma Capital Region	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 76% 3% the Senate nner in whi Re Rochester Area	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% tive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69% 2% released state th the budget gion Binghamton	Buffalo Area 19% 2% - current Buffalo Area 25% 72% 3% ements pi was nego	Hudson Valley 18% 29% Ly \$79,50 Hudson Valley 25% 72% 3% araising th otiated ar	Gen  M 23% 73% 4% 0 a yea Gen M 27% 66% 7% e e budgad enad Gen M	4%  der  F 23%  3%  3%  ar - so der  F 21%  78%  2%  tcted i der  F	2%  18-34  23%  77%  0%  methir  18-34  4%  5 fiscal s not ir  18-34	2% 35-54 20% 78% 2% ng thai Age 35-54 23% 4% responthe b Age	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 70% 3%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% oot hap Dem 28% 71% 2% ess anneerests	76% 4% Party Rep 20% 4% Party Rep 19% 5% d time of the Rep Party Rep	32% 2:  3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2:  68% 7.  3% 2  Isince 19:  Ind/ Other L  25% 2:  71% 6:  4% 6:  Iliness, as a people c  Ind/ Other L	Poliib M	tical Vi  or of the control of the c	11%  nserv  18%  rserv  vew  nserv  construction  nserv  nserv  nserv  nserv  nserv  nserv	Uniouves 20% 3% 3% or op Uniouves 25% 73% 2% sthey sthey uniouves	3%  No 25%  72%  3%  Pose en HH  No 23% 5%  say t  ove c  n HH  No	Urban 22% 2% 2mncreasi Urban 29% 4% 4w Urban Urban Urban Urban	Area Suburban 24% 4% Area Suburban 24% Area Suburban 24% 72% 4% ewill gain frorove of the Area Suburban	2%  Rural 23%  73%  3%  of state  Rural 22%  74%  3%  eway t  Rural	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% Childr Yes 16% 6% Childr Yes Childr Yes Childr Yes Ves	en in HI No 27% 69% 4% 4% No 24% No 24% No 24% No	4%  Cath  24%  73%  3%  Cath  Cath  Lambda  4%  Cath	Religi	2%	3%  r <\$50K 25% 72% 3%  r <\$50K 19% 80% 1%  this year	2%	1% 21% 76% 3% \$100k 30% 67% 3% 4 sales \$100k \$100k
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation  Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion  Q27. While the Governor, and the leaders enough time to review the provisions in the	73% 4% Total 23% 4% Total 24% 72% 4%  of a c  Total 24% Total 20%	1% passed dur Syracuse Area 21% 1% 5% 1% Syracuse Area 19% 72% 9% The Assert and this Syracuse Area 21%	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% 1 to consi Capital Region 25% 71% 4%  mbly and at the ma  Capital Region 24%	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 4% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 76% 3% the Senate nner in whi Re Rochester Area 27%	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8%  tive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69% 2% released state the budget gion Binghamton Area 18%	Buffalo Area 19% 78% 2% - current Buffalo Area 25% 72% 3% ements pi was nego Buffalo Area 13%	Hudson Valley 18% 2% 1y \$79,50 Hudson Valley 25% 72% 3% raising thotiated ar Hudson Valley 14%	2%  Gen  M  23%  73%  4%  4%  0 a yec  Gen  M  27%  66%  7%  e budgad ena  Gen  M  21%	4%  der  F 23%  73%  3%  38  E 21%  78%  2%  der  F 19%	18-34 23% 0% methir 18-34 18% 4% s fiscal s not in	2% 35-54 20% 78% 2% 1g thai Age 35-54 23% 73% 4% responthe b Age 35-54 17%	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 70% 3% 55+ 25+ 25%	28% 2% Dem 22% 3% ot hap Dem 28% 71% 2% ess and erests Dem 19%	33% 4%  Party Rep 20% 4%  4%  Penete Party 5% d times of the Party Rep 15%	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/ Other L  30% 2: 68% 7: 3% 2: Isince 19: Ind/ Other L  25% 2: 71% 6 4% 6: Ind/ Other L  Ind/ Other L  28% 1:	Poliib N 65% 2 2% 6 Poliib N Poliib N Poliib N Poliib N F Poliib N F Poliib N F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	tical Vi  lod Cc  8%  9%  2%  pyoussical Vi  lod Cc  6%  2%  as the t  v York.  tical Vi  lod Cc  5%	nserv 1% 4% upport ew nserv 20% 4% upport ew nserv 20% 4% upport ew nserv 177%	Union Yes 20% 77% 3% Or opp Union Yes 25% 73% 2% sthey appr Union Yes 14%	3% No 25% 72% 3% No 23% 72% 5% say t ove c n HH No 22%	Urban 22% 2% 2% increasi 29% 67% 4% he state r disapp	Area Suburban 24% 4% 4% Area Suburban 24% 72% 4% ewill gain frorove of the Area Suburban 17%	Rural 23% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% legisla Childr Yes 23% 72% 6% provisi he bud <sub>l</sub> Childr Yes 14%	en in HI No 27% 69% 4% 4% No 244% 73% 3% ons, sor	4%  Cath  24%  73%  3%  Cath	Religi  Religi  Religi  Prot  23%  72%  Sw  Religi  Prot  22%  73%  Religi  Prot  Religi  Prot  1 Prot	2%   Other   Company   C	3%  r <\$50K 25% 72% 3%  r <\$50K 19% 80% 11%  at they cat	100m   550K-   5100K   24%   4%   4%   100m   550K-   5100K   25%   44%   100m   71%   7	1%  \$100k 21%  76% 3%  \$100k 30% 67% 3%  aware  \$100k 24%
Don't know/No opinion  Q17. As you consider the ethics reform pa  Do you think it will really reduce corruption in state government  Do you think it will have no real effect on state government corruption  Don't know/No opinion  Q18. The budget also includes the creation  Support  Oppose  Don't know/No opinion  Q27. While the Governor, and the leaders enough time to review the provisions in the	3%   Ckage       Total   23%   4%       Total   24%   72%   44%       Total   24%   47%   10%	1%  Syracuse Area 21% 77% 1%  Syracuse Area 19% 72% 9%  n the Asse get and the Syracuse Area	5%  Capital Region 28% 67% 5% to consi Capital Region 25% 71% 4%  mbly and at the ma Capital Region	udget proce Re Rochester Area 23% 4% der a legisla Re Rochester Area 21% 76% 3% the Senate nner in whi Re Rochester Area	4% ss: gion Binghamton Area 32% 60% 8% tive pay raise gion Binghamton Area 29% 69% 2% released state th the budget gion Binghamton	Buffalo Area 19% 2% - current Buffalo Area 25% 72% 3% ements pi was nego	Hudson Valley 18% 29% Ly \$79,50 Hudson Valley 25% 72% 3% araising th otiated ar	Gen  M 23% 73% 4% 0 a yea Gen M 27% 66% 7% e e budgad enad Gen M	4%  der  F 23%  3%  3%  ar - so der  F 21%  78%  2%  tcted i der  F	2%  18-34  23%  77%  0%  methir  18-34  4%  5 fiscal s not ir  18-34	2% 35-54 20% 78% 2% ng thai Age 35-54 23% 4% responthe b Age	34% 3% 55+ 26% 68% 6% has n 55+ 27% 70% 3%	28% 2% Dem 22% 75% 3% oot hap Dem 28% 71% 2% ess anneerests	33% 4%  Party Rep 20% 4%  4%  Penete Party 5% d times of the Party Rep 15%	32% 2: 3% 3  Ind/Other L 30% 2: 68% 7. 3% 2  Isince 19: Ind/Other L 25% 2: 71% 6 4% 6: Iliness, as a people c Ind/Other L 28% 1: 60% 6:	Politib N  99. Do  Politib M  99. Do  Politib M  99. Do  Politib M  90. So  Politib M  90	tical Vi lod Cc 8%  9%  2%  by you si tical Vi lod Cc 6% 2% 3%  ass the b v York. tical Vi lod Cc 5%	11%  nserv  18%  rserv  vew  nserv  construction  nserv  nserv  nserv  nserv  nserv  nserv	Uniouves 20% 3% 3% or op Uniouves 25% 73% 2% sthey sthey uniouves	3% No 25% 3% 3% pose n HH No 23% 5% say t ove c n HH No 22% 65%	Urban 22% 2% 2mncreasi Urban 29% 4% 4w Urban Urban Urban Urban	Area Suburban 24% 4% Area Suburban 24% Area Suburban 24% 72% 4% ewill gain frorove of the Area Suburban	2%  Rural 23%  73%  3%  of state  Rural 22%  74%  3%  eway t  Rural	Childr Yes 17% 81% 2% Childr Yes 16% 6% Childr Yes Childr Yes Childr Yes Ves	en in HI No 27% 69% 4% 4% No 24% No 24% No 24% No	4%  Cath  24%  73%  3%  Cath  Lambda  Cath  Lambda  Cath  Lambda  Cath	Religi  Religi  Prot  23%  72%  Feligi  Prot  72%  Religi  Prot  72%  Religi  Prot  75%  75%  75%  Religi  Prot  19%  19%  19%	2%	3%  r <\$50K 25% 72% 3%  r <\$50K 19% 80% 1%  this year	2%	1%  \$100K 21% 76% 3%  \$

TWC0415 Crosstabs041315.xlsx 3 of 4

Nature of the Sampl	۵.								
Nature of the Sample Upstate New York Registered Voters									
Opstate New Tork Registere	u voters								
Party									
Democrat	35%								
Republican	31%								
Independent/Other	29%								
Region									
Syracuse Area	19%								
Capital Region	20%								
Rochester Area	18%								
Binghamton Area	11%								
Buffalo Area	19%								
Hudson Valley	13%								
Political View									
Liberal	21%								
Moderate	40%								
Conservative	33%								
Union Household									
Yes	28%								
No	71%								
Religion									
Catholic	35%								
Protestant	34%								
Other	28%								
Age									
18 to 34	21%								
35 to 54	37%								
55 and older	38%								
Children in Household									
Yes	33%								
No	65%								
Gender									
Male	47%								
Female	53%								
Area									
Urban	12%								
Suburban	43%								
Rural	43%								
Income									
Less than \$50,000	33%								
\$50,000 to \$100,000	34%								
\$100,000 or more	24%								
* Counties include: Albany, Allegany, Broome, C	Cattaraugus Cavuga								

\*Counties include: Albany, Allegany, Broome, Cattaraugus, Cayuga, Chautauqua, Chemung, Chenango, Clinton, Columbia, Cortland, Delaware, Dutchess, Erie, Essex, Franklin, Fulton, Genesee, Greene, Hamilton, Herkimer, Jefferson, Lewis, Livingston, Madison, Monroe, Montgomery, Niagara, Oneida, Onondaga, Ontario, Orange, Orleans, Oswego, Otsego, Rensselaer, St. Lawrence, Saratoga, Schenectady, Schoharie, Schuyler, Seneca, Steuben, Sullivan, Tioga, Tompkins, Ulster, Warren, Washington, Wayne, Wyoming, Yates

Syracuse Area: Cayuga, Herkimer, Jefferson, Lewis, Madison, Oneida, Onondaga, Oswego, St. Lawrence, Seneca

 $Capital\ Region:\ Albany,\ Clinton,\ Columbia,\ Essex,\ Franklin,\ Fulton,\ Greene,\ Montgomery,\ Rensselaer,\ Saratoga,\ Schenectady,\ Schoharie,\ Warren,\ Washington$ 

 $Rochester\ Area:\ Allegany,\ Genesee,\ Livingston,\ Monroe,\ Ontario,\ Orleans,\ Wayen,\ Wyoming,\ Yates$ 

 $Binghamton\ Area:\ Broome,\ Chemung,\ Chenango,\ Cortland,\ Delaware,\ Otsego,\ Schuyler,\ Steuben,\ Tioga,\ Tompkins$ 

Buffalo Area: Cattaraugus, Chautauqua, Erie, Niagara

Hudson Valley: Dutchess, Orange, Sullivan, Ulster

TWC0415 Crosstabs041315.xlsx 4 of 4