Q1. Is New York State on the right track,	or is it hea	ded in	the wro	ong dire	ction?																						
			Party	1	Gei	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	on HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Income	9
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Right track	47%	56%	25%	47%	48%	46%	63%	50%	25%	37%	50%	53%	46%	42%	43%	62%	60%	54%	41%	49%	46%	50%	42%	55%	47%	51%	45%
Wrong direction	43%	32%	66%	45%	44%	42%	24%	42%	65%	52%	40%	31%	49%	51%	47%	27%	27%	34%	51%	40%	46%	37%	50%	31%	40%	38%	47%
Don't know/No opinion	10%	12%	9%	7%	8%	12%	12%	8%	10%	10%	10%	16%	5%	7%	10%	12%	14%	12%	8%	11%	8%	13%	8%	14%	13%	11%	8%
I'm going to read a series of names of peo	onlo ond in			ublic lif	o and I'	d liko y			whatharw		a favo	rable c	ninion	oranıı	nfavora	hla oninian	of oach	norcon	or incti	tution	Inomo	[02.09	POTAT	EDI			
Q2. Andrew Cuomo	pie and in	stitutit	nis ili p		e anu i	u like y	00 10 1	en me v	whether yo	Ju nave	2 8 18 90		pinion		IIIdV0Id	ble opinion	UI each	person	or msu	tution	Thame	. [Q2-Q3	KUTAI	נטן			
			Party	,	Gei	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	zion			Income	2
				Ind/												Afr Amer			0-							\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	52%	62%	33%	48%	46%	56%	62%	55%	33%	39%	56%	66%	45%	42%	45%	74%	73%	56%	46%	56%	49%	57%	51%	54%	66%	44%	48%
Unfavorable	43%	35%	62%	45%	49%	39%	33%	41%	62%	56%	39%	29%	50%	54%	51%	24%	21%	35%	51%	41%	47%	39%	44%	40%	32%	50%	47%
Don't know/No opinion	5%	3%	5%	8%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%	1%	6%	9%	4%	3%	4%	4%	5%	6%	2%	6%	5%
Q3. New York State Assembly		r	Dout	-	C				View	l lm!-		1	Dealer			Ethnisit.		1	A		1	Della			1	Incorre	
		<u> </u>	Party	/ Ind/	Gei	nder	<u>Р</u>	olitical	view	UNIO	on HH		Region			Ethnicity Afr Amer			Age			Relig	sion			Income \$50K-	-
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	37%	39%	32%	34%	33%	40%	38%	35%	36%	32%	38%	45%	27%	35%	33%	57%	50%	48%	35%	30%	40%	33%	34%	35%	45%	38%	31%
Unfavorable	49%	48%	53%	49%	54%	44%	43%	52%	52%	56%	46%	43%	54%	51%	52%	32%	30%	31%	53%	56%	48%	54%	53%	46%	38%	50%	55%
Don't know/No opinion	15%	12%	15%	17%	13%	16%	19%	13%	12%	11%	16%	13%	19%	14%	15%	10%	20%	21%	11%	14%	12%	13%	13%	19%	16%	13%	14%
•																											
Q4. New York State Senate	-	1								1					r			1							1		
			Party		Gei	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	on HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	2
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino			55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other		\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	38%	41%	37%	29%	33%	42%	44%	35%	35%	28%	41%	42%	33%	37%	33%	55%	45%	46%	36%	33%	38%	35%	31%	44%	50%	37%	31%
Unfavorable	49%	47%	51%	55%	55%	45%	40%	54%	54%	57%	47%	45%	54%	51%	55%	31%	43%	36%	52%	56%	51%	54%	58%	41%	40%	48%	56%
Don't know/No opinion	13%	12%	11%	16%	12%	13%	16%	11%	11%	15%	12%	13%	13%	12%	12%	14%	12%	17%	12%	10%	11%	11%	11%	15%	10%	14%	13%
Q5. Eric Schneiderman				I	ļ																				ļ		
			Party	,	Gei	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	9
			· ·	Ind/									Ŭ			Afr Amer			Ŭ			Ì	Í			\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	33%	43%	21%	24%	37%	29%	46%	30%	23%	33%	32%	37%	25%	33%	33%	33%	26%	23%	31%	40%	25%	65%	33%	31%	35%	27%	33%
Unfavorable	21%	19%	28%	19%	21%	21%	13%	23%	30%	25%	20%	19%	24%	22%	22%	19%	24%	23%	24%	18%	26%	14%	22%	17%	20%	23%	23%
Don't know/No opinion	46%	38%	51%	57%	42%	50%	41%	47%	47%	41%	48%	44%	51%	45%	45%	48%	50%	54%	45%	43%	48%	21%	45%	52%	45%	49%	43%
Q6. Tom DiNapoli			Dout		6.				View	Unio		1	Decier			Ethnicity		1	1.00		1	Dali				Incom	
1			Party	Ind/	Ger	nder		olitical	view	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity Afr Amer			Age			Relig	sion			Income \$50K-	-
		1	1	inu/	1	1	I	1	1		1	1	1	1		-	Latino	10.24	25.54				1	1	. draw	\$100K	\$100K+
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black		18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<550K		
Favorable	Total	Dem 31%	Rep 24%	Other 30%	M	F 27%	Lib 28%	Mod 32%	Conserv 24%	Yes 37%	No 26%	NYC 27%	Subs	Upst 33%	White 30%	/Black 19%			35-54 27%	55+ 34%	Cath 29%	Jewish 42%	Prot 24%	Other 25%			31%
Favorable Unfavorable	29%	31%	24%	30%	31%	27%	28%	32%	24%	37%	26%	27%	26%	33%	30%	19%	24%	21%	27%	34%	29%	42%	24%	25%	27%	28%	31%
Favorable Unfavorable Don't know/No opinion				_																							31% 22% 47%

Q7. Chuck Schumer																											
			Party		Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion	-		Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	60%	73%	40%	56%	58%	62%	71%	66%	40%	64%	59%	64%	59%	57%	60%	72%	58%	53%	57%	67%	61%	73%	59%	57%	60%	55%	66%
Unfavorable	29%	18%	50%	32%	31%	28%	18%	26%	50%	28%	30%	27%	34%	29%	30%	20%	28%	28%	33%	28%	32%	22%	33%	25%	27%	32%	27%
Don't know/No opinion	10%	8%	10%	13%	11%	10%	12%	9%	10%	7%	11%	9%	6%	15%	10%	8%	15%	18%	10%	6%	7%	5%	8%	18%	13%	13%	7%
Q8. Kirsten Gillibrand																											
			Party		Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion	-		Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	55%	64%	37%	51%	48%	60%	70%	55%	35%	58%	53%	48%	54%	62%	58%	48%	44%	39%	56%	61%	53%	63%	53%	56%	49%	55%	59%
Unfavorable	20%	12%	32%	28%	24%	17%	9%	21%	34%	20%	21%	21%	24%	17%	21%	17%	20%	18%	24%	19%	25%	19%	22%	14%	18%	20%	23%
Don't know/No opinion	25%	23%	32%	22%	27%	23%	21%	24%	31%	23%	26%	31%	22%	21%	21%	35%	35%	42%	20%	20%	22%	17%	26%	30%	32%	25%	18%
Q9. Bill de Blasio																											
			Party	,	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black		18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	-
Favorable	38%	49%	21%	36%	38%	39%	61%	33%	23%	32%	41%	51%	33%	29%	31%	63%	53%	45%	34%	37%	31%	38%	34%	53%	50%	35%	33%
Unfavorable	46%	38%	61%	48%	46%	46%	24%	53%	62%	53%	45%	45%	59%	38%	51%	32%	41%	37%	53%	47%	57%	57%	47%	26%	34%	44%	58%
Don't know/No opinion	15%	14%	18%	16%	16%	15%	16%	14%	15%	15%	15%	4%	8%	33%	18%	5%	5%	18%	12%	17%	12%	5%	19%	20%	16%	21%	9%
Q10. If Chuck Schumer runs for re-elect	ion as United	d State	s Senat	or in 20:	16, wou	uld you	vote to	o re-ele	ect him or v	vould y	ou pret	fer som	neone e	lse?													
			Party	1	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Re-elect Schumer	53%	67%	34%	48%	51%	55%	68%	54%	37%	57%	52%	59%	51%	48%	52%	67%	38%	50%	49%	59%	53%	73%	47%	52%	51%	54%	55%
Prefer someone else	37%	26%	59%	38%	39%	35%	23%	35%	58%	35%	38%	31%	37%	43%	40%	29%	45%	36%	42%	34%	37%	22%	45%	35%	38%	38%	36%
Don't know/No opinion	10%	7%	7%	13%	10%	10%	9%	11%	6%	8%	10%	10%	11%	9%	9%	4%	17%	13%	8%	8%	9%	4%	8%	12%	11%	8%	9%
Q11. How would you rate the job that A	Andrew Cuor	no is d	oing as	Govern	or? Wo	uld you	ı rate i	t excell	ent, good,	fair, or	poor?																
			Party	,	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Excellent	8%	10%	6%	5%	8%	8%	12%	5%	6%	3%	9%	10%	4%	9%	6%	12%	13%	9%	5%	10%	6%	9%	9%	9%	12%	5%	6%
Good	34%	39%	22%	32%	26%	40%	38%	37%	21%	28%	35%	40%	34%	27%	31%	40%	45%	27%	34%	36%	39%	39%	31%	27%	36%	32%	33%
Fair	34%	33%	36%	37%	38%	31%	36%	33%	37%	36%	35%	36%	36%	32%	35%	38%	29%	46%	30%	33%	31%	35%	32%	41%	35%	36%	34%
Poor	24%	18%	36%	25%	27%	21%	14%	24%	35%	32%	21%	14%	25%	33%	27%	10%	14%	18%	30%	21%	25%	16%	28%	23%	16%	27%	27%
Don't know/No opinion	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Q12. Based on what you've seen of him	as Governo	r, woul	d you (describe	Andrev	w Cuon	no as a	liberal,	, a modera	te, or a	conser	vative	?														
			Party	,	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Liberal	39%	30%	61%	37%	47%	34%	33%	32%	62%	41%	39%	34%	41%	45%	43%	26%	25%	44%	36%	42%	43%	30%	50%	29%	32%	47%	38%
Moderate	42%	49%	27%	42%	38%	45%	49%	49%	21%	41%	41%	47%	41%	37%	43%	46%	43%	44%	42%	42%	43 <i>%</i>	60%	32%	48%	41%	35%	48%
Conservative	42%	49% 15%	27% 8%	42%	38% 10%	45%	49% 13%	49%	12%	43% 9%	41%	47%	42% 9%	37%	41%	21%	26%	42% 8%	42%	41%	39% 11%	9%	32% 10%	48%	41%	35% 9%	48%
		10/01	0/0	1 /0	10/0	13/0	12/0	1 11/0	12/0	3/0	12/0	14/0	3/0	11/0	10/0	21/0	20/0	0/0	14/0	10/0	11/0	3/0	10/0	10/01	1//0	3/0	11/0
Don't know/No opinion	7%	5%	5%	13%	6%	8%	5%	8%	4%	7%	7%	6%	9%	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	8%	7%	7%	2%	7%	8%	10%	8%	4%

Q13. As Andrew Cuomo begins his sixth yea	r as Gov	ernor,	having	just deli	vered h	nis ann	ual stat	te of th	e state ad	dress to	kick o	ff the l	egislativ	ve sessi	ion, whi	ch of the fo	llowing i	ssues d	o you t	hink sh	ould b	e his TOP	2016 p	oriority:	[CHOICI	ES ROTA	ATED]
			Party		Ger	ıder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K-
Jobs	20%	24%	19%	16%	26%	16%	19%	22%	19%	16%	22%	23%	13%	22%	19%	23%	27%	19%	24%	18%	19%	17%	26%	18%	23%	21%	19%
Education	22%	25%	16%	23%	17%	27%	30%	21%	16%	22%	23%	24%	24%	20%	20%	31%	30%	36%	20%	17%	21%	18%	20%	30%	25%	23%	20%
Criminal justice	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	8%	1%	7%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	4%	8%	2%	2%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3%	8%	4%	3%	6%
Taxes	18%	11%	31%	18%	18%	18%	16%	14%	26%	20%	17%	14%	19%	20%	19%	10%	13%	19%	16%	19%	19%	13%	23%	13%	13%	22%	18%
State government ethics reform	14%	14%	11%	15%	17%	11%	12%	16%	14%	16%	13%	11%	20%	12%	16%	7%	6%	10%	11%	19%	16%	17%	12%	11%	11%	12%	17%
Health care	9%	8%	11%	8%	7%	10%	4%	11%	9%	5%	10%	10%	9%	8%	8%	14%	15%	8%	10%	7%	9%	11%	7%	9%	14%	7%	6%
Infrastucture	11%	11%	6%	13%	10%	12%	11%	12%	8%	14%	9%	10%	9%	13%	13%	6%	6%	5%	11%	14%	11%	15%	7%	11%	9%	11%	12%
Vol: Something else	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Don't know/No opinion	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Q14. Of the remaining, what should be the	Governo	or's nex	t top 20) 16 prio	rity: [C	HOICES	ROTA	TFD1					1			1											1
,			Party		Ger			olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
				Ind/			-									Afr Amer										\$50K-	Ī
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K-
Jobs	19%	19%	20%	18%	15%	22%	18%	17%	23%	17%	19%	22%	19%	16%	16%	31%	21%	23%	19%	17%	19%	18%	16%	22%	20%	20%	17%
Education	22%	25%	21%	19%	22%	22%	23%	23%	17%	25%	21%	23%	19%	23%	21%	23%	30%	26%	22%	20%	25%	14%	23%	18%	19%	30%	17%
Criminal justice	7%	8%	5%	6%	8%	6%	8%	8%	6%	8%	7%	10%	8%	4%	4%	17%	16%	14%	3%	6%	8%	8%	5%	7%	9%	8%	5%
Taxes	13%	8%	16%	18%	15%	12%	8%	15%	17%	16%	12%	7%	18%	18%	15%	4%	11%	9%	17%	12%	14%	2%	13%	16%	12%	9%	17%
State government ethics reform	11%	12%	13%	9%	12%	11%	11%	11%	14%	12%	12%	8%	12%	14%	14%	6%	5%	7%	13%	14%	10%	15%	17%	9%	9%	13%	14%
Health care	12%	11%	13%	12%	12%	12%	10%	12%	11%	10%	12%	16%	9%	9%	12%	9%	6%	11%	10%	13%	11%	16%	13%	8%	19%	10%	8%
Infrastucture	13%	13%	11%	15%	15%	11%	19%	12%	10%	9%	15%	11%	14%	14%	15%	6%	8%	8%	13%	16%	11%	25%	11%	15%	7%	11%	19%
Vol: Something else	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	4%	3%	0%	2%
Don't know/No opinion	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	1/0	070	170	170	070	170	070	1/0	170	070	1/0	1/0	070	170	170	070	0/0	070	0/0	170	170	170	170	0/0	170	0/0	070
Q15. How serious a problem would you say	corrupt	ion is in	state	overnn	ent in	Δlhanv	? Wou	ld vou s	sav it's ver	v serio	us som	ewhat	serious	not v	erv serio	us or not at	all serio	?אוור								I	
Question serious a problem would you su	contapt		Party	Sovernin	Ger			olitical		<i>(</i>	n HH		Region	,		Ethnicity	. un serie		Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
			Tarty	Ind/	Ger				VICW	Unio	1		Region			Afr Amer			Age -			I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I				\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Very serious	53%	49%	58%	58%	58%	48%	46%	56%	58%	58%	52%	47%	53%	59%	55%	50%	45%	39%	52%	63%	52%	57%	61%	49%	52%	56%	53%
Somewhat serious	36%	38%	33%	29%	32%	39%	40%	32%	31%	35%	35%	38%	39%	31%	37%	38%	40%	39%	39%	30%	37%	28%	31%	37%	35%	31%	39%
Not very serious	7%	7%	5%	8%	52 <i>%</i>	39% 7%	44 <i>%</i>	52 <i>%</i>	9%	5%	8%	38% 9%	3%	7%	6%	5%	10%	14%	59 <i>%</i>	4%	6%	14%	4%	7%	11%	7%	4%
Not at all serious	1%	1%	5% 1%	8% 0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	9% 1%	5% 0%	8% 1%	9% 1%	3% 1%	1%	1%	4%	0%	2%	0%	4%	0%	0%	4% 2%	1%	11%	2%	4%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	4%	2%	5%	2%	5%	2%	5%	2%	2%	4%	5%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	2 <i>%</i> 7%	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	6%	1%	4%	4%
	+/0	70	2/0	570	2/0	570	2/0	370	2/0	2/0	7/0	570	570	370	2/0		370	770	J/0	2/0	7/0	1/0	570	070	1/0	7/0	+70
Q16. How serious a problem would you say	Corrupt	ion is a	monge	tate legi	islators	from		1 22 W/0		v it's v	arv sori		mowha	t serie	us not v	l	or not a	t all see	ious?		I	L		1			1
Q10. How serious a problem would you say	corrupt		Party	late leg	1	ider (olitical		í –	n HH	003, 30	Region			Ethnicity	or not a	t all sei	Age			Relig	ion			Incom	•
			Faity	Ind/	Gei	luei	F		VIEW	Unio			Region			Afr Amer			Age			Nene				\$50K-	
	Total	Dom	Pon	Other	N4	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Unct	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	lowich	Drot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K-
Vonusorious	26%	Dem 23%	Rep 26%	28%	M 28%	۲ 23%	16%	32%	25%	26%	26%	29%	27%	Upst 21%	22%	уваск 32%	31%	25%	35-54 30%	22%	26%	Jewish 24%	Prot 28%		28%	24%	27%
Very serious	39%	38%	42%	38%	28% 38%	23% 39%	43%	32%	45%	40%	38%	29% 38%	35%	41%	41%	44%	31%	25% 34%	30% 41%	38%	38%	37%	28% 38%	25% 41%	28% 37%	24% 41%	39%
Somowhat carious	1770	30%	4Z70	30%	30%	39%												34% 22%									21%
Somewhat serious		220/	220/	1 70/	200/	210/	220/	210/	1 70/	220/	200/	1 0 0/	220/	210/	220/												
Not very serious	20%	22%	22%	17%	20%	21%	23%	21%	17%	22%	20%	18%	23%	21%	22%	6%	22%	-	18%	22%	22%	23%	23%	15%	20%	19%	
		22% 12% 6%	22% 8% 2%	17% 11% 6%	20% 11% 3%	21% 10% 7%	23% 10% 7%	21% 10% 5%	17% 11% 2%	22% 6% 6%	20% 12% 4%	18% 10% 5%	23% 10% 5%	21% 11% 5%	22% 10% 5%	6% 12% 5%	22% 13% 4%	22% 16% 3%	18% 6% 4%	22% 11% 6%	22% 11% 3%	23% 12% 4%	23% 7% 5%	15% 10% 9%	20% 11% 3%	19% 12% 4%	6% 7%

Q17. Currently, state legislators are part tir [CHOICES ROTATED]	ne and c	an hav	e other	jobs. Sc	me hav	ve sugg	ested t	hat on	e way to re	educe c	orrupti	on in st	tate gov	vernme	ent is to	make the jo	b of sta	te legis	lator fu	ll time	and ba	n outside	emplo	oyment.	Do you	support	t:
			Party	,	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity		[Age		1	Reli	gion			Incom	e
	Total	Dem	ĺ	Ind/ Other	м	F	Lib	Mod		Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34		55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K-	\$100K+
Keeping the current system of part time	34%	32%	35%	38%	37%	31%	29%	37%	32%	38%	32%	27%	41%	36%	35%	31%	22%	33%	37%	32%	32%	30%	34%	36%	32%	37%	33%
legislators Making legislators full time with a ban on	60%	62%	59%	55%	58%	62%	65%	58%	60%	56%	62%	68%	53%	57%	58%	66%	74%	62%	58%	61%	60%	65%	59%	60%	64%	58%	60%
outside employment Don't know/No opinion	6%	5%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	5%	6%	7%	6%	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	7%	4%	6%	4%	5%	6%	7%
	076	570	076	7 70	570	1 /0	076	370	0/0	076	0%	570	076	170	076	570	470	570	076	1 /0	1 /0	470	076	470	570	0%	1 /0
Q18. If the State Legislature were to becom	e full tir	ne with	outsid	le emplo	yment	banne	d, wou	ld you :	support or	oppose	e giving	state l	egislato	ors a pa	ay raise	from their c	urrent b	ase sal	ary of \$	79,500	?						
			Party		Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity	1		Age	1		Reli	gion	1		Incom	e
	Total	Dem	Bon	Ind/ Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Concorre	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latina	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+
Support	42%	45%	Rep 42%	37%	48%	г 37%	49%	41%	Conserv 38%	45%	42%	50%	41%	34%	45%	30%	31%	39%	41%	46%	38%	61%	36%	50%	35%	35%	53%
Oppose	55%	53%	55%	61%	50%	60%	48%	58%	59%	52%	56%	48%	57%	62%	52%	69%	69%	61%	56%	51%	60%	37%	61%	48%	63%	63%	45%
Don't know/No opinion	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Q19. Will the recent corruption scandals m	ake you	more li			<u> </u>							t your		-	ors next		the sca	ndals h		effect o	on your			egislato	rs next y		
	-		Party	/ Ind/	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	view	Unic	n HH		Region			Ethnicity Afr Amer			Age			Reli	gion			Incom \$50K-	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
More likely	8%	8%	9%	6%	6%	9%	5%	9%	9%	7%	8%	8%	9%	7%	7%	10%	13%	5%	8%	9%	12%	3%	5%	6%	10%	8%	6%
Less likely	49%	44%	55%	55%	46%	51%	42%	50%	56%	52%	48%	46%	52%	49%	49%	43%	53%	42%	51%	51%	52%	37%	53%	45%	48%	51%	50%
No effect	40%	45%	32%	35%	44%	36%	49%	38%	30%	40%	40%	43%	34%	40%	40%	43%	33%	48%	38%	36%	33%	55%	37%	45%	38%	38%	41%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%
Q20. Recently, Governor Cuomo delivered	nis State	of the	State a	ddross t	o kick (off the	new le	vislativ	e session i	n Alban	W How	much	have v		l or hear	d about his	sneechi	A grea	t deal	some	not ver	y much /	or noth	ing at a	12		
Q20. Recently, Governor Cuomo denvered	iis state		Party			nder		olitical		1	n HH	much	Region			Ethnicity	speecin	Agiea	Age	some,		Relig		ing at a		Incom	e
			T	Ind/												Afr Amer							Í			\$50K-	
	Total		<u> </u>	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black		18-34			Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	· ·	\$100K	\$100K+
A great deal	8%	8%	5%	11%	11%	6%	7%	10%	8%	13%	7%	8%	9%	8%	8%	9%	6%	7%	7%	11%	10%	11%	5%	7%	7%	5%	11%
Some	35%	35%	36%	34%	35%	34%	30%	39%	33%	38%	34%	29%	38%	38%	37%	31%	25%	20%	38%	39%	32%	36%	43%	32%	35%	33%	37%
Not very much	27%	31%	26%	22%	26%	29%	33%	26%	23%	20%	29%	31%	26%	24%	26%	34%	27%	30%	24%	29%	25%	23%	29%	31%	30%	26%	27%
Nothing at all Don't know/No opinion	29% 1%	25% 1%	33% 0%	32% 1%	28% 0%	30% 1%	30% 1%	25% 0%	36% 1%	29% 0%	29% 1%	31% 0%	26% 1%	29% 0%	29% 0%	26% 0%	40% 2%	43% 0%	30% 1%	21% 1%	32% 1%	31% 0%	23% 1%	30% 0%	28% 0%	35% 0%	25% 1%
				,			_,_												-,-		-/-						-/-
During his State of the State speech, in whi	ch Gove	rnor Cu	omo al	so revea	led his	propo	sed bud	lget fo	r the next f	fiscal ye	ear, Cuc	omo pr	oposed	a num	ber of in	nitiatives. l´r	m going	to men	tion se	veral of	f them	and I´d li	ke you	to tell n	ne whet	her you	support
or oppose each proposal. [Q21-Q29 ROTAT	-																										
Q21. Gradually increase the minimum wag	e for all	worker					1								1										r		
	-		Party	/ Ind/	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity Afr Amer			Age			Reli	gion			Incom \$50K-	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K		\$100K+
Support	65%	80%	36%	62%	59%	70%	85%	62%	45%	66%	64%	80%	60%	52%	59%	92%	82%	67%	61%	66%	62%	68%	63%	71%	70%	63%	64%
Oppose	33%	18%	62%	35%	38%	29%	14%	35%	53%	32%	34%	18%	37%	46%	38%	8%	17%	30%	36%	32%	36%	29%	36%	27%	29%	36%	34%
Don't know/No opinion	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%

		Tourne		otection	· · · · ·				ever for th			1	<u>.</u>												1		
			Party		Gen	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	on HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	lon	1		Incom	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K
Support	63%	75%	42%	59%	63%	63%	83%	63%	40%	63%	64%	69%	58%	61%	62%	70%	79%	72%	62%	59%	61%	58%	60%	74%	63%	62%	66%
Oppose	32%	21%	55%	36%	33%	32%	13%	33%	57%	30%	33%	27%	39%	33%	34%	28%	15%	25%	35%	36%	36%	39%	36%	21%	34%	34%	30%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	7%	3%	4%	4%	6%	5%	2%	5%	3%	4%	5%	3%	2%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%
· · ·																											
Q23. Renovate the Penn Station complex in	New Yo	rk City	, at a co	ost of 3	oillion d	lollars																					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Party		Gen	der	Р	olitical	View	Unio	on HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Support	40%	49%	28%	35%	44%	37%	45%	44%	30%	41%	40%	49%	48%	25%	39%	45%	43%	36%	43%	39%	39%	59%	31%	45%	38%	35%	47%
Oppose	53%	45%	66%	60%	49%	57%	45%	52%	65%	51%	54%	46%	47%	66%	53%	55%	57%	59%	53%	53%	55%	33%	63%	50%	60%	58%	46%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	9%	4%	5%	8%	6%	5%	5%	9%	7%	0%	0%	6%	5%	8%	6%	8%	6%	5%	2%	7%	6%
•																											
Q24. Create a 200 million dollar fund that U	pstate a	irports	can co	mpete f	or to he	elp revi	talize I	Jpstate	airports						·			·									
			Party		Gen			olitical		Unio	on HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Incom	е
				Ind/												Afr Amer			Ŭ							\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Support	55%	59%	58%	48%	59%	52%	58%	56%	53%	57%	55%	55%	49%	59%	53%	63%	55%	62%	54%	54%	54%	58%	58%	55%	60%	57%	51%
Oppose	38%	32%	37%	47%	36%	39%	31%	39%	43%	35%	39%	37%	43%	34%	39%	34%	40%	33%	40%	38%	40%	31%	38%	37%	35%	36%	42%
Don't know/No opinion	7%	9%	5%	5%	5%	9%	11%	5%	5%	9%	6%	8%	7%	6%	8%	3%	5%	6%	6%	8%	6%	11%	4%	8%	5%	7%	8%
	.,,,	570	570	570	370	370	11/0	575	0/10	570	070	0/0		0/0	0/0	0/0	570	0/0	070	0,0	0,0	11/0	.,.	0,0	575	.,,,	0/0
Q25. Limit state legislators' outside income	to 15 pe	ercent	of their	salary.	which w	vould c	urrent	v allow	about \$12	2.000 ir	n outsid	e incor	ne														
	10 10 pt		Party		Gen			olitical	-		n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
				Ind/			-									Afr Amer											Ĩ
																										550K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep		м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	-	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K
Support	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	M		Lib	Mod	Conserv 52%	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34		55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Support Oppose	59%	65%	57%	Other 55%	61%	58%	70%	57%	52%	60%	60%	59%	60%	59%	61%	/Black 55%	61%	68%	60%	55%	60%	67%	55%	60%	58%	\$100K 67%	54%
Oppose	59% 35%	65% 30%	57% 37%	Other 55% 37%	61% 34%	58% 36%	70% 23%	57% 38%	52% 42%	60% 35%	60% 34%	59% 36%	60% 35%	59% 33%	61% 33%	/Black 55% 40%	61% 39%	68% 28%	60% 35%	55% 38%	60% 36%	67% 26%	55% 39%	60% 33%	58% 36%	\$100K 67% 26%	54% 41%
	59%	65%	57%	Other 55%	61%	58%	70%	57%	52%	60%	60%	59%	60%	59%	61%	/Black 55%	61%	68%	60%	55%	60%	67%	55%	60%	58%	\$100K 67%	54%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion	59% 35% 6%	65% 30% 5%	57% 37% 6%	Other 55% 37% 8%	61% 34% 6%	58% 36% 6%	70% 23% 7%	57% 38% 5%	52% 42% 5%	60% 35% 5%	60% 34% 6%	59% 36% 5%	60% 35% 5%	59% 33% 8%	61% 33% 6%	/Black 55% 40% 5%	61% 39%	68% 28%	60% 35%	55% 38%	60% 36%	67% 26%	55% 39%	60% 33%	58% 36%	\$100K 67% 26%	54% 41%
Oppose	59% 35% 6%	65% 30% 5%	57% 37% 6% oration	Other 55% 37% 8% s or ind	61% 34% 6% viduals	58% 36% 6% to don	70% 23% 7% ate alr	57% 38% 5% nost un	52% 42% 5% limited an	60% 35% 5%	60% 34% 6% of mon	59% 36% 5%	60% 35% 5% andida	59% 33% 8% tes in N	61% 33% 6%	/Black 55% 40% 5%	61% 39%	68% 28%	60% 35% 5%	55% 38%	60% 36%	67% 26% 6%	55% 39% 6%	60% 33%	58% 36%	\$100K 67% 26% 6%	54% 41% 4%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion	59% 35% 6%	65% 30% 5%	57% 37% 6%	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi	61% 34% 6%	58% 36% 6% to don	70% 23% 7% ate alr	57% 38% 5%	52% 42% 5% limited an	60% 35% 5%	60% 34% 6%	59% 36% 5%	60% 35% 5%	59% 33% 8% tes in N	61% 33% 6%	/Black 55% 40% 5% 5% k Ethnicity	61% 39%	68% 28%	60% 35%	55% 38%	60% 36%	67% 26%	55% 39% 6%	60% 33%	58% 36%	\$100K 67% 26% 6%	54% 41% 4%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion	59% 35% 6% at allow	65% 30% 5% /s corp	57% 37% 6% oration Party	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen	58% 36% 6% to don	70% 23% 7% ate alr P	57% 38% 5% nost un olitical	52% 42% 5% limited an View	60% 35% 5% nounts Unio	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH	59% 36% 5% ey to c	60% 35% 5% andida Region	59% 33% 8% tes in N	61% 33% 6% lew Yor	/Black 55% 40% 5% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer	61% 39% 0%	68% 28% 4%	60% 35% 5% Age	55% 38% 7%	60% 36% 4%	67% 26% 6% Relig	55% 39% 6% gion	60% 33% 7%	58% 36% 6%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% Income \$50K-	54% 41% 4%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th	59% 35% 6% at allow Total	65% 30% 5% //s corp	57% 37% 6% oration Party Rep	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen	58% 36% 6% to don der	70% 23% 7% aate alr P Lib	57% 38% 5% nost un olitical Mod	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv	60% 35% 5% nounts Unic Yes	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH No	59% 36% 5% ey to c	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs	59% 33% 8% tes in N	61% 33% 6% lew Yorl	/Black 55% 40% 5% k Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	61% 39% 0% Latino	68% 28% 4% 18-34	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54	55% 38% 7% 55+	60% 36% 4% Cath	67% 26% 6% Relig	55% 39% 6% sion	60% 33% 7% Other	58% 36% 6% <\$50K	\$100K 67% 26% 6% 5% \$50K- \$100K	54% 41% 4% e \$100K
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62%	65% 30% 5% /s corp Dem 66%	57% 37% 6% oration Party Rep 61%	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60%	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M 63%	58% 36% 6% to don ider F 62%	70% 23% 7% ate alr P Lib	57% 38% 5% nost un olitical Mod 65%	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55%	60% 35% 5% Unio Yes 67%	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH No 61%	59% 36% 5% ey to c NYC 60%	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61%	59% 33% 8% tes in N Upst 66%	61% 33% 6% lew Yorl White 68%	/Black 55% 40% 5% K Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43%	61% 39% 0% Latino 66%	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64%	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62%	60% 36% 4% Cath 65%	67% 26% 6% Relig Jewish 68%	55% 39% 6% jion Prot 54%	60% 33% 7% Other 64%	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% 	54% 41% 4% e \$100K 72%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62% 35%	65% 30% 5% //////////////////////////////////	57% 37% 6% Oration Party 61% 38%	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60% 37%	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M 63% 34%	58% 36% 6% to don der F 62% 36%	70% 23% 7% ate alr P Lib 68% 31%	57% 38% 5% nost un olitical Mod 65% 33%	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55% 43%	60% 35% 5% Ounts Unic Yes 67% 32%	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH No 61% 36%	59% 36% 5% ey to c NYC 60% 37%	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61% 37%	59% 33% 8% tes in N Upst 66% 32%	61% 33% 6% lew Yorl White 68% 30%	/Black 55% 40% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43% 54%	61% 39% 0% Latino 66% 34%	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64% 35%	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54 63% 35%	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62% 36%	60% 36% 4% Cath 65% 33%	67% 26% 6% Relig Jewish 68% 31%	55% 39% 6% jion Prot 54% 44%	60% 33% 7% Other 64% 33%	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48% 49%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% 	e \$100K 26%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62%	65% 30% 5% /s corp Dem 66%	57% 37% 6% oration Party Rep 61%	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60%	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M 63%	58% 36% 6% to don ider F 62%	70% 23% 7% ate alr P Lib	57% 38% 5% nost un olitical Mod 65%	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55%	60% 35% 5% Unio Yes 67%	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH No 61%	59% 36% 5% ey to c NYC 60%	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61%	59% 33% 8% tes in N Upst 66%	61% 33% 6% lew Yorl White 68%	/Black 55% 40% 5% K Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43%	61% 39% 0% Latino 66%	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64%	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62%	60% 36% 4% Cath 65%	67% 26% 6% Relig Jewish 68%	55% 39% 6% jion Prot 54%	60% 33% 7% Other 64%	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% 	54% 41% 4% e \$100K 72%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62% 35% 2%	65% 30% 5% // // // // // // // // // // // // //	57% 37% 6% Oration Party Rep 61% 38% 1%	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60% 37% 4%	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M 63% 34% 3%	58% 36% 6% to don der F 62% 36% 2%	70% 23% 7% ate alr P Lib 68% 31% 1%	57% 38% 5% nost un olitical Mod 65% 33% 2%	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55% 43% 2%	60% 35% 5% Unic Yes 67% 32% 1%	60% 34% 6% of mon 0n HH No 61% 36% 2%	59% 36% 5% ey to c NYC 60% 37% 3%	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61% 37% 2%	59% 33% 8% tes in N Upst 66% 32% 2%	61% 33% 6% lew Yorl 68% 30% 2%	/Black 55% 40% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43% 54%	61% 39% 0% Latino 66% 34%	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64% 35%	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54 63% 35%	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62% 36%	60% 36% 4% Cath 65% 33%	67% 26% 6% Relig Jewish 68% 31%	55% 39% 6% jion Prot 54% 44%	60% 33% 7% Other 64% 33%	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48% 49%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% 	e \$100K 26%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62% 35% 2%	65% 30% 5% // // // // // // // // // // // // //	57% 37% 6% Party Party 61% 38% 1% ed of c	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60% 37% 4% rimes ref	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen 63% 34% 3% lated to	58% 36% 6% to don der F 62% 36% 2%	70% 23% 7% ate alr P Lib 68% 31% 1%	57% 38% 5% nost un olitical 65% 33% 2% service	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55% 43% 2% egardless	60% 35% 5% Unic Yes 67% 32% 1%	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH No 61% 36% 2% en that	59% 36% 5% ey to c NYC 60% 37% 3%	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61% 37% 2% was fi	59% 33% 8% tes in N 66% 32% 2% st elect	61% 33% 6% lew Yorl 68% 30% 2%	/Black 55% 40% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43% 54% 3%	61% 39% 0% Latino 66% 34%	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64% 35%	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54 63% 35% 3%	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62% 36%	60% 36% 4% Cath 65% 33%	67% 26% 6% Relig Jewish 68% 31% 1%	55% 39% 6% ion Prot 54% 44% 2%	60% 33% 7% Other 64% 33%	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48% 49%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% % 100K 65% 34% 1%	e \$100K 26% 2%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62% 35% 2%	65% 30% 5% // // // // // // // // // // // // //	57% 37% 6% Oration Party Rep 61% 38% 1%	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60% 37% 4% rimes re	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M 63% 34% 3%	58% 36% 6% to don der F 62% 36% 2%	70% 23% 7% ate alr P Lib 68% 31% 1%	57% 38% 5% nost un olitical Mod 65% 33% 2%	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55% 43% 2% egardless	60% 35% 5% Unic Yes 67% 32% 1%	60% 34% 6% of mon 0n HH No 61% 36% 2%	59% 36% 5% ey to c NYC 60% 37% 3%	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61% 37% 2%	59% 33% 8% tes in N 66% 32% 2% st elect	61% 33% 6% lew Yorl 68% 30% 2%	/Black 55% 40% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43% 54% 3% Ethnicity	61% 39% 0% Latino 66% 34%	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64% 35%	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54 63% 35%	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62% 36%	60% 36% 4% Cath 65% 33%	67% 26% 6% Relig Jewish 68% 31%	55% 39% 6% ion Prot 54% 44% 2%	60% 33% 7% Other 64% 33%	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48% 49%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% \$0K- \$100K 65% 34% 1%	e \$100K 26% 2%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62% 35% 2% slators of	65% 30% 5% vs corp 66% 32% 2%	57% 37% 6% Party Rep 61% 38% 1% ed of cc Party	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60% 37% 4% Ind/	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M 63% 34% 3% lated to Gen	58% 36% 6% to don der F 62% 36% 2% 2%	70% 23% 7% aate alr P Lib 68% 31% 1% Public	57% 38% 5% olitical Mod 65% 33% 2% service olitical	52% 42% 5% limited an View 55% 43% 2% regardless View	60% 35% 5% Unic 47% 32% 1% 0f who Unic	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH No 61% 36% 2% en that on HH	59% 36% 5% ey to c 60% 37% 3% official	60% 35% 5% andida Region 61% 37% 2% was fin Region	59% 33% 8% tes in N Upst 66% 32% 2% 2%	61% 33% 6% lew Yorl White 68% 30% 2% ted	/Black 55% 40% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43% 54% 3% Ethnicity Afr Amer	61% 39% 0% Latino 66% 34% 0%	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64% 35% 1%	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54 63% 35% 3% 3% Age	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62% 36% 2%	60% 36% 4% Cath 65% 33% 2%	67% 26% 6% Jewish 68% 31% 1% Relig	55% 39% 6% jion 9rot 54% 44% 2%	60% 33% 7% Other 64% 33% 3%	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48% 49% 3%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% \$50K- \$100K 65% 34% 1% Income \$50K-	e \$100K 26% 2% e
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q27. Strip pension payments from state legi	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62% 35% 2% slators of Total	65% 30% 5% vs corp 66% 32% 2% Convict	57% 37% 6% Party Rep 61% 38% 1% ed of cr Party Rep	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60% 37% 4% rimes re Ind/ Other	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M ated to Gen M	58% 36% 6% to don der F 62% 36% 2% 2% 0 their der	70% 23% 7% ate alr P Lib 68% 31% 1% Public : P Lib	57% 38% 5% olitical 65% 33% 2% service olitical Mod	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55% 43% 2% regardless View Conserv	60% 35% 5% Unic Yes 67% 32% 1% 0f whe Unic Yes	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH No 61% 36% 2% 2% en that on HH No	59% 36% 5% ey to c 60% 37% 3% official	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61% 37% 2% was fi Region Subs	59% 33% 8% tes in N Upst 66% 32% 2% st elect	61% 33% 6% White 68% 30% 2% ted	/Black 55% 40% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43% 54% 3% 54% 3% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	61% 39% 0% Latino 66% 34% 0% Latino	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64% 35% 1% 18-34	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54 63% 35% 3% Age 35-54	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62% 36% 2% 55+	60% 36% 4% Cath 65% 33% 2% Cath	67% 26% 6% Jewish 68% 31% 1% Relig Relig	55% 39% 6% jion 9rot 2% gion Prot	60% 33% 7% Other 64% 33% 3% Other	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48% 49% 3% <\$50K	\$100K 67% 26% 6% % % \$50K- \$100K \$50K- \$100K	e \$100K 26% 2% \$100K
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q27. Strip pension payments from state legi Support	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62% 35% 2% slators of 54%	65% 30% 5% 7s corp 66% 32% 2% 2% Convict	57% 37% 6% Party 61% 38% 1% ed of ci Party Rep 87%	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60% 37% 4% ind/ 0ther reference Ind/ 0ther reference 86%	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M 63% 34% 3% lated to Gen M 83%	58% 36% 6% to don der F 62% 36% 2% 2% 2% 0 their der F 85%	70% 23% 7% Ante alr P Lib 68% 31% 1% P Ublic P Lib 84%	57% 38% 5% olitical 65% 33% 2% service olitical Mod 85%	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55% 43% 2% regardless View Conserv 84%	60% 35% 5% Unic Yes 67% 32% 1% 0f whe Unic Yes 87%	60% 34% 6% of mon m HH No 61% 36% 2% 2% 2% en that on HH No 83%	59% 36% 5% ey to c 60% 37% 3% official NYC 78%	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61% 37% 2% Was fii Region Subs 87%	59% 33% 8% tes in N Upst 66% 32% 2% st elect Upst 88%	61% 33% 6% White 68% 30% 2% ted	/Black 55% 40% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43% 54% 3% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 76%	61% 39% 0% Latino 66% 34% 0% Latino 83%	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64% 35% 1% 18-34 85%	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54 63% 35% 3% Age 35-54 87%	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62% 36% 2% 55+ 80%	60% 36% 4% Cath 65% 33% 2% Cath 86%	67% 26% 6% Jewish 68% 31% 1% Relig Relig	55% 39% 6% gion Prot 54% 44% 2% gion Prot 77%	60% 33% 7% Other 64% 33% 3% Other 88%	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48% 49% 3% 3% <\$50K 74%	\$100K 67% 26% 6% 	e \$100K 26% 2% \$100K 89%
Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q26. Eliminate the so-called LLC loophole th Support Oppose Don't know/No opinion Q27. Strip pension payments from state legi	59% 35% 6% at allow Total 62% 35% 2% slators of Total	65% 30% 5% vs corp 66% 32% 2% Convict	57% 37% 6% Party Rep 61% 38% 1% ed of cr Party Rep	Other 55% 37% 8% s or indi Ind/ Other 60% 37% 4% rimes re Ind/ Other	61% 34% 6% viduals Gen M ated to Gen M	58% 36% 6% to don der F 62% 36% 2% 2% 0 their der	70% 23% 7% ate alr P Lib 68% 31% 1% Public : P Lib	57% 38% 5% olitical 65% 33% 2% service olitical Mod	52% 42% 5% limited an View Conserv 55% 43% 2% regardless View Conserv	60% 35% 5% Unic Yes 67% 32% 1% 0f whe Unic Yes	60% 34% 6% of mon on HH No 61% 36% 2% 2% en that on HH No	59% 36% 5% ey to c 60% 37% 3% official	60% 35% 5% andida Region Subs 61% 37% 2% was fi Region Subs	59% 33% 8% tes in N Upst 66% 32% 2% st elect	61% 33% 6% White 68% 30% 2% ted	/Black 55% 40% 5% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 43% 54% 3% 54% 3% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	61% 39% 0% Latino 66% 34% 0% Latino	68% 28% 4% 18-34 64% 35% 1% 18-34	60% 35% 5% Age 35-54 63% 35% 3% 3% Age 35-54	55% 38% 7% 55+ 62% 36% 2% 55+	60% 36% 4% Cath 65% 33% 2% Cath	67% 26% 6% Jewish 68% 31% 1% Relig Relig	55% 39% 6% jion 9rot 2% gion Prot	60% 33% 7% Other 64% 33% 3% Other	58% 36% 6% <\$50K 48% 49% 3% <\$50K	\$100K 67% 26% 6% % % \$50K- \$100K \$50K- \$100K	e \$100K 26% 2% \$100K

Q28. Enact paid family leave for New Yorkers	s throug	gh an e	mploye	e-funde	d progi	am tha	at prov	ides up	to 12 wee	ks of jo	b-prot	ected p	aid lea	ve to b	ond wit	h a new chil	d or car	e for a s	sick rela	tive							
			Party	-	Gen	der	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Support	80%	87%	69%	74%	76%	82%	90%	79%	69%	79%	80%	85%	77%	76%	76%	92%	95%	95%	77%	73%	79%	86%	79%	82%	85%	81%	75%
Oppose	18%	11%	29%	23%	22%	16%	9%	19%	29%	21%	18%	14%	22%	21%	21%	8%	4%	5%	21%	25%	19%	12%	20%	16%	14%	17%	24%
Don't know/No opinion	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Q29. As we look at a couple of other issues r	elated t	to the s	tate bu	dget I	n gener	al, do y	you su	oport o	r oppose tl	he New	York D	ream A	Act, wh	ich wou	uld allov	v the childre	en of un	docume	ented ir	nmigra	nts to i	receive fi	inancial	aid for	higher e	educatio	on?
			Party		Gen	der	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC			White	/Black	Latino			55+	Cath	Jewish		Other	<\$50K		\$100K+
Support	45%	61%	19%	35%	47%	43%	72%	37%	24%	39%	46%	62%	35%	33%	37%	68%	76%	58%	37%	43%	35%	54%	37%	62%	50%	42%	44%
Oppose	52%	35%	78%	62%	51%	53%	24%	59%	75%	56%	51%	35%	63%	63%	60%	28%	23%	41%	60%	53%	63%	43%	61%	32%	48%	55%	54%
Don't know/No opinion	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	5%	4%	4%	1%	4%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	5%	3%	3%	2%
Q30. Governor Cuomo proposes to increase	state ai	d for ea	ducatio	n by 4.3	percen	t or ab	out 1 b	illion d	lollars this	year, b	ringing	total s	tate sp	ending	on aid f	or educatio	n to abo	ut 24 b	illion de	ollars. N	Which o	of the fol	lowing	three ch	noices co	omes clo	osest to
how you feel about state aid for education:	CHOICE	ES ROT	ATED]																		1						
			Party		Gen	der	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
New York should spend less than the	23%	12%	41%	27%	26%	20%	10%	20%	43%	15%	26%	16%	27%	27%	25%	14%	12%	15%	23%	28%	26%	13%	33%	13%	25%	24%	21%
governor proposes																	/-										
New York should spend what the governor	47%	48%	41%	50%	46%	47%	49%	50%	37%	44%	48%	47%	45%	47%	47%	48%	56%	56%	41%	47%	47%	46%	43%	49%	47%	45%	46%
proposes																											
New York should spend more than the	27%	38%	14%	19%	25%	29%	36%	28%	16%	36%	24%	34%	27%	19%	24%	38%	30%	27%	32%	22%	24%	39%	22%	35%	26%	26%	32%
governor porposes																											
Don't know/No opinion	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%	5%	5%	3%	2%	2%	6%	4%	1%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	5%	1%
																	<u> </u>										
Q31. Overall, do you think that right now Ne	w York	State s	•	too little			-			-					York sp		uch on e	ducatio			r –						
			Party		Gen	der	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion	1		Incom	e
		_	_	Ind/		_			-							Afr Amer									4	\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	M	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	•	White	/Black	Latino			55+	Cath	Jewish		Other	<\$50K	•	\$100K+
Too little	56%	69%	39%	47%	46%	63%	69%	57%	41%	60%	54%	64%	44%	54%	50%	83%	75%	64%	62%	44%	54%	55%	50%	64%	59%	54%	58%
Right amount	27%	22%	31%	33%	31%	23%	23%	29%	28%	22%	29%	22%	34%	27%	30%	14%	17%	29%	22%	31%	29%	28%	27%	25%	27%	26%	26%
Too much	14%	7%	25%	17%	19%	10%	5%	12%	28%	14%	14%	11%	18%	15%	16%	2%	3%	6%	14%	19%	14%	12%	19%	9%	11%	17%	14%
Don't know/No opinion	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	1%	4%	1%	1%	6%	3%	5%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%

Nature of the Sample	
New York State Registered Vo	ters
Party	
Democrat	47%
Republican	22%
Independent/Other	25%
Region	
NYC	39%
Suburbs	25%
Upstate	36%
Political View	
Liberal	27%
Moderate	44%
Conservative	23%
Union Household	
Yes	26%
No	71%
Religion	
Catholic	39%
Jewish	8%
Protestant	23%
Other	26%
Age	
18 to 34	22%
35 to 54	37%
55 and older	36%
Gender	
Male	45%
Female	55%
Race/Ethnicity	
White	68%
African American/Black	14%
Latino	10%
Income	
Less than \$50,000	26%
\$50,000 to \$100,000	29%
\$100,000 or more	34%